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## Qstu Sungh

आ अगर स्पूल नही होता तो फह होता?
 को नौँचयो नही कर पाता सब बच्चो बितथर ऐलेते रहे। सब सोग्रो की बिमारीज का कोई इल्लन न होला कौई भी ओंकस न टोना कोई रोड़ी न होती काई स्वूल न हो होल के हमारे केश मे किकास ने होता। सू ब Aरेa -मारी हैतै। लोग समश काए सके सकार ती केन। अच्हु करें का तो तो बो अप्ते कमवि्य का परता तो होता विलोग अस्फल होे। इनीया अनाएयकतन अज्ञानता का उन्धारार हैता दी कीई अलिष्काइ न होता। नकेंकन्नैतिक का ज्ञान ना होता हमानी भापाल के तूति आस्था न होती। हमे
 मे पता न होना। हाये अपते दैश की या अन्तु कि सक्थता का ता जाइ ते होता और अके नाम न पना होना हलमे अ अपने अणिकाशे और करेतबय कत त्यों का जान न होला बैनिफ बीबन्द में इसन इस्तोमाल की जाने बाली वस्तुओं को मिकास दे पाता हभारा नी०ल पशुयों के समान हैंता। हमारे धर न होने। पभोनन पकाने का बनलून ने होना विजली न होती लोगा की सेकार का प्रता न होता विज स्लापिक मे वी वस्तूओ कि ख⿹勹 न होती। दुनिया मे बड़ी बही इभारते मे होगी।

## They reached the remotest villages of India

No

# Andhra Pradesh 

Arunachal Pradesh
cal volunt
3 SARRA
4 Tobom Dai and college students

## Assam

5 Dr. Sarbeshwar Chutiya \&
Dept of Sociology students,
6 Dhemaji College
7 Tezpur Mahila Samiti,affiliates, volunteers
8 Socio-Educational Welfare Association
9 Dhubri Science club
10 NGO Forum, Tinsukia
Bihar
11 Abhiyan
12 Action for development of demos
13 Adarsh Mahila Kalyan Kendra
14 Baba Singheshar Mahila Vikas Sansthan
15 Bal Mahila Kalyan
16 Bihar Seva Samiti
17 CORD
18 Deepalaya Mansik Swasthya Evam
Viklang Parishad
19 Disha Vihar
20 Globe Organisation
21 Gram Vikash Manch
22 Gramin Bal Evam Manav Vikas Samiti
23 Gramin Manav Seva Mandir
24 Gramin Sansadhan Vikas Parishad
25 Gramysheel
26 HELPERS
27 Idea
28 Jan Kalyan Sangh Nimdih
29 Jan Shikshan Kendra
30 Jawahar Zyoti Bal Vikas Kendra
31 Jeewan Jyoti Kendra
32 Koshi Anchal Samagra Evam Manav Kalyan Parishad
33 Mahila Chetna Vikas Mandal
34 Mahila Vikas Samiti Datapur
35 Manav Sarvangin Vikash Sanstha
36 Pragti Shilp Kala Kendra
37 Preeti Jagriti
38 Prerna Seva Sansthan
39 Rashtriya Vikash \& Samaj Kalyan Parishad
40 Rights Collective
41 SAARTHI
42 Saran Zila Samagra Vikas Sansthan
43 Sardar Ballav Bhai Patel Seva Sansthan
44 Voluntary Forum for Education Chhattisgarh
45 Abhivyakti Jan Shiksha Evam Sanskriti Samit
46 Chinahari Jan Shiksha Evam Sanskriti Samiti
47 Sankalp Sanskritik Samiti
48 Balrang
49 Nav Sankalp
50 Chhatisgarh Bharat Gyan Vigyan Samti
51 Rupak Sanstha
52 Hathkargha Samiti
53 Nav Ambika Shikshn Samiti
54 Zilla Shodh Sansthan,
55 Samta Samiti
56 Sangata Samiti
57 Koya Samiti
Dadra \& Nagar Haveli
58 Deep Mahila Mandal
59 Rural Development Foundation Daman\& Diu
60 Youth Action Force
61 Swami Vivekanand Yuva Mandal
62 Sahyog
63 Sargam
64 Bhilwada Jilla Panchayat
Goa
65 DMC College
66 Jogalekar Maha Vidhalaya Gujarat
67 Aayush Foundation
68 Adag Shikshan Abhiyan
69 Adarsh Mahila Mandal
70 Akhand Jyot Foundation
71 Anand Institute of Social Work
72 ANANDI
73 ANARDE
74 ANARDE/Navjyot Foundation
75 Anmol Rural Development Foundation
76 Aroa Networking and Development Initiative
77 BAIF
78 Bajrang Gram Vikas Trust
79 BSC
80 BSC / St Xaviers Non formal Education Society
81 BSC-AVSC
82 CARITAS
83 CHAITANYA Charitable Trust
84 Dangi Mazdoor Union
85 Deep Jyot

86 Foundation for Ecological Security
87 Gharda Foundation
88 Gram Sewa Trust
89 Gram Swaraj Sangh
90 Gram Udyog Sewa Trust
91 Gram Vikas Seva Sangh
92 Gram Vikas Trust
93 Gujarat Adivasi Sabha
94 Gujarat Vidyapith
95 INFONIX Computers/ CMC
96 IRMA
97 Ishwar Khadi Gram Udyog Sangh
98 Jagrut Mahila Sangathan
99 Jalaram Trust
100 Jay Chamunda Trust
101 Junagadh Mahila Mandal
102 Jyoti Trust
103 Kantha Vistar Satatyapurna vikas Samita
104 Kodinar Mahila Mandal
105 Kutch Mahila Vikas Sangathan
106 Lok Bharati
107 Lok Niketan Sanstha, MSW Dept
108 Lok Seva Yuva Trust
109 M L Gandhi Higher Secondary Trust
110 M N College
111 M S University
112 Mahila Samakhya
113 Mahila Sangh
114 Mangal Bharati
115 Marag
116 Matru Krupa Khadi Gram Udyog Sangh
117 Navsarjan LAHRC -Adivasi Sarvangi Vikas
118 Nehru Yuva Kendra
119 Odakh
120 Panth Abhiyan/ Maitree Abhiyan
121 Parishram Mahila Mandal
122 Parivartan Trust
123 Pragati Education Trust
124 Prarambh Abhiyan/ Pehel Abhiyan
125 Prerna Abhiyan
126 Rural Development Foundation
127 Rural Development Society
128 Sakhi
129 Sarvangi Gram Vikas Sanstha
130 SEWA
131 SETU
132 Sevabharti Vikas Sanstha
133 Shanti Gram Nirman Mandal
134 Shramik Vikas Sanstha
135 Shree Sharda Sarvajanik Seva Mandal
136 Shri Ambedkar Education Trust
137 Shri Jaya Ashapura Charitable Trust
138 Shri Ravi Dayal Seva Turst
139 Siddhi Mahila Sangh Federation
140 Swami Sahajanand College of Comm \& Mgt
141 Tasra Agro Consumer Sanstha
142 Una Mahila Mandal
143 Unnati
144 Vikalp
145 Vishudhanand Vidya Mandir
146 Vivekanand Research Training Institute
147 VRT
148 WASMO
149 Yuva Chetna

## Haryana

150 Arya PG College
151 Chotu Ram Arya College
152 Gaur Brahmin College
153 ITI College, Narwana
154 JNC Devi Lal College
155 Khalsa College
156 Kurukshetra University
157 MM College
158 Mukand Lal National College
159 Nehru College
160 Nehru Yuvak Kendra
161 Panjab University
162 RK SP College
163 SD College, Ambala Cantt
Himachal Pradesh

## 164 SARDHA

165 SRDA
166 Ankur Welfare Association
167 SUTAR DHARA
168 SEWA Himalyas
169 SUTRA
Jammu \& Kashmir
170 SECMOL
171 Kargil Development Project
172 Jammu University, students \& faculty
$J$ harkhand
173 Abhiyan
174 ASRA
175 Bihar Pradesh Yuva Parishad
176 Community Development Centre
177 Gram Jyoti Kendra
178 Gramin Navodaya Kendra
179 Gramin Samaj Vikas Manch

180 Jansahbhagi Kendra
181 J harkhand Gramin Vikas Trust
182 Krishi Gram Vikas Kendra
183 Lohardagga Gram Swaraya Sansthan
184 Lohiya Viklang Seva Samiti
185 Lok Chirag Seva Sansthan
186 Lokprerna Kendra
187 Nav Bharat Jagriti Kendra
188 SAHYOGINI
189 Samajik Parivartan Sansthan
190 Vikas Bharti Foundation
191 Vision Foundation
192 Youth Unity for Voluntary Action

## Karnataka

193 Akshara Foundation
194 Azim Premji Foundation
195 Belgaum Integrated Rural Development
196 EMBARK YOUTH ORGANIZATION
197 Geleyara Balaga
198 "HELP" organization
199 Initiatives for Development Foundation
200 Mahatma Gandhi Rural Dev \& Soc Change
201 Malendu Edu \& Rural Dev Society.
202 POWER
203 Rural Literacy \& Health Programme
204 Rural Development Foundation
205 Sadhana
206 Sarvodaya Integrated Rural Dev \& Tech Ed
207 Shambulingeshwara Youth Group
208 Spoorthidhama, Kedur Post,
209 Sri. Kuvempu Yuva Vikasa Vedike
210 VALORED

## Kerala

211 KUDUMBASHREE and affiliated 280
Community Development Societies
Madhya Pradesh
212 Abhinavgram Udhyan Samiti
213 ABHIVYAKTI
214 Asha Gramodhyan Shiksha Manav Kalyan
215 Bhagwanti Bai Shiksha Prashar Samiti
216 Bharti Kala Evam Vigyan Samiti
217 Bhartiya Swashakti Sangh
218 Gopal Krishna Shiksha Avm Samajik Samiti
219 Green Valley Foundation
220 Hastkshap
221 Indra J ain Balvikas Vidya Samiti
222 Jati Yuva Mandal
223 Jawahar Nehru Yuva Mandal
224 Kalpatru Vikas Samiti
225 Khajuraho Foundation
226 LRM Educational and Social Welfare Society
227 Maharshi Vivekanand Shiksha Samiti
228 MP Jan Adhikar Manch
229 Nav Adarsh Mahila Mandal
230 Nav Chetna Vidya Mandir
231 New Model High Sch \& Consultant Rights
232 Parhit Samaj Sewi Sansthan
233 Parivartan Kalyan Sangh, Indore
234 Pichore Yuva Mandal
235 Prayas Samaj Sevi Sansthan
236 Prayas Samajik Samiti
237 Public Development Centre
238 Rakshtriya Ekta Natya Samiti
239 Sadbhawna Samiti
240 Sangwari, Society
241 Sankalp Samajsevi Sansthan
242 Shakuntla Parmar Samiti
243 Shivangi Education Society
244 Shram Bhakti Mahila Sangatan
245 Shreegurukrupa Shiksha Prasaran Manch
246 Soc of Action Alt Research \&
247 Sujagruti Samajsevi Sansthan
248 Support in Development
249 Sushil Gyan Shiksha Prasar Samiti
250 U.S.Development Club
251 Uday the rising Society
252 Unnati Sansthan
253 Vimal Shiksha Samiti
254 Yugbodh Shiksha Samiti

## Maharashtra

255 Adarsh Sevabhavi Mahila mandal
256 Adarsh Vidyalaya
257 Adasha Gramvikas Mandal
258 Adiwasi Dyanpith, Navapur
259 Adiwasi Satpuda Shikshan Prasarak Mandal
260 Anand Gramin Vikas Pratishthan.
261 Anarya Swayamsevak Sangh
262 Ankur Adivasi Vikas Sanstha
263 Ankur Yuva Mandal
264 Anushree Shikshan Sanstha
265 Bhagyodya Pratishtan
266 Bhakar Sansta
267 Buddhishth Society
268 Chaitanya Sanstha
269 Chintamani Trust
270 Devidas Rathod

271 Dhartidhan Gram vikas sanstha
272 Dhnyanajyoty Gram Vikas Mandal
273 DILASA
274 Dilasa Mahila Mandal
275 Disha Samajik Sanstha
276 Dnyandeep Trust
277 Dnyanwarsha
278 Dr. Ambedkar sanskruti krida mandal
279 Dr. Ambedkar Sansodhan Vikas Munch
280 Dr. Arodkar Gramvikas Sanstha
281 Dr.G.W. Karwar Bahudeshiya Trust, Beed
282 Dreamland
283 Ekata Yuva mandal
284 Ekta Tarun Mandal
285 Gram Vikas Sansta
286 Grami Adivashi Vikas Sanstha, Pali
287 Har Har Mahadev Bhaudeshiya Trust, Bhilali
288 Janhit Pratishtan
289 Janjagruti Trust
290 Jankalyan Sevabhavi Sanstha
291 Janrtha Adiwasi Vikas Sanstha
292 Janseva Pratikshtan
293 Janwadi Prathisthan
294 K.G. N. Sewabhavi
295 Kankavli's Sarpanch
296 Karmvir Mahavidyalay
297 Kastraib Sanghatana
298 Kelkar College, Mulund
299 Krantijyoti Mahila Mandal
300 Lokhitarthi
301 Lokhitwadi Bahuuddeshiy
Sevabhavi Sanstha
302 Loksamanway Pratisthan Taloda
303 Lokvikas Trust
304 M.N.Rai Institute, Karad
305 M.S.W. College, Katol
306 M.T. Rural Deva Society, Amgaon
307 Maharashtra Rajya Apang Karmachari
308 Mahila Adhyapak Vidyalay, Bhandara
309 Manasi Mahila Mandal
310 Maturchhaya Gramin Vikas
Sanshodhan Sanstha
311 Matrumandir Trust
312 MAVIM
313 May Mauli
314 Nalanda Bal Vikas And Magasvargia Mahila Vikas
315 Navanirmiti Samajik Sanstha
316 Navudhaya
317 Neharu yuva kendra
318 Parulekar College, Talasari
319 Pen Kaps Vikas Sanstha
320 Pragati Shikshan Sanstha
321 Prakash Prasaran Sanshta
322 Prashant
323 Pratham Shikshan Mandal
324 Prerana Sanstha
325 Prerna Sayamrojgar Udyog Kendra
326 Pride India, Mahad
327 R.R.T.K. Club Mohgaon
328 Rajabhau Jadhav
329 Rajaram bapu Dnyan Prabhodini
330 Raje Dharmrao Juniar College
331 Rajrshi Shahu Gramin Vikas Prakalp
332 Rajshatnan College Washim
333 Ramdev Patil College, Kuhi
334 S. T. Karmachari Mahamandal Unit
335 Saath
336 SACRED
337 Sahara Yuva Sanskrutik Mandal
338 Saibaba
339 Samarth shikshan \& Sanskruti Sanstha
340 Samata Bahuddeshiy Shikshan Sanstha
341 Sane Guruji Sanstha
342 Sangharsh Sevabhavi Sanstha
343 Sankalp Bahuddeshiya Prakalp
344 Sankalp Gram Vikas Prakalp
345 Sarpanch, Dahanu
346 Sarpanch, Jawahar
347 Sarpanch, Mokhada
348 Sarpanch, Vikramgad
349 Sarpanch, Wada
350 Savadha Trust
351 Savitribai Phule Samajik Sanghatana
352 Savli Prathithan, Killedharur
353 Seva Maitriya
354 Sham Shikshan Prasarak Mandal
355 Shikshanagrahi
356 Shiv Prakalp
357 Shramik Sahayatta Mandal
358 Shramik Sanghatana
359 Shree. Sant Dhyaneshwar Mahila Sevabhavi
360 Shri Shani Mandir Trust, Shirpur
361 Shyam Swayamsevi Sanstha
362 SPPD
363 Student Welfare Foundation
364 Suprabhat Mahila Mandal
365 Swami Vivekananad Vichar manch
366 Swami Vivekanand Library

367 Swash
368 Tarun Bharat news paper
369 Tarun Mandal
370 Tarun Patrakar Sangh Dodamark
371 Tarun Patrakar Sangh Kudal
372 Tata Institute Of Social Science
373 Tejash Mahila Mandal
374 The Bridge Trust
375 Ujam
376 Ujjawal
377 Vanchit Vikas Sanstha
378 Vanketash Seva Samiti
379 Vengurla's Sarpanch
380 Vidya Vikas Mahavidyalay, Samudrapur
381 Vidya Vikas Shikshn Sanstha
382 Vikalp India
383 VOICE, Satara
384 Vyankateshwar Shikshan Sansta, Ankisa
385 Women Tribal Development
386 Youth Group
387 Yusuf Meharali Centre, Tarapur Panvel
388 YUVA Sushikshit Berojgar Sanstha
389 Yuvak Mandal, Ambarnath
390 Yuvak Mandal, Bhiwandi
391 Yuvak Mandal, Kalyan
392 Yuvak Mandal, Talasari
393 Yuvak Mandal, Vasai
394 Z.P Kanishta Mahavidyalay, Yetapalli Manipur
395 PRDA
396 NABARD with local volunteers
(teachers, ngo, students)
Meghalaya
397 NEHU Tura and volunteers
398 Ecotour and other local NGOs

## Nagaland

399 WALO \& Konyak students committee
400 Ms. Amen la J amir
401 Ms. Heckali
402 Ms. Vinni
403 Ms. Sara
404 Ms. Rosila
405 Mr. Longsebemu
406 Mr. M. Kikon
Orissa
407 Gramvika
409 Akssus, Ankuran
410 Anugul Govt. College
411 Khaira College
412 Bant College
413 Maharshi Dayananda College
414 J iral College, Jiral
415 N.C. College
416 S.G. College, Kanikapada (Baulanga)
417 Mahima College, Lakhanpur
418 Phulbani Govt. College
419 Marsaghai College
420 Prananath College
421 Gangadharmeher College
422 Malkanagiri College
423 Nabarangapur College
424 Bikrakmdev College

## Punjab

425 Kheti Virasat Mission
426 Lok Bhalai Sanstha Regd
427 Panjab University, students and faculty Rajasthan
428 AASARO
429 ASSEFA
430 CECOEDECON
431 CUTS
432 ECAT-Bodhgram
433 Educational \& Rural
Development Society
434 GMVS,GESVS, VKESS
435 GRAVIS
436 Human Employment Ability Development
437 Institute of Rural Management, Jodhpur
438 JGVS
439 Lok Kalyan Sansthan
440 Lok Vikas Sansthan
441 Modi College, NSS
442 Moon Light Society
443 MVPSS,
444 Patel Sansthan
445 PAVS
446 Prayas Seva Sansthan
447 Sahayogi Sewa Sansthan
448 Saraswati Vidhalaya Shikshan Sansthan
449 SSD

## Tamil Nadu

450 AID-Indida
451 Grassroots
452 Rseeds
453 Aram
454 AREDS
455 GandhiGram University
456 Manonmanian Sundaranar University

457 Peoples Watch
458 Sense
459 TamilNadu Science Forum
460 Thai Thamizh Palli
461 Vidyarambam
462 VOCRDC

## Tripura

463 J anasanskriti
Uttar Pradesh
464 Action Aid and partners
465 Akhand Prabandh Avm Sodh Sansthan
466 Arunodaya Sansthan Mahoba
467 Asha Gramodhyan Sansthan, Jalone
468 Azad Sewa Ashram
469 Bhagwati Devi Inter College,
Manpur.Tahsil-Khair
470 Bharatiya Jan Kalyan Sansthan
471 Bharatiya Manav Samaj
Kalyan Samiti (BMSKSS)
472 Bhawani NGO, Ghaziabad
473 BK Lokvikas Sansthan
474 Bundelkhand Seva Sansthan
475 Bundelkhand Sevagram Sansthan
476 Deonagri Intercollege, Meerut
477 Devlopment Initiatives by Social
Animation (D ISA)
478 Drumned Rajkiya Inter College, NSS
479 Gandhi Faiz-e-am Degree College, NSS
480 Government Inter College, NSS
481 Gram Unmesh Sansthan
482 Gramin Punar Nirman Sansthan
483 Gramin Vikas Avm Punar Nirman
484 HINDUSTAN SCOUTS AND GUIDES
485 Jan Kalyan Avm Shiksha Sansthan
486 Jan Shikshan Kendra
487 Janpad Vikas Awam Samaj Kalyan Samiti +Sarvangid Gramid Vikas and Prashikchhan Samiti
488 Janta Seva Samiti
489 K.K. Degree College
490 Kalpi Jalone Yuva Samiti
491 LMST
492 Lok Bandhu Seva Sansthan
493 Lokmitra
494 Maharishi Dayanand Inter College,
Brahmanpur.Tahsil- Atrauli
495 Mahila Samakhya, Aurariya \& Muzaffarnagar
496 Manav Vikas Parishad
497 Margshree Charitable Trust
498 Mobilization coordinator,J alalabad
499 PACE
500 Panna Lal Nagar Palika Inter College
501 PGSS
502 G.B.Pant Institute of Social Sciences
503 Manav Vikas Parishad
504 Rural Youth \& Cultural Development
505 S.D. Inter College, Kantinagar
506 Sahyog Samajik Sansthan
507 Samaj Kalyan Shiksha Sansthan
508 Samrat Prithaviraj Chauhan Degree College, Baghpat
509 Sanchit Vikas Sansthan
510 Santlal Arunodaya Sansthan
511 Sarpanch, Powayan Tahsil
512 SHAH Bal \&Mahila Kalyan
513 Sumigar Samajik Kalyan Samiti
514 Sumitra Samaj Kalyan Sanstha
515 Supported by Pratham Rampur
516 Swabhiman Samiti
517 Tarun Chetna Sansthan Uttaranchal
518 Action Aid
519 Bageshwar P.G. College
520 Daliyon Ka Dagriya
521 Himalyan Jan Kalyan
Avam Bal Vikas Samiti
522 Jandesh
523 KAGAAS
524 Kumaon University
525 Mahila Kalyan Samiti
526 Parvatiya Lok Shakshan Samiti Amit Gram
527 Parvtiya Vikash Sanshthan,
528 Sanyojak Van Gram Bhumi Adhi manch
529 Sudha
530 U.J.J.S.Khari West Bengal
531 Calcutta Foundation
532 CSRA
533 Dinajpur Centre For Social Change
534 IRSA
535 Janasanskriti
536 Mandra Lion's Club
537 Rotary Club
Rotary Club
(East Midnapur \& West Midnapur)
538 SAHAY
539 Sister Nivedita Kalyan Samiti
540 Mr. Kishore Mansata,
Myriad Technology

## Acknowledgements

Helping the teams on the ground have been innumerable helpful and hospitable people: families of the volunteers, bus drivers, STD booth operators, college principals, printers, neighbors and friends.

The entire ASER 2005 effort has taken less than 100 days. After village surveys and school visits were completed, the support team went to work. In particular, we want to thank the data teams in each state for making data available almost as soon as it came in. The data analysis team in Delhi, the production and printing teams in Mumbai, and AV team in Bangalore - all need a special thanks for endless hours, long nights of work to turn the effort of thousands into something that can be shared quickly across the country.

We thank Dr. Montek Singh Ahluwalia, Dy. Chairman of Planning Commission, and members of the Planning Commission- Dr. Kirit Parikh and Prof. Abhijit Sen, and several experts who helped in discussions on sampling.

We also thank Shri Amit Kaushik, Director, Elementary Education and Literacy, Ministry of Human Resource Development, for his inputs in analyzing the data.

Pratham gratefully acknowledges the support of the Commonwealth Education Fund (CEF) for piloting tools and methodology.

Pratham gratefully acknowledges the on-going support of Oxfam Novib for the Pratham Resource Cente which led the design and preparatory work for ASER 2005.

Also:
Action Aid was the state partner for Uttaranchal. They organized surveys in 10 of 12 districts covered. Many Action Aid partners participated in Uttar Pradesh. Many district partners in Bihar are also members of Voluntary Forum for Education.
In Rajasthan, Institute of Rural Management - Jodhpur participated in the ASER survey.


## A ppeal to participate, in order to IMPACT education in India

As citizens of India, each one of us has the right to monitor the work of the government and we also have the responsibility help to make our society equitable, efficient, and effective. Every Indian man, woman and child deserves a vibrant democratic society based on Izzat, Imandari, and Insaaf.

Every Indian wants India to be not only a literate but also an educated country. Every Indian child deserves an equal opportunity to build a good life. Every Indian pays regular taxes to provide elementary education to the children of India. Every Indian also pays 2\% cess levied by the Government of India on all central taxes.

Pratham appeals to individuals, organizations, institutions, and businesses to join an effort to create a citizens'Annual Status of Education Report for India. In August 2004, with the help of citizens and NGOs, we led a survey of 19 districts in 17 states of India. We found that percentage of children enrolled in schools is very high ( $85-90 \%+$ ) in most states especially in the 6-10 age group. But, in many states, $50 \%$ children in Std 2 and above, going to government schools, cannot read even simple sentences. $60 \%$ children cannot do simple subtraction, leave alone multiplication and division. For the first time, a number was put to status of basic learning in the country. Pratham did not stop at this analysis, but has been actively working with various state governments to change this reality. We are willing to do more.

ASER is not a negative idea, it is linked with a constructive satyagraha to insist on the right of the citizens to participate in the functioning of the government. We believe that good work done by governments, and there is a lot of it, deserves to be applauded. But governments must also take outcome oriented steps to improve performance of schools.

ASER is an annual effort. It will go on until December 2010 the deadline for achieving quality universal elementary education declared by Government of India.

ASER October, 2005

The appeal that went out in October, 2005


A new girl in the class. Tamil Nadu Nov 2005

## ASER 2005: CLARIFICATIONS

## CLARI FI CATI ONS:

Only states where all districts were surveyed or almost all districts were surveyed have been ranked in the national pages (p.16). States that were not surveyed fully have not been ranked and are not included in this table.

All school observation information pertains to ONLY GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS in the surveyed villages. Private schools were not visited and therefore no observation data on private schools was collected.

Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Jammu \& Kashmir, Manipur, Meghalaya, Nagaland, and Tripura have been allocated two instead of four pages in the report due to partial coverage of districts. Dadra \& Nagar Haveli, Daman \& Diu and Goa too had few districts.

The following districts have very little data available on standard of the child. Therefore, results from these districts should be regarded as anomalous:
o Andhra Pradesh - Khammam;
o Assam - Sonitpur;
o Chhatisgarh - Durg;
o Madhya Pradesh - Ujjain, Indore, Shahdol and Barwani;
o Tamil Nadu - Erode.
Pupil-teacher ratio (PTR) based on enrollment is the ratio of enrolled children to appointed teachers. PTR based on attendance is the ratio of children to teachers attending on the day of the survey.
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## ASER: The idea

The picture on the opposite page is a snapshot of a scene on a particular day in November ' 05 .
ASER2005 is a collective snapshot taken by about twenty thousand volunteers between November 14 and December 20,2005. It represents reality at that point but we sense that the reality is changing. We intend to participate in that change, accelerate it, and shape it, because we believe that as citizens, it is our self-evident right and responsibility to do so. ASER is a declaration of this right and the responsibility that goes with it.

The picture on the facing page could raise many questions. Why is the boy carrying the burden? Why is the volunteer testing him with his burden on his head? Why on the road? What are the school-boy's thoughts? Why did the photographer take a picture of a boy?

ASER2005 looks at some simple basic indicators. Our report will lead to many questions too. But some facts will stand clear like the burden on the boy's head, and the schoolbag on the other boy's back.

This Report consists mainly of tables, charts, and graphs in addition to a few notes on the methodology. There is very little textual analysis and commentary. This is because first of all, the facts speak for themselves. Secondly, we had set ourselves a deadline of publication before the Republic Day of 2006, which did not leave much time for detailed analysis. Following this Report, we intend to launch a periodical called "ASER Discussions" to further analyze the data and to improve the subsequent ASERs.

The young people of ASER experienced a oneness of India in its villages that we could not capture in this report. Young women from Delhi went to remote areas of the Hilly North and the Northeast, the plains of Haryana, Punjab, and UP. Everywhere they were greeted with great warmth in every home. Other men and women from the East, West and South ventured into 'dangerous' territories where there is no evidence of government. Social networks came alive in many places where neither NGOs nor college contacts were readily available. Volunteers were greeted in every village, every school, and every home warmly. The act of testing brought many people together. Children wanted to be tested. Mothers wanted children tested. "Can my child read?"

The idea behind ASER is not just to take a snapshot for display or to merely make a statement. It is more than that. This is our country, these are our children, and the snapshot is to inform ourselves, the people of India, so that we understand the situation first hand and act to change the picture. The ASER results will be taken back to the districts and villages so that people can think about what to do next. We will extend a helping hand to the various levels of government to change the situation.

The issues of development of a modern democracy are linked with every problem of India one can think of. Education is no exception. Evidence-based discussions should be an important component in the development of democracy. In the absence of clear, consistent, and credible data such discussions are impossible. Recently governments have started commissioning independent third party evaluations and assessments. In that case, is ASER needed? If ASER was a small research agency, it would be redundant. But as a movement that takes scientific methods of assessment and analysis to large numbers of ordinary people and demystifies them, ASER has its own place.

On October 2, 2005, when the first email about ASER went out to five people in Pratham, we sort of knew we could do it. But, having reached and touched $84 \%$ of rural India at a breakneck speed, I can say on behalf of every person who became a part of ASER that we are proud of the effort and the result.

## Set the ball rolling...

Vimala Ramchandran ${ }^{1}$

Over the years people engaged with elementary education have been wrestling with tools to make a realistic assessment of both provisions (teachers, schools, facilities) and outcomes (learning). Given the size and enormous diversity of India, this has remained a huge challenge. At periodic intervals sample surveys like NSS and NFHS have generated information on children attending school and mean years of schooling, which have been used by different constituencies to illustrate the progress or lack of it in the education sector. Equally national research studies like PROBE (1999) or state specific studies like Pratichi Education report (2002) have drawn the attention of the government to school participation, teacher availability, attendance and learning. Similarly donor sponsored studies - for example on teacher absence - have also turned the spotlight on some important issues. Commissioned studies done under the aegis of District Primary Education Programme (DPEP) and, now Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA) have also been valuable additions to our knowledge base.

While some of these studies have drawn flack from official quarters they have nevertheless forced attention on both the dismal situation in large parts of the country and the success stories notably the near universal school participation in Himachal Pradesh. The government has also made commendable efforts to fine-tune official DISE statistics and the ten-yearly educational survey (NCERT) to capture progress towards educational objectives. At the same time the government has also admitted the limitations of data generated by the system. Most recently Government of India commissioned a sample survey to estimate the number of out-of-school children ${ }^{2}$. This is indeed a welcome step because comparing system generated statistics with information generated through sample survey would indeed give us valuable insights into the situation on the ground.

Notwithstanding the range and wealth of information generated on different aspects of elementary education, there has been a growing realisation that periodic independent assessment of where we are with respect to both provision as well as outcomes is necessary. It is in this context that ASER 2005 initiated by Pratham is valuable. The survey is commendable not only because it has been done in 485 districts across the country but because it involved a wide range of people - from local voluntary organisations to ordinary citizens who volunteered to participate in the survey. Among the little known facts of ASER is that 373 districts were paid for by individual donors or institutions who contributed Rs 500 to Rs 10,000 each to cover the cost of the survey. Voluntary and social action groups joined in as partners with close to 776 small and big groups joining the effort in different ways.

The survey consisted of three parts - household level interviews, testing of children (using tests to assess ability to read and to do simple arithmetic at the class 2 level) and status of government schools. This may seem very simplistic to many people in the academia. Equally educationists used to debating the fine points of learning and testing may express their outrage at such an endeavour. Yet discussions with people involved in the survey revealed that they felt that even such basic testing (of reading paragraph and story and subtraction and division in arithmetic) drew the attention of the parents and community leaders to whether children were learning.

The findings of ASER are quite interesting. While there have really not been any big surprises with respect to enrolment, the most disturbing finding is that close to 1.2 crore children are still out of school! The situation in Bihar (13.5\%), Rajasthan (10.4\%), Jharkhand (9.8\%) and even Andhra Pradesh ( $7.4 \%$ ) is quite worrisome. Almost 8 years of DPEP and 3 years of Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan -

[^0]apart from state specific projects like Andhra Pradesh Primary Education Project (1987-1994), Bihar Education Project ( 1991 till it merged with DPEP in 1994), Rajasthan Shiksha Karmi Project ( 1987 to 2003) and Rajasthan Lok Jumbish (1992 to 2004) - seem to have had limited impact.

The good news is that the gender gap in the percentage of out of school children has come down. Till 2001 it was estimated that over $65 \%$ of out of school children were girls. Now it is $52 \%$ ( $6-10$ age) and $55 \%$ (11-14 age). Another good news is that $77.2 \%$ teachers were found to be present in the school and that only $8.3 \%$ of primary schools and $7.5 \%$ of upper primary schools did not have teachers. In several states $100 \%$ of teachers appointed to the surveyed school were present on the day of visit. The flip side is also interesting - 37.2\% of primary schools and $25 \%$ of upper primary schools (government schools) visited in Kerala did not have any teacher present on the day of visit!

ASER has confirmed that the percentage of boys to girls in private school is skewed in favour of the former. While the all-India proportion is $60: 40$, state-wise differences are significant. The ratio worsens as we move north of the Narmada towards Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar and so on. Some preference is demonstrated in more ways than sex-selective abortions.

The alarming findings relate to reading and arithmetic. ASER did not test children for age or grade specific competency. It tested the ability of children to read (a simple paragraph or story pitched at grade 2 level). Close to $35 \%$ of children in the 7-14 age group could not read a simple paragraph (grade 1 level difficulty) and almost $60 \%$ of children could not read a simple story (grade 2 level difficulty). The huge surprise is that the situation in Tamil Nadu, Karnataka and Gujarat (where the schools function and where all provision related indicators are good) are far worse than Bihar, and Chhattisgarh (where indicators like teacher-pupil ratio, drop out rates and schooling facilities are abysmal). The percentage point difference between government and private schools is approximately 10 . Which means that almost $30 \%$ of children in private schools cannot read grade one level paragraphs.

The situation with respect to mathematics is also quite alarming. Our IT hubs like Karnataka and Tamil Nadu need to seriously think about the way mathematics is taught in schools - government as well as private. Similarly, despite so many years of back-to-school programmes and bridge courses in Andhra Pradesh, the percentage of out of school children is indeed worrying. This is particularly alarming in the light of girl child labour in cottonseed farms and in cotton plucking. Here is a state that traverses a pre-industrial agrarian situation with a highly modern information technology industry.

We need to interpret these findings with caution. It has to be noted that while a significant proportion children entering class 1 reach class 5 in Tamil Nadu and Karnataka, the drop out rate in Bihar is high. Furthermore only around $51.8 \%$ of enrolled children attend school regularly. Therefore (unlike TN and Karnataka) the ones who have reached class 5 are not only a self-selected group but they are the ones who are highly motivated. These findings may just be revealing a small tip of the iceberg. There is an urgent need to study when and how good provisions (classrooms, teachers, textbooks, mid day meal and so on) translate into outcomes in learning and in ability of children to complete schooling.

The data generated by ASER needs far more rigorous analysis and that would be done in the coming months. The single most important contribution of ASER is that an independent group got together an interesting range of individuals and organisations to find out what is really happening to our children. Creating a space for independent (neither government sponsored or donor driven) assessment of India's progress towards universal elementary education is invaluable. This effort could perhaps encourage groups across the country to initiate similar audit of education, child development, health and indeed many other dimensions of development.

From: Mysore
Date: November 11, 2005
The local people surrounding the following 2 villages in Chikamagalur district of Karnataka are of the opinion that it may be difficult for the volunteers to approach them for survey.

Recently a police van was blown up. The situation at present is a bit tensed. We hope
the situation will ease out in the next few days in which case the same villages will be surveyed with police assistance. If the situation continues to be tense, we may please be suggested alternative villages for replacement, which may be considered only in case it is absolutely necessary.

From: Madhya Pradesh
Conversations with team
Sometimes it is hard work to find the villages that have been selected for the survey.
Frequently, the names of villages as they are known locally are different from the names given in the census. The village list from the census is in English but in Hindi the name sounds different. This makes many villages difficult to find. Volunteers ask directions from locals in nearby villages, from hospitals, police stations and government offices, from petrol bunks and even from passing truck drivers. In Bhopal district of Madhya Pradesh, one survey team spent an entire day looking for a village whose name was slightly misspelled in the census. After travelling 80 km in the wrong direction, a policeman from the police headquarters of a particular block who happened to come from that village finally escorted them there.

From: Tamil Nadu
Date: 3/12/2005 2:40 pm
We have problem in Cuddalore district. Survey for all 20 villages were completed on 20 and 21 of Nov. But because of heavy rains and floods, the houses of many of our volunteers (Tutors of Vidyarambam) have got heavily damaged and they all have shifted to relief camps. We could not establish contact with most of them and as such we are not sure of the fate of ASER survey report. Hopefully the papers are intact. Similarly there are damages in Thanjavur,
Nagapattinam, Trichy andPerambalur districts. Today I am personally leading a team to Cuddalore to assess the damage.

Sitting with a map of I ndia, looking at the length and breadth of the country, mountains in the north, forests and ravines in the centre, densely populated plains, arid and thinly populated desert lands in the west, fertile belts in along the southern coasts .... ASER 2005 was to be a snapshot of the status of schooling and learning in the country; the effort reliably capturing the rich diversity of rural I ndia.

How many villages should we go to in each district? How should these villages be chosen? Should the same strategy for choosing villages be used for remote sparsely peopled areas as they are for crowded and accessible regions? To reach deep into each district and spread wide across rural India, a large sample size was needed. If all districts in a state could not be done, would the districts that were done still count for something? But how large did the sample size need to be to generate reliable district level estimates so that the ASER report of each district level could represent the current schooling and learning experiences of children.

The search and the research began. Consulting sampling experts, meeting professors in universities, statisticians in research institutions, survey organizations, looking up Census of I ndia, National Sample Survey, absorbing technical reports, statistics textbooks, weighing options and alternatives... finally decisions were taken.

Using villages lists from the 2001 census, villages were selected randomly within each district using probability proportional to size method of sampling (PPS) ${ }^{1}$. Some villages were easily accessible by public transport, in other cases teams had to walk long distances to remote villages. Volunteers traveled for a day or more into the Thar desert in Rajasthan, in the mountains of Uttaranchal, and across jungles near the Myanmar border to survey villages. In a few cases, ASER teams needed security escort to venture into dangerous or disturbed areas. Only in rare or specific instances were villages or districts omitted from the survey; this typically happened because of security concerns, inaccessibility, or harsh weather.

The names on the map of I ndia begin to assume great significance. These are places that we have to go to. There are so many names that do not show up on the map but we know they are there because they are on our village lists. Villages are being found. Small settlements and big villages; villages with scattered hamlet....from Thiruvananthapuram and Kannyakumari in the southern tip of the country to Leh and Kargil in Ladakh, Rajouri and Poonch in J ammu, to Tinsukia in Assam, East Siang in Arunachal and Kutch near the Arabian Sea.

509 rural districts participated in ASER 2005; data from 485 districts has been used in this report. More than 9521 villages across the country were visited.

## These were the instructions given to all participants in ASER 2005. The instructions have been translated into over 15 languages and executed in $\mathbf{2 8}$ states and union territories

## TASK 1: HOW TO MAKE A MAP

- Contact Sarpanch: Introduce yourself to the Sarpanch or to other senior members of the panchayat. Tell them about ASER. Ask them for information about schools in the village and around the village. Get the approximate number of households in the village from the Sarpanch.
] Start mapping: To get to know the village, walk around and start mapping.
o Talk to people: How many different hamlets/sections are there in the village? Where they are located? What is the estimate of households in each hamlet/section? Tell them about ASER.
o Map: On the map, show the main landmarks - temples, mosques, river, school, busstop, panchayat bhavan, shop etc. Mark the main roads/streets/paths through the village prominently on the map.
- Marking and numbering sections: If the village has hamlets, then mark the hamlets on the map and number them. If the village is one continuous habitation then divide the entire village in 4 sections. For each hamlet/section, note the estimated number of households. Verify all the information on the map with people in the village as you walk around.


## TASK 2: HOW TO SAMPLE HOUSEHOLDS AND CHI LDREN TO BE SURVEYED

- If the village consists of more than 4 different hamlets, then make chits with numbers for each hamlet. Randomly pick 4 chits. If there are 4 or less hamlets, then we will go to all hamlets. If the village is one continuous habitation, then divide the entire village into four quadrants/sections. We will visit each quadrant/section. Outline these sections on the village map.
[. In each hamlet/section of the village, we need to survey all children from 5 households. Thus for the entire village, there will be information for about 20 randomly selected households.
- In each hamlet/section. Try to find the central point in that habitation. Visit every $5^{\text {th }}$ dwelling in the habitation (e.g. $1^{\text {st }}$ house, $6^{\text {th }}$ house, $11^{\text {th }}$ house ..). Get information about the household. Survey \& test every child between the ages of 6 and 14 in that household. Stop after you have completed 5 households in that section. If you have you have reached the end of the section, go around again using the same every $5^{\text {th }}$ household rule.
[. Now move to the next selected hamlet/quadrant. Follow the same process.
[. If the selected dwelling is closed or if there is no body at home, note that down on your survey sheet as "house closed" move to the next house. Continue until you have 5 households in which there were inhabitants, Note down information about the household. Test children from 6 up to the age of 14.
- Make sure that you go to households on a Sunday or holiday when children are likely to be home.

In each sampled dwelling:
o Joint families: There may be a joint family, with several brothers, their wives and children. Make sure that you get all children in the age group 6 to 14 in the selected households.
o Older children: Ask members of the household and neighbours about who all live in the household on a regular basis. (Do not survey children who are visiting). Often older girls and boys (in the age group 11 to 14) may not be thought of as children. Often such children are busy working in the household or in the fields. Ask family members to call them so that you can speak to them directly. If they do not come immediately, mark that household and revisit it once you are done surveying the other households. If there are children in the family but not in the village at present, note down the details in the survey sheet.

Children and adults are usually curious about the testing process and want to participate. Many children may come up to you and want to be included. Do not discourage children who want to be tested. You can interact with them. But concentrate on the fact that data must be noted down ONLY for children from households that have been randomly selected.

## TASK 3: WHATTO DOIN THE SCHOOL?

Introduce yourselves to the Headmaster/mistress and teachers. Tell them about ASER.
Spend at least one hour in the school. Observe keenly what you see in the school. Both team members must agree on the observations of basic conditions of school infrastructure and functioning.

Make sure that children in the school are not nervous. Talk to them, chat with them and put them at ease. $\qquad$
MAKE SURE THAT EACH VOLUNTEER IS NEATLY DRESSED, TALKS POLITELY AND IS ABLE TO TALK ABOUT ASER 2005. MOST OF ALL ENJ OY YOURSELF AND MAKE SURE THAT CHI LDREN ENJ OY TOO

## Reading tasks for Rani

| $\square{ }^{\text {Basp }}$ |  |  | हवा दुलारी सोनिया |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| च |  |  |  |
| स |  | म | मैला सूरज |
|  | न |  | जप |
|  |  |  | आम कैसा |
| ह |  | प | खिलौना |



Children are assessed as being in one of the following five categories: Level 2 (story), Level 1 (easy paragraph), Word, Letter, Not able to recognize letters.
 of the 5 words she chooses, then show her the list of letters.


## NEXT, DO LETTERS

Ask the child to read any 5 letters from the letters list. Let the child choose the letters herself. If she can correctly recognize at least 4 out of 5 letters with ease, then show her the list of words again.

She will be marked as a "letter" child if he can read 4 out of 5 letters but cannot read words.

If not, then mark her as a child who cannot even recognize letters.

## Arithmetic tasks for Rani

Make sure children are relaxed. Chat with them : ask them ~ who is their best friend, what is their favourite game ... Playing simple games may also help. When the child seems relaxed then you can introduce the testing tasks. Give children time to become familiar with the tool and the task. Each tester has several sample tests. Let the child practice for a few minutes with one sample paper. For actual testing use a different one. If the child makes a mistake, let her have another chance. Show her a different problem. If she is struggling with subtraction, take her to number recognition and then come back to subtraction. Work with the child, until you are sure of what the child is able to do comfortably and confidently.



## How to test arithmetic. Can Rani do arithmetic?

## SUBTRACTION: 2 DIGIT WITH BORROWING



Facilitated by PRATHAM


Percentage of children


Maps may not be accurate or to-scale. These are mere representations.

## HOW TO READ THE TABLES: READI NG

In the learning section of the report, some of the tables have been presented in terms of children who can do certain reading tasks and some others in terms of children who cannot do these tasks. Since the numbers may not be obvious to the reader an explanation of how to read them is given below.

Reading: Children were tested on 5 levels of reading:

- The highest level (referred to as Level-2 in the ASER report) was whether they could read a simple "story" with long sentences of standard II difficulty.
- The next level (referred to as Level-1 in the ASER report) was a small "paragraph" with short sentences of standard I difficulty.
- The subsequent level was word recognition.
- The most basic level of reading was letter recognition.
- Finally, if the child could not even recognize letters of the alphabet, he/she was designated as a non-reader.

Note that children, who can read a simple story of standard II level may be capable of higher levels of reading. Therefore, the estimates in the learning tables were presented in terms of children who cannot do certain levels in reading.

EXAMPLE: Look at the table below that refers to reading levels of children in different standards. These tables were used to generate the learning curve graphs.

|  | Percentage of children who can read.... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Alphabets | Word | Para LEVEL-1 | Story LEVEL-2 | Total | In th | table, of all children in standard VIII: |
|  | These are | childre lev | who can - 2 | not read |  |  |  | 8.72\% can read a level 1 paragraph, and |
| 1 | 42.36 | 32.16 | 15.53 | 4.77 | 5.19 | 100 |  | 85.64\% can read a level 2 story |
| 11 | 18.04 | 28.25 | 26.71 | 14.38 | 12.61 | 100 | The | e, in standard VIII, the percentage of |
| III | 9.2 | 16.61 | 22.76 | 24.19 | 27.24 | 100 |  |  |
| IV | 5.09 | 9.33 | 14.63 | 24.57 | 46.39 | 100 |  | cannot read a level 2 story is the sum |
| V | 3.92 | 5.69 | 9.78 | 20.82 | 59.79 | 100 |  | who recognize alphabets, those who |
| VI | 2.78 | 3.42 | 6.41 | 15.66 | 71.73 | 100 |  | recognize words and finally those who can read a paragraph of level 1 difficulty |
| VII | 2.25 | 2.10 | 4.11 | 11.46 | 80.07 | 100 |  | $(14.36 \%=1.74+1.39+2.51+8.72)$. |
| VIII | 1.74 | 1.39 | 2.51 | 8.72 | 85.64 | 100 | $\square$ | Similarly the percentage of children who |
|  | 11.77 | 14.05 | 14.53 | 16.59 | 43.06 | 100 |  | cannot read a level 1 paragraph is the sum of those who can read nothing, |
| These are children <br> who cannot read <br> EVEN level-1 | These are children who cannot read EVEN level-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | those who recognize alphabets, and those who recognize words (5.64\% = $1.74+1.39+2.51)$. |

## HOW TO READ THE TABLES: ARITHMETIC

In the learning section of the report, some of the tables have been presented in terms of children who can do certain arithmetic tasks and some others in terms of children who cannot do these tasks. Since the numbers may not be obvious to the reader an explanation of how to read them is given below.

Arithmetic: Children were tested on 4 levels of arithmetic:

- The highest level was whether they could divide a 3 digit number by a 1 single digit number.
- The next level was 2 digit subtraction with borrowing.
- The subsequent level was number recognition between 1-100.
- If the child could not even recognize numbers, he/she was classified as a child who could not recognize numbers.

Note that children, who can divide a 3 digit number by a single digit number, might be capable of higher levels of arithmetic.

EXAMPLE: Look at the table below that refers to arithmetic levels of children in different standards. These tables were used to generate the learning curve graphs

| Std | Children who can solve |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nothing | Numberrecognition | Subtraction | Division | Total | Of all children in standard VIII:17.78\% can do subtraction, and69.02\% can divide. |
|  | These children cannot do division |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 57.87 | 33.69 | 5.53 | 2.90 | 100 | Therefore, in class VIII, the percentage of children who cannot divide is the sum of those who cannot even recognize numbers, those who can recognize numbers, and those who can do subtraction ( $30.98 \%=$ $3.59+9.61+17.78)$. |
| 11 | 32.08 | 44.77 | 16.97 | 6.18 | 100 |  |
| 111 | 18.14 | 35.59 | 31.66 | 14.61 | 100 |  |
| IV | 11.53 | 24.17 | 33.43 | 30.86 | 100 |  |
| V | 8.60 | 18.50 | 29.52 | 43.38 | 100 | Similarly the percentage of children who cannot subtract or divide is the sum of those who cannot even recognize numbers and those who can recognize numbers but cannot do subtraction (13.2\% = 3.59 + 9.61). |
| VI | 6.00 | 14.24 | 26.02 | 53.74 | 100 |  |
| VII | 4.73 | 11.81 | 21.31 | 62.16 | 100 |  |
| VIII | 3.59 | 9.61 | 17.78 | 69.02 | 100 |  |
|  | 19.60 | 26.36 | 23.49 | 30.56 | 100 |  |
| These children cannot do subtraction ( $n$ ) or division. |  |  | Can do up <br> to <br> subtraction <br> but not <br> division | Can do division AND subtraction |  |  |

## The National picture

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age group not in school |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never <br> Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 75.1 | 16.3 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 100 |
| Age: 6-10 ALL | 77.8 | 15.5 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 71.6 | 17.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 76.9 | 17.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GIRLS | 78.8 | 13.7 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 72.0 | 19.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GIRLS | 71.2 | 16.1 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 4.8 | 6.4 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children

| Rank | State | \% Out-of- <br> school <br> children |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Goa | 0.3 |
| 2 | Kerala | 1.6 |
| 3 | Karnataka | 1.9 |
| 4 | Uttaranchal | 2.0 |
| 5 | Tamil Nadu | 2.7 |
| 6 | Maharashtra | 2.8 |
| 7 | Gujarat | 3.6 |
| 8 | Madhya Pradesh | 4.0 |
| 9 | Punjab | 4.3 |
| 11 | \% Out-of- <br> school <br> children |  |
| 12 | Haryana | 4.4 |
| 13 | Uttar Pradesh | 4.7 |
| 14 | Andhra Pradesh | 5.3 |
| 15 | Orissa | 7.3 |
| 16 | Jharkhand | 7.4 |
| 17 | Rajasthan | 8.9 |
| 18 | Bihar | 9.8 |

Other states were not surveyed fully and are therefore not included in this table.

## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## ASER 2005: ALL INDIA FINDINGS (rural)

To guarantee that all children ( 6 to 14) enroll in school, stay in school through the elementary stage and receive education of satisfactory quality, the Government of India has launched a massive nationwide program of universalising elementary education. The objectives of Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA) are ${ }^{1}$ :

All children in school, Education Guarantee Centre, Alternate School, ‘ Back-to-School’ camp by 2003;
All children complete five years of primary schooling by 2007
All children complete eight years of elementary schooling by 2010
Focus on elementary education of satisfactory quality with emphasis on education for life
Bridge all gender and social category gaps at primary stage by 2007 and at elementary education level by 2010
Universal retention by 2010

## What does ASER 2005 say about I ndia's progress towards these goals?

## ENROLLMENT:

93.4\% children in 6 to 14 age group are enrolled in school.
$75.1 \%$ of children in the 6-14 age group are enrolled in government schools and $16.3 \%$ in private schools (aided + unaided). A very small proportion (around 1\% each) are enrolled in madarssa, EGS and alternate schools.

In some states, a substantial portion of children in this age group goes to private schools. In some states one third to one fifth of all children (6-14) go to private schools. For example: Haryana (34.5\%), Uttar Pradesh (28\%), Punjab (25.5\%), Kerala (22.4\%) and Rajasthan (21.9\%).

## OUT OF SCHOOL CHI LDREN:

6.6\% children in the 6-14 age group are not in school. This fact cannot be ignored. More than half of these out of school children were never enrolled in school.

ASER 2005 estimates of out of school children are based on population figures from the 2001 census.

These estimates indicate that about 12.5 million children are not in school based on latest population projection by the census. These include never-enrolled and dropped out children.

Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, Andhra Pradesh and Orissa account for $71.2 \%$ of all out of school children.

There are considerable state wise variations in the proportion of children out of school: several states such as Kerala, Karnataka, Uttaranchal, Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra, Goa and Gujarat have less than $4 \%$ children in the 6 to 14 age group out of school. Only Rajasthan and Bihar have more than $10 \%$ children out of school.

[^1]Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read $\ldots$ |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical <br> written sums of $\ldots$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or <br> Division | Division |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
** Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing.
Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

## \% All school children who can read-standardwise

| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 42.1 | 32.5 | 15.6 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 100 |
| II | 17.3 | 28.4 | 27.0 | 14.6 | 12.8 | 100 |
| III | 8.5 | 16.4 | 22.8 | 24.5 | 27.8 | 100 |
| IV | 4.6 | 9.2 | 14.6 | 24.7 | 47.0 | 100 |
| V | 3.1 | 5.3 | 9.5 | 20.7 | 61.3 | 100 |
| VI | 2.4 | 3.3 | 6.3 | 15.5 | 72.6 | 100 |
| VII | 1.8 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 11.3 | 81.0 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.5 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 8.4 | 86.4 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written <br> numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number <br> recogn | Subtracti <br> on | Division | Total |
| I | 57.6 | 34.0 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 100 |
| II | 31.3 | 45.2 | 17.2 | 6.3 | 100 |
| III | 17.4 | 35.6 | 32.1 | 15.0 | 100 |
| IV | 10.9 | 24.1 | 33.8 | 31.2 | 100 |
| V | 7.5 | 18.1 | 29.9 | 44.6 | 100 |
| VI | 5.5 | 14.1 | 26.0 | 54.5 | 100 |
| VII | 4.3 | 11.5 | 21.2 | 63.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 3.3 | 9.3 | 17.5 | 69.8 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Performance of top five and bottom five states in India based on \% all children Std V

|  | \% std V CANNOT read level-2 | Arithmetic Top-5 | \% std V <br> CANNOT <br> solve division |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kerala | 18.5 | West Bengal | 26.3 |
| Uttaranchal | 20.5 | Haryana | 35.8 |
| Chhatisgarh | 24.4 | Bihar | 36.8 |
| WestBengal | 24.5 | Uttaranchal | 39.8 |
| Bihar | 26.9 | Chhatisgarh | 41.3 |
| §f Bottom - 5 |  | Bottom - 5 |  |
| Tamil Nadu | 49.3 | Karnataka | 75.7 |
| UP | 48.7 | Tamil Nadu | 68.2 |
| Karnataka | 48.7 | Orissa | 68.1 |
| Gujarat | 48.3 | UP | 66.8 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 48.0 | Madhya Pradesh | 62.0 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## GENDER DI FFERENCES:

$60 \%$ of students in private schools are boys.
There are noteworthy state differences. At one end we have Rajasthan and Uttaranchal where the proportion of boys in private schools is over $65 \%$ and at the other end in Kerala where proportion of girls is $51 \%$. Boys and girls are almost even in Tamil Nadu, Karnataka and Maharashtra.

Girls as a percentage of all out-of-school children 6-14 are 52.8\% (52.3\% 6-10 and 55\% 1114).

## LEARNI NG: READI NG

ASER records basic reading levels as:
Level 1 is the ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences at standard I level of difficulty.
Level 2 is the ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences with standard II level of difficulty.

35\% of all children in the age group 7-14 could not read simple paragraphs (Level 1 text) and close to $52 \%$ could not read a short story (Level 2 text).

In the 7-10 age group, this number is higher with $48.2 \%$ children unable to read Level 1 paragraphs and almost 68\% unable to read Level 2 stories. For older children in the age group 11-14, 17.2\% could not read easy paragraphs (Level 1) and 31\% could not read stories (at Level 2 ).

44\% children studying in standard II to V in government schools cannot read easy paragraphs (Level 1). In private schools in standard II to V , this number is somewhat lower at $32 \%$. A much higher proportion in both types of schools ( 65.3 in government and 52.4 in private) cannot read Level 2 stories.

Although many more children in higher classes (standard 6 to 8) can read, there are still 22\% children in government schools and $17 \%$ in private schools who cannot read standard II level text.

There are wide state-wise variations in reading ability. For example, among children currently studying in standard V , only $25 \%$ or fewer children are unable to read Level 2 text in Kerala, Uttaranchal, Chattisgarh and West Bengal. But the proportion of children unable to read (Level 2) is substantially higher: close to $50 \%$ children in Uttar Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Gujarat, Karnataka and Madhya Pradesh cannot read simple ‘story' text. Bihar features in the top five states when ranked by standard V children's ability to read.

Note: Only states where all or almost all districts that have been surveyed are ranked here. States that were not fully surveyed are not ranked here.

## LEARNI NG: ARI THMETIC

$41 \%$ of children in the 7 to 14 age group are unable do either the two digit subtraction problem

## Teachers, children, and classrooms

| Teachers' attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 4895 | 3552 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 74.9 | 74.6 |
| \% of schools with NO <br> teachers present | 9.5 | 8.4 |
| \% of schools with ALL <br> teachers present | 50.9 | 36.5 |


| Children's attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 4935 | 3546 |
| \% enrolled children <br> attending (average) | 70.8 | 72.5 |
| \% of schools with less <br> than 50\% of enrolled <br> children attending | 15.8 | 14.0 |



| Average number of rooms available |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | \% <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-V <br> rooms | Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> S-VIII <br> rooms |
| $<=50$ | 13.5 | 2.7 | $<=150$ | 18.8 | 4.8 |
| $51-75$ | 12.4 | 3.1 | $151-250$ | 30.2 | 6.7 |
| $76-150$ | 32.6 | 3.7 | $251-350$ | 22.9 | 8.1 |
| $151-225$ | 21.7 | 4.3 | $351-450$ | 11.6 | 8.8 |
| $>225$ | 19.9 | 5.1 | $>450$ | 16.5 | 12.1 |

## Provision and use



$24.4 \%$ of the same age group could do the subtraction problems (2 digit problem with borrowing) but could not correctly do the division problems that were given to them.

For the younger age group, the numbers are higher: close to $54 \%$ cannot do the two-digit subtraction problem. For the older age group (11 to 14), about a quarter of the children could not do either subtraction or division and about half of all children could not do the division problem.

The gap between government and private school is also interesting. Private schools lead by about $12 \%$ in the younger age group and by $2.4 \%$ in the older age group. Even in private schools in the higher classes (standards VI to VIII ) $33.4 \%$ children could not do division problems that children are expected to do in primary grades. The picture in government schools is worse with 40\% children in standards VI to VIII unable to handle the simple division problem.

The All-India findings indicate that across the board, whether we look at the situation by age or standard or type of school, the level of arithmetic is weak and needs serious improvement.

As with reading, there are significant state-wise variations in arithmetic. For example, the arithmetic ability of standard V children in states like West Bengal, Haryana, Bihar, Uttaranchal and Chhattisgarh have over $50 \%$ children who can do the simple division problems. Again, the big surprises are the southern states: Tamil Nadu and Karnataka recording high percentages of children who cannot do the division problem that was given to them.

## SCHOOL FUNCTI ONI NG ${ }^{3}$ :

All school observation in formation pertains only to government schools in the surveyed villages.

## Teachers and children:

On average, over $75 \%$ teachers were found to be attending on the day of the visit in sampled schools. Less than $10 \%$ schools had no teachers and $51 \%$ schools at the primary level and $36 \%$ of schools at the upper primary level had all teachers present on the day of the visit.

Children's attendance patterns indicate that approximately 71\% of enrolled children in primary schools and close to $73 \%$ of children in schools up to standard VIII were in school on the day of the visit.

Bihar recorded the lowest attendance numbers with $51.8 \%$ of enrolled children attending. In several states, the attendance level was between $60 \%$ to $70 \%$. These are Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal, Jharkhand, Orissa and Madhya Pradesh. Other states have higher levels. Similar patterns were observed in upper primary schools. Regular and sustained attendance of children in school is clearly an issue in many states.

[^2]
## Performance of all states

| State | All <br> Children |  | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN solve <br> subtraction |  |
| Andhra Pradesh | 8.0 | 61.7 | 48.4 |  |
| Arunachal Pradesh* | 5.0 | 68.9 | 58.9 |  |
| Assam* | 7.5 | 54.8 | 40.9 |  |
| Bihar | 13.1 | 73.1 | 63.2 |  |
| Chhattisgarh | 4.7 | 75.6 | 58.7 |  |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 0.6 | 35.0 | 19.5 |  |
| Daman \& Diu | 1.7 | 37.6 | 35.4 |  |
| Goa | 0.3 | 68.1 | 45.3 |  |
| Gujarat | 3.6 | 51.7 | 42.6 |  |
| Haryana | 5.3 | 71.1 | 64.2 |  |
| Himachal Pradesh* | 1.0 | 89.6 | 75.2 |  |
| Jammu \& Kashmir* | 2.7 | 48.7 | 48.6 |  |
| Jharkhand | 9.8 | 67.1 | 47.6 |  |
| Karnataka | 1.9 | 51.3 | 24.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| State | AlI <br> Children |  | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% CAN <br> read <br> level-2 | \% CAN solve <br> subtraction |  |  |
| Kerala | 1.6 | 81.5 | 56.0 |  |
| Madhya Pradesh | 4.0 | 52.0 | 38.0 |  |
| Maharashtra | 2.8 | 67.3 | 39.5 |  |
| Manipur* | 13.7 | 74.3 | 56.3 |  |
| Meghalaya* | 8.1 | 90.4 | 71.1 |  |
| Nagaland* | 18.9 | 81.5 | 49.7 |  |
| Orissa | 8.9 | 58.4 | 31.9 |  |
| Punjab | 4.3 | 60.4 | 46.8 |  |
| Rajasthan | 10.4 | 62.0 | 47.8 |  |
| Tamil Nadu | 2.7 | 50.7 | 31.8 |  |
| Tripura* | 1.8 | 83.6 | 57.1 |  |
| Uttar Pradesh | 7.3 | 51.3 | 33.2 |  |
| Uttaranchal | 2.0 | 79.5 | 60.2 |  |
| West Bengal | 4.4 | 75.5 | 73.7 |  |
| \begin{tabular}{\|l|c|c|c|}
\hline
\end{tabular} |  |  |  |  |

*Partial coverage


Pupil-teacher ratio based on attendance (i.e. number of children actually present and number of teachers attending on the day of the visit.) shows that the all India pupil-teacher ratio is well below 40 - with the exception of Uttar Pradesh (49). The picture in schools that are up to the upper primary level (standard I to VII/VIII) reveal a similar pattern - all India is 33.3. Most States have a PTR on the day of the visit of below $50 .{ }^{4}$

At the national level, on average, there is one teacher in a school with enrollment of 50 or less and 2 teachers in schools of 51 to 75 children. However there are many states (like Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, Orissa, Jharkhand, Chattisgarh) which have median attendance of only 3 teachers or less in schools where children's enrollment is between 150 and 225.

## School facilities - provision and use:

$78 \%$ of primary schools visited had either a hand pump or a tap. Of these schools $85 \%$ had water supply. $60 \%$ of schools visited had toilet facilities out of which $70 \%$ were usable. (4891 primary schools visited.)
$83 \%$ of schools up to standard VIII had hand pump or a tap and $87 \%$ of those had water supply. $77 \%$ had toilets of which $72 \%$ were working. While upper primary school had better provisioning, there was not much difference in the proportion of those which are usable / functional. (3541 primary+upper primary schools visited.)

Out of the 8886 schools observed (primary schools and combined primary and upper primary) in more than $80 \%$ schools, children in standard V had textbooks.

The picture was very encouraging in several states where most children had textbooks in $90 \%$ of schools. This was the case in Rajasthan, W Bengal, Chhattisgarh, Madhya Pradesh, Gujarat, Maharashtra, Goa, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Kerala and Tamil Nadu. Availability of textbooks was relatively low in primary schools of Bihar (52.4\%), Jharkhand (35.1\%) and Orissa ( $32.3 \%$ ). The overall snapshot of textbook provision is a positive one suggesting that the supply and distribution of textbooks have improved greatly in large areas of the country.
$70 \%$ of schools visited were preparing or serving mid day meal.
However there are some noteworthy state-wise variations - in Punjab 17.3\% (where midday meal program is not yet being implemented on scale), Bihar 38.2\%, Goa 46\%, Uttar Pradesh $53.6 \%$, Jharkhand $65.5 \%$ and Orissa $63.3 \%$. The percentage was highest in Chhattisgarh (95.1\%) and Kerala (94.9\%).

ASER will be conducted on an annual basis until 2010. ASER 2005 shows that enrollment levels are very high in almost all states however the foundations of basic reading and arithmetic needs to be urgently strengthened in the early grades in school. A strong beginning is essential for building a solid foundation for elementary education.

Note : In some districts, very little data was available on "standard of child". Results from these districts should be regarded as anomalous. These are : Khammam (AP), Sonitpur (Assam), Durg (Chhatisgarh), Ujjan, Indore, Shadol and Barwani (MP) and Erode (TN).

[^3] written division problem 3 digit by 1 digit


Percentage of children


Maps may not be accurate or to-scale. These are mere representations.

# Jammu and Kashmir Himachal Pradesh Uttaranchal <br> Punjab Haryana 

Facilitated by PRATHAM
All analyses based on data from 7 out of 14 districts

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age group not in school |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 77.6 | 17.7 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Age: 6-10 ALL | 76.7 | 19.1 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 78.9 | 16.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 76.3 | 20.7 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GIRLS | 77.3 | 16.9 | 0.1 | 4.4 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 77.6 | 18.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GI RLS | 80.8 | 12.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children



Percentage of children


## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read $\ldots$ |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical <br> written sums of $\ldots$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level $1^{*}$ | Level $2^{* *}$ |  | Division |
| Age : 7-14 ALL | 36.6 | 59.0 | 32.6 | 59.2 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 52.8 | 77.3 | 46.4 | 76.5 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 20.7 | 41.1 | 19.4 | 42.6 |
| Govt: Std II-V | 49.8 | 72.4 | 43.4 | 71.0 |
| Pvt:Std II-V | 31.4 | 58.9 | 19.8 | 62.6 |
| Govt : Std VI-VIII | 10.4 | 30.2 | 10.5 | 31.3 |
| Pvt:Std VI-VIII | 10.5 | 34.9 | 1.7 | 19.9 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing. Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |  |
| I | 13.9 | 33.0 | 37.5 | 8.8 | 6.8 | 100 |  |
| II | 7.6 | 19.5 | 46.1 | 17.8 | 9.0 | 100 |  |
| III | 1.8 | 10.1 | 38.5 | 28.1 | 21.5 | 100 |  |
| IV | 2.3 | 10.9 | 33.2 | 22.9 | 30.9 | 100 |  |
| V | 1.2 | 4.4 | 22.2 | 23.5 | 48.7 | 100 |  |
| VI | 0.8 | 1.5 | 14.5 | 22.7 | 60.5 | 100 |  |
| VII | 0.3 | 1.3 | 6.7 | 17.0 | 74.7 | 100 |  |
| VIII | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 21.8 | 76.1 | 100 |  |
| Total | 3.4 | 10.2 | 26.5 | 20.8 | 39.1 | 100 |  |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written <br> numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number <br> recogn | Subtracti <br> on | Division | Total |
| I | 21.3 | 59.9 | 12.3 | 6.6 | 100 |
| II | 10.0 | 53.8 | 26.7 | 9.7 | 100 |
| III | 2.8 | 40.3 | 37.7 | 19.2 | 100 |
| IV | 3.2 | 36.9 | 25.6 | 34.4 | 100 |
| V | 2.6 | 18.7 | 30.1 | 48.6 | 100 |
| VI | 0.8 | 10.0 | 27.5 | 61.8 | 100 |
| VII | 0.3 | 7.5 | 16.9 | 75.3 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.7 | 5.9 | 12.3 | 81.1 | 100 |
| Total | 5.0 | 29.6 | 25.1 | 40.3 | 100 |

## Performance of surveyed districts

| District | All <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level -2 | \% CAN solve <br> subtraction |
| Punch | 6.2 | 23.3 | 50.0 |
| Jammu | 3.0 | 15.7 | 55.2 |
| Udhampur | 3.0 | 39.5 | 56.0 |
| Leh(Ladakh) | 2.4 | 30.9 | 52.7 |
| Riasi | 1.9 | 62.2 | 80.8 |
| Kargil | 1.7 | 42.2 | 78.9 |
| Kathua | 1.4 | 34.7 | 73.8 |
| Doda | 0.9 | 37.3 | $\mathbf{8 7 . 2}$ |
| Jammu \& Kashmir <br> State | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 . 5}$ |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different <br> types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age <br> group not in school |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never <br> Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 91.2 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 ALL | 89.1 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 94.7 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 88.5 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GI RLS | 89.8 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 BOYS | 94.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GIRLS | 95.4 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children

## Percentage of children



## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age : 7-14 ALL | 19.8 | 35.6 | 22.0 | 44.9 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 33.8 | 58.7 | 36.8 | 70.0 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 1.4 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 12.1 |
| Govt: Std II-V | 28.4 | 52.4 | 30.4 | 63.2 |
| Pvt: Std II-V | 19.6 | 42.6 | 30.0 | 66.6 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 7.0 |
| Pvt : Std VI-VIII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.
subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing.
Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.


## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| $\%$ All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |  |
| I | 20.8 | 57.7 | 17.1 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 100 |  |
| II | 1.4 | 36.5 | 36.1 | 17.0 | 8.9 | 100 |  |
| III | 1.5 | 8.4 | 23.6 | 39.4 | 27.2 | 100 |  |
| IV | 0.0 | 1.7 | 6.5 | 32.9 | 58.9 | 100 |  |
| V | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 7.7 | 89.5 | 100 |  |
| VI | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 98.5 | 100 |  |
| VII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 99.2 | 100 |  |
| VIII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 98.4 | 100 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| Std | Nothing | Number <br> recogn | Subtracti <br> on | Division | Total |
| I | 29.5 | 67.0 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 100 |
| II | 7.5 | 71.2 | 18.6 | 2.7 | 100 |
| III | 1.8 | 32.0 | 51.2 | 15.0 | 100 |
| IV | 0.6 | 12.1 | 41.4 | 46.0 | 100 |
| V | 1.3 | 2.1 | 21.4 | 75.2 | 100 |
| VI | 0.0 | 1.3 | 10.5 | 88.3 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 93.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 98.4 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## Performance of surveyed districts

| District | AlI <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN solve <br> subtraction |
|  | 2.0 | 65.3 | 68.7 |
| Chamba | 2.0 | 70.4 | 88.4 |
| Sirmaur | 1.4 | 50.7 | 91.8 |
| Mandi | 0.6 | 66.2 | 84.4 |
| Una | 0.0 | 43.0 | 86.3 |
| Himachal Pradesh <br> state | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 . 3}$ |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


Facilitated by PRATHAM
All analyses based on data from 12 out of 13 districts

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age group not in school |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 78.0 | 19.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Age: 6-10 ALL | 77.1 | 21.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 80.2 | 15.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 73.6 | 24.9 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 Gl RLS | 81.6 | 16.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 77.9 | 18.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GI RLS | 83.1 | 11.9 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 3.7 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children



Percentage of children


## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age : 7-14 ALL | 23.2 | 37.0 | 30.3 | 56.7 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 34.7 | 52.4 | 43.7 | 74.3 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 7.5 | 16.0 | 11.4 | 32.0 |
| Govt : Std II-V | 32.2 | 51.3 | 40.9 | 72.6 |
| Pvt: Std II-V | 19.2 | 32.2 | 31.1 | 65.0 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 4.4 | 11.4 | 7.6 | 26.2 |
| Pvt: Std VI-VIII | 2.4 | 7.8 | 2.9 | 18.1 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.
subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing. Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.


## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading
\% All school children who can read-standardwise

| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 30.7 | 42.7 | 17.3 | 4.2 | 5.1 | 100 |
| II | 9.0 | 29.4 | 29.8 | 14.5 | 17.3 | 100 |
| III | 4.9 | 9.8 | 16.5 | 26.1 | 42.6 | 100 |
| IV | 2.3 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 20.9 | 64.5 | 100 |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | 2.3 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 11.3 | 79.5 | 100 |
| VI | 0.4 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 8.6 | 86.3 | 100 |
| VII | 0.7 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 6.1 | 90.5 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.3 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 4.5 | 92.5 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number recogn | Subtracti on | Division | Total |
| I | 36.3 | 54.8 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 100 |
| II | 14.5 | 67.0 | 13.8 | 4.7 | 100 |
| III | 8.9 | 33.5 | 45.6 | 12.1 | 100 |
| IV | 5.2 | 17.1 | 43.8 | 33.8 | 100 |
| V | 3.8 | 9.1 | 26.9 | 60.2 | 100 |
| VI | 1.3 | 5.8 | 22.0 | 70.9 | 100 |
| VII | 1.8 | 4.9 | 14.3 | 79.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.1 | 5.5 | 16.3 | 77.2 | 100 |
| Total | 10.0 | 27.8 | 25.1 | 37.1 | 100 |

Performance of top five and bottom five districts in state based on \% all children Std V

| Reading | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> read level-2 | Arithmetic | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> solve <br> subtraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dehradun | 2.1 | Nainital | 9.4 |
| Hardwar | 20.8 | Dehradun | 16.1 |
| Nainital | 27.9 | Hardwar | 19.9 |
| Chamoli | 38.6 | Rudraprayag | 21.3 |
| Tehri Garhwal | 43.3 | Chamoli | 23.2 |
| 5f Bottom-5 |  | Bottom - 5 |  |
| Udham Singh Na | gar 60.6 | Udham Singh Nagar | 46.2 |
| Champawat | 55.0 | Uttarkashi | 31.8 |
| Rudraprayag | 53.7 | Almora | 28.0 |
| Almora | 51.4 | Champawat | 26.9 |
| Uttarkashi | 51.0 | Bageshwar | 26.0 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Teachers, children, and classrooms

| Teachers' attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 186 | 15 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 71.8 | 89.6 |
| \% of schools with NO <br> teachers present | 11.3 | 0.0 |
| \% of schools with ALL <br> teachers present | 49.5 | 73.3 |


| Children's attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 188 | 15 |
| \% enrolled children <br> attending (average) | 82.9 | 80.5 |
| \% of schools with less <br> than 50\% of enrolled <br> children attending | 5.9 | 6.7 |



| Average number of rooms available |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-V <br> rooms | Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-VIIII <br> rooms |
| $<=50$ | 23 | 3.2 | $<=150$ | 60 | 4.2 |
| $51-75$ | 25 | 3.4 | $151-250$ | 33 | 8.0 |
| $76-150$ | 32 | 3.2 | $251-350$ | 7 | 6.0 |
| $151-225$ | 10 | 3.3 | $351-450$ | 0 | 0.0 |
| $>225$ | 10 | 5.4 | $>450$ | 0 | 0.0 |

## Provision and use




## Performance of all districts

| District | All <br> Children |  | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |  |
|  | 2.2 | 48.6 | 72.0 |  |
| Bageshwar | 1.7 | 51.7 | 74.0 |  |
| Chamoli | 0.5 | 61.4 | 76.8 |  |
| Champawat | 2.8 | 45.0 | 73.1 |  |
| Dehradun | 0.3 | 97.9 | 83.9 |  |
| Haridwar | 0.6 | 79.2 | 80.1 |  |


| District | AlI <br> Children | Std I II to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |
| Nainital | 4.6 | 72.1 | 90.6 |
| Rudraprayag | 0.6 | 46.3 | 78.7 |
| Tehri Garhwal | 0.0 | 56.7 | 74.4 |
| Udham Singh Nagar | 5.6 | 39.4 | 53.8 |
| Uttarkashi | 1.4 | 49.0 | 68.2 |
| Uttaranchal State | $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 8}$ |



## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age group not in school |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 70.0 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 100 |
| Age: 6-10 ALL | 68.9 | 28.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 73.4 | 20.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 67.5 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Age: 6-10 GIRLS | 70.6 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 71.8 | 22.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 4.2 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GIRLS | 75.4 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children

## Gender differences



Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | $\begin{gathered} \text { Subtraction or } \\ \text { Division } \end{gathered}$ | Division |
| Age: 7-14 ALL | 34.3 | 52.8 | 35.4 | 64.1 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 52.6 | 74.5 | 54.0 | 83.9 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 13.3 | 28.0 | 14.4 | 41.7 |
| Govt : Std II-V | 46.2 | 69.6 | 47.7 | 79.3 |
| Pvt : Std II-V | 37.7 | 59.7 | 39.9 | 73.0 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 9.5 | 23.0 | 11.0 | 36.9 |
| Pvt : Std VI-VIII | 8.8 | 15.8 | 7.5 | 27.6 |

* Level-1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing
Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |  |
| I | 39.7 | 35.2 | 16.8 | 5.2 | 3.1 | 100 |  |
| II | 17.2 | 33.8 | 26.0 | 15.5 | 7.5 | 100 |  |
| III | 5.5 | 21.5 | 26.9 | 26.1 | 20.0 | 100 |  |
| IV | 4.1 | 11.9 | 16.1 | 28.5 | 39.4 | 100 |  |
| V | 2.3 | 5.4 | 10.6 | 21.3 | 60.4 | 100 |  |
| VI | 1.5 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 17.4 | 70.2 | 100 |  |
| VII | 1.4 | 2.0 | 5.9 | 8.7 | 82.0 | 100 |  |
| VIII | 0.5 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 8.5 | 86.0 | 100 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number recogn | Subtracti on | Division | Total |
| I | 51.8 | 39.4 | 6.5 | 2.4 | 100 |
| II | 27.7 | 50.1 | 17.9 | 4.4 | 100 |
| III | 13.8 | 42.4 | 31.0 | 12.7 | 100 |
| IV | 9.3 | 25.4 | 43.5 | 21.8 | 100 |
| V | 5.5 | 13.9 | 33.8 | 46.8 | 100 |
| VI | 3.8 | 10.4 | 31.1 | 54.8 | 100 |
| VII | 2.5 | 6.0 | 22.4 | 69.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.6 | 5.3 | 18.8 | 74.3 | 100 |
| Total | 14.7 | 25.8 | 27.0 | 32.5 | 100 |

Performance of top five and bottom five districts in state based on \% all children Std V

| Reading | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> read level-2 | Arithmetic | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> solve <br> subtraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faridkot | 49.0 | Mansa* | 21.1 |
| Nawanshahr* | 50.3 | Kapurthala | 22.0 |
| Firozpur | 53.2 | Hoshiarpur | 25.1 |
| Hoshiarpur | 54.7 | Nawanshahr* | 29.1 |
| Fatehgarh Sahib | 55.1 | Firozpur | 30.6 |
| Bottom - 5 |  | Bottom - 5 |  |
| Bathinda | 80.8 | Muktsar* | 52.4 |
| Gurdaspur | 64.6 | Bathinda | 51.9 |
| Ludhiana | 64.6 | J alandhar | 45.4 |
| Moga* | 63.5 | Ludhiana | 45.2 |
| Muktsar* | 63.4 | Amritsar | 43.3 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Teachers, children, and classrooms

| Teachers' attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I-VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 185 | 82 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 66.4 | 71.1 |
| \% of schools with NO <br> teachers present | 13.0 | 13.4 |
| \% of schools with ALL <br> teachers present | 36.2 | 23.2 |


| Children's attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 186 | 84 |
| \% enrolled children <br> attending (average) | 78.9 | 83.2 |
| \% of schools with less <br> than 50\% of enrolled <br> children attending | 6.5 | 2.4 |



| Average number of rooms available |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-V <br> rooms | Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-VIII <br> ooms |
| $<=50$ | 16 | 3.1 | $<=150$ | 13 | 5.9 |
| $51-75$ | 13 | 3.7 | $151-250$ | 31 | 9.9 |
| $76-150$ | 37 | 4.7 | $251-350$ | 30 | 11.1 |
| $151-225$ | 24 | 5.7 | $351-450$ | 14 | 10.8 |
| $>225$ | 10 | 7.2 | $>450$ | 12 | 16.9 |

## Provision and use




## Performance of all districts

| District | All <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |
|  | 3.0 | 37.8 | 56.7 |
| Bathinda | 9.0 | 19.2 | 48.1 |
| Faridkot | 6.2 | 51.0 | 64.2 |
| Fatehgarh Sahib | 0.8 | 44.9 | 65.4 |
| Firozpur | 9.1 | 46.8 | 69.4 |
| Gurdaspur | 2.7 | 35.4 | 58.5 |
| Hoshiarpur | 1.6 | 45.3 | 74.9 |
| Jalandhar | 2.7 | 39.5 | 54.6 |
| Kapurthala | 3.1 | 37.6 | 78.0 |


| District | AlI <br> Children |  | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |  |
| Ludhiana | 1.7 | 35.4 | 54.8 |  |
| Mansa* | 7.4 | 43.3 | 78.9 |  |
| Moga* | 9.7 | 36.5 | 61.4 |  |
| Muktsar* | 17.6 | 36.6 | 47.6 |  |
| Nawanshahr* | 3.3 | 49.7 | 70.9 |  |
| Patiala | 2.2 | 40.6 | 68.8 |  |
| Rupnagar | 0.9 | 39.2 | 64.6 |  |
| Sangrur | 2.2 | 44.1 | 66.5 |  |
| PANJ AB STATE | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 4}$ |  |

 सठघाब भर्णिय से सममक वीडे। मवृद्र दिध हिमतर वीडा। सहिभां दृए घाग़ा दी ठाट्टे। विवसे डे मावे मरिठ सा उँवत

 पाना घाइ दी द्रोक्या। बत्ड डे परिमिउर टे fिथमी घडे सम हाल झंछा एविठंपे
 द्याटिए मी।
fिउ ली छेछां हिठ उापे हे । राहा ती मों है वे भीछी ठाट्टे हे । भां मर्छे यठंठे घल वे दिएांची चै। रत्टी वैठी पूधा इूलटी वै।
 टठ टठ वठवे मेन पंट्टे के।
 भमीं हि हि तान हेषेटे गां।

Facilitated by PRATHAM

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age group not in school |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 59.2 | 34.4 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 100 |
| Age: 6-10 ALL | 59.4 | 35.4 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 59.3 | 32.8 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 5.3 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 56.8 | 38.7 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GI RLS | 62.7 | 31.2 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 56.0 | 38.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GIRLS | 63.9 | 25.0 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 7.1 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children

## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools



Percentage of children


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.

## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age : 7-14 ALL | 27.1 | 43.4 | 27.4 | 48.5 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 42.3 | 62.3 | 40.7 | 66.4 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 9.6 | 21.7 | 12.0 | 27.8 |
| Govt : Std II-V | 42.4 | 64.9 | 42.8 | 70.1 |
| Pvt: Std II-V | 26.2 | 46.4 | 23.6 | 50.6 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 5.9 | 17.0 | 8.5 | 23.6 |
| Pvt: Std VI-VIII | 2.3 | 8.3 | 3.3 | 13.0 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.
subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing. Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.


## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |
| I | 37.6 | 36.9 | 12.9 | 5.7 | 7.0 | 100 |
| II | 15.2 | 27.1 | 27.3 | 16.8 | 13.7 | 100 |
| III | 7.2 | 13.5 | 22.6 | 27.4 | 29.3 | 100 |
| IV | 3.4 | 7.3 | 15.6 | 24.0 | 49.7 | 100 |
| V | 0.9 | 3.8 | 6.1 | 18.2 | 71.1 | 100 |
| VI | 1.1 | 1.8 | 4.4 | 12.6 | 80.1 | 100 |
| VII | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 8.6 | 87.6 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 5.9 | 92.0 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number recogn | Subtracti on | Division | Total |
| 1 | 51.8 | 35.1 | 7.4 | 5.7 | 100 |
| 11 | 26.4 | 41.2 | 21.5 | 11.0 | 100 |
| III | 13.9 | 27.0 | 34.4 | 24.7 | 100 |
| IV | 7.7 | 17.7 | 30.5 | 44.1 | 100 |
| V | 2.8 | 10.8 | 22.2 | 64.2 | 100 |
| VI | 2.1 | 6.6 | 17.4 | 73.9 | 100 |
| VII | 1.6 | 6.0 | 12.5 | 80.0 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.6 | 2.6 | 8.8 | 88.0 | 100 |
| Total | 13.8 | 19.6 | 20.7 | 45.9 | 100 |

Performance of top five and bottom five districts in state based on \% all children Std V

|  | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> read level-2 | Arithmetic Top-5 | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> solve <br> subtraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatehabad* | 26.0 | Rewari | 3.7 |
| Rewari | 31.6 | Rohtak | 15.4 |
| Rohtak | 35.8 | Fatehabad* | 15.6 |
| Mahendragarh | 37.8 | Sirsa | 18.8 |
| J ind | 40.7 | Hisar | 19.3 |
| 5\% Bottom - 5 |  | Bottom - 5 |  |
| Karnal | 62.9 | Karnal | 43.1 |
| Yamunanagar | 62.7 | Yamunanagar | 40.9 |
| Gurgaon | 62.3 | Gurgaon | 38.1 |
| Kaithal | 58.9 | Bhiwani | 37.5 |
| Panchkula* | 57.7 | Kurukshetra | 36.8 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Teachers, children, and classrooms

| Teachers' attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 269 | 106 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 74.4 | 71.9 |
| \% of schools with NO <br> teachers present | 3.3 | 4.7 |
| \% of schools with ALL <br> teachers present | 34.6 | 18.9 |


| Children's attendance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 270 | 107 |
| \% enrolled children <br> attending (average) | 79.5 | 82.1 |
| \% of schools with less <br> than 50\% of enrolled <br> children attending | 3.3 | 0.9 |



| Average number of rooms available |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-V <br> rooms | Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-VIIII <br> rooms |
| $<=50$ | 6 | 4.3 | $<=150$ | 6 | 5.7 |
| $51-75$ | 9 | 4.1 | $151-250$ | 25 | 10.5 |
| $76-150$ | 29 | 5.4 | $251-350$ | 26 | 11.1 |
| $151-225$ | 25 | 6.4 | $351-450$ | 21 | 13.3 |
| $>225$ | 31 | 8.2 | $>450$ | 22 | 14.1 |

## Provision and use




## Performance of all districts

| District | AlI <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |
| Ambala | 1.9 | 43.0 | 63.3 |
| Bhiwani | 2.9 | 42.6 | 62.5 |
| Faridabad | 8.7 | 50.4 | 75.3 |
| Fatehabad* | 8.4 | 74.0 | 84.4 |
| Gurgaon | 9.5 | 37.7 | 61.9 |
| Hisar | 9.7 | 48.1 | 80.7 |
| J hajjar* | 2.6 | 51.6 | 74.6 |
| J ind | 3.7 | 59.3 | 74.7 |
| Kaithal | 6.8 | 41.1 | 70.1 |
| Karnal | 5.5 | 37.1 | 56.9 |


|  | AlI <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |  |
| Kurukshetra | 2.7 | 53.2 | 63.2 |
| Mahendragarh | 3.6 | 62.2 | 74.2 |
| Panchkula* | 2.7 | 42.3 | 63.4 |
| Panipat | 3.8 | 44.2 | 77.8 |
| Rewari | 0.8 | 68.4 | 96.3 |
| Rohtak | 3.5 | 64.2 | 84.6 |
| Sirsa | 6.8 | 47.8 | 81.2 |
| Sonipat | 5.0 | 49.3 | 80.7 |
| Yamunanagar | 2.1 | 37.3 | 59.1 |
| Haryana state | $\mathbf{5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 . 3}$ |

## Reading Test: Sample 2



एक बड़े तालाब के किनारे बहुत से कछुए रहते थे। लड़के तालाब के किनारे जाते और कछुओं को देखते। कमी कहुए चलते तो कभी हाथ-पैर अंदर कर लेते, जैसे कोई पत्थर हों। लड़के यह देखकर खूब ज़ोर से हँसते व ताली बजाते। घर जाकर सबको कछुए की कहानी सुनाते।

गरमी का मौसम है। सबको गरमी लग रही है। लोग नीबू का शरबत पी रहे है। और छाता खोलकर घूम रहे है।

$\qquad$


# Rajasthan <br> Uttar Pradesh Bihar <br> West Bengal <br> Jharkhand 

Facilitated by PRATHAM

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different <br> types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age <br> group not in school |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never <br> Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 67.0 | 21.9 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 ALL | 68.5 | 23.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 65.1 | 19.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 6.8 | 8.4 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 67.6 | 27.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GI RLS | 69.7 | 18.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 7.4 | 3.2 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 BOYS | 67.4 | 23.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 5.5 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GI RLS | 61.8 | 13.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 11.4 | 12.5 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children

## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.


## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age: 7-14 ALL | 36.5 | 51.4 | 42.2 | 61.1 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 49.9 | 66.9 | 55.6 | 75.7 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 19.3 | 31.5 | 25.1 | 42.3 |
| Govt : Std II-V | 49.5 | 68.3 | 55.3 | 78.4 |
| Pvt : Std II-V | 31.7 | 53.0 | 38.2 | 62.2 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 7.0 | 19.5 | 14.0 | 33.5 |
| Pvt : Std VI-VIII | 4.2 | 13.0 | 8.4 | 20.4 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing. Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading
\% All school children who can read-standardwise

| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 58.3 | 26.8 | 9.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 100 |
| II | 25.6 | 30.5 | 25.7 | 10.7 | 7.6 | 100 |
| III | 10.9 | 17.1 | 25.3 | 21.9 | 24.8 | 100 |
| IV | 5.9 | 9.1 | 14.3 | 24.6 | 46.1 | 100 |
| V | 3.3 | 5.4 | 8.3 | 21.0 | 62.0 | 100 |
| VI | 3.1 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 16.5 | 74.4 | 100 |
| VII | 2.0 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 10.1 | 84.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 2.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 6.2 | 90.5 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| Std | Nothing | Number <br> recogn | Subtracti <br> on | Division | Total |
| I | 71.4 | 24.9 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 100 |
| II | 39.6 | 44.3 | 10.7 | 5.3 | 100 |
| III | 22.3 | 36.7 | 25.5 | 15.5 | 100 |
| IV | 13.4 | 24.2 | 30.3 | 32.1 | 100 |
| V | 9.1 | 16.1 | 27.1 | 47.8 | 100 |
| VI | 6.4 | 10.5 | 23.3 | 59.8 | 100 |
| VII | 4.5 | 6.8 | 16.0 | 72.8 | 100 |
| VIII | 4.1 | 4.0 | 11.3 | 80.6 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Performance of top five and bottom five districts in state based on \% all children Std V

|  | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> read level-2 | Arithmetic Top-5 | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT solve subtraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sikar | 33.3 | Hanumangarh | 17.1 |
| Jalor | 42.9 | J alor | 18.8 |
| Churu | 43.1 | Barmer | 22.6 |
| Barmer | 43.4 | Pali | 27.4 |
| Ganganagar | 43.9 | Sikar | 29.3 |
| ¢f Bottom-5 |  | Bottom - 5 |  |
| Sirohi | 88.1 | Sirohi | 53.6 |
| J halawar | 70.0 | Dungarpur | 55.1 |
| Ajmer | 69.8 | Chittaurgar | 51.9 |
| Dungarpur | 69.5 | Banswara | 71.8 |
| J aipur | 67.7 | Ajmer | 61.2 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Teachers, children, and classrooms

| Teachers' attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 321 | 281 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 76.2 | 76.2 |
| \% of schools with NO <br> teachers present | 6.5 | 4.3 |
| \% of schools with ALL <br> teachers present | 48.3 | 33.1 |


| Children's attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 320 | 280 |
| \% enrolled children <br> attending (average) | 69.7 | 73.2 |
| \% of schools with less <br> than 50\% of enrolled <br> children attending | 13.8 | 6.4 |



| Average number of rooms available |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-V <br> rooms | Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-VIII <br> rooms |
| $<=50$ | 12 | 3.9 | $<=150$ | 16 | 6.1 |
| $51-75$ | 16 | 4.1 | $151-250$ | 42 | 8.2 |
| $76-150$ | 36 | 4.9 | $251-350$ | 29 | 9.2 |
| $151-225$ | 22 | 6.0 | $351-450$ | 8 | 10.5 |
| $>225$ | 14 | 7.5 | $>450$ | 5 | 9.8 |

## Provision and use




## Performance of all districts

| District | All Children | Std III to V children |  | District | All Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-ofschool | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% CAN } \\ \text { read } \\ \text { level - } 2 \end{gathered}$ | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |  | \% Out-ofschool | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { CAN } \\ \text { read } \\ \text { level }-2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% CAN solve subtraction |
| Ajmer | 14.7 | 30.2 | 38.8 | J aipur | 6.6 | 32.3 | 48.6 |
| Alwar | 9.2 | 36.4 | 56.5 | J aisalmer | 15.5 | 36.3 | 61.2 |
| Banswara | 18.0 | 32.6 | 28.2 | J alor | 15.1 | 57.1 | 81.2 |
| Baran* | 11.4 | 51.9 | 61.1 | $J$ halawar | 18.6 | 30.0 | 56.2 |
| Barmer | 13.6 | 56.6 | 77.4 | J hunjhunun | 1.6 | 49.6 | 63.4 |
| Bharatpur | 12.3 | 42.1 | 52.4 | J odhpur | 10.8 | 40.8 | 53.7 |
| Bhilwara | 15.3 | 42.6 | 63.4 | Karauli* | 6.4 | 42.5 | 67.9 |
| Bikaner | 18.9 | 47.8 | 68.0 | Kota | 6.9 | 46.1 | 50.0 |
| Bundi | 12.7 | 42.7 | 62.4 | Nagaur | 8.5 | 38.0 | 53.8 |
| Chittaurgar | 7.8 | 44.4 | 48.1 | Pali | 4.5 | 41.4 | 72.6 |
| Churu | 4.6 | 56.9 | 70.5 | Rajasamand* | 7.4 | 42.6 | 56.1 |
| Dausa* | 9.7 | 54.3 | 56.3 | Sawai Madhopur | 15.2 | 47.3 | 51.6 |
| Dhaulpur | 14.0 | 37.7 | 58.1 | Sikar | 6.7 | 66.7 | 70.7 |
| Dungarpur | 8.2 | 30.5 | 44.9 | Sirohi | 14.5 | 11.9 | 46.4 |
| Ganganagar | 7.6 | 56.1 | 66.3 | Tonk | 9.6 | 50.0 | 60.5 |
| Hanumangarh | 3.5 | 49.0 | 82.9 | Udaipur | 13.6 | 52.6 | 49.8 |
|  |  |  |  | Rajasthan state | 10.4 | 44.7 | 59.7 |

Reading Test:


गरमी का मौसम है।
सबको गरमी लग रही है। लोग नीबू का शरबत पी रहे है। और छाता खोलकर घूम रहे है।

मैं पापा के साथ बाज़ार गया। बाजा और जूता लाया।
बाजा वजाकर गीत गाऊँगा। का पहनकर घूमने जाऊँगा।

Facilitated by PRATHAM
All analyses based on data from 69 out of 69 districts

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age group not in school |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 63.1 | 27.9 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 100 |
| Age: 6-10 ALL | 67.2 | 25.7 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 4.1 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 56.0 | 31.9 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 64.9 | 28.6 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 1.0 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GIRLS | 70.1 | 22.0 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 55.8 | 34.6 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GIRLS | 56.2 | 28.5 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 6.5 | 7.4 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children

## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age : 7-14 ALL | 46.4 | 61.3 | 53.6 | 74.0 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 62.0 | 77.4 | 67.7 | 86.2 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 25.2 | 39.4 | 34.4 | 57.5 |
| Govt: Std II-V | 61.3 | 78.9 | 67.6 | 87.7 |
| Pvt: Std II-V | 35.7 | 56.2 | 43.4 | 71.8 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 16.8 | 31.3 | 29.1 | 54.5 |
| Pvt : Std VI-VIII | 7.0 | 16.4 | 14.2 | 34.6 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing.
Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |  |
| I | 52.0 | 29.3 | 12.3 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 100 |  |
| II | 24.6 | 34.9 | 21.0 | 11.7 | 7.8 | 100 |  |
| III | 14.8 | 23.8 | 22.1 | 19.9 | 19.5 | 100 |  |
| IV | 7.9 | 16.8 | 18.1 | 21.7 | 35.6 | 100 |  |
| V | 5.0 | 10.8 | 12.0 | 20.9 | 51.3 | 100 |  |
| VI | 3.5 | 6.1 | 7.6 | 15.7 | 67.2 | 100 |  |
| VII | 2.8 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 11.4 | 76.4 | 100 |  |
| VIII | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 9.0 | 83.7 | 100 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number recogn | Subtracti on | Division | Total |
| I | 70.6 | 24.8 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 100 |
| 11 | 45.3 | 38.4 | 12.1 | 4.2 | 100 |
| III | 30.5 | 36.3 | 22.8 | 10.4 | 100 |
| IV | 21.6 | 29.2 | 27.3 | 21.9 | 100 |
| V | 14.4 | 23.2 | 29.2 | 33.2 | 100 |
| VI | 9.6 | 18.1 | 25.7 | 46.7 | 100 |
| VII | 8.4 | 13.2 | 23.0 | 55.4 | 100 |
| VIII | 5.9 | 12.5 | 20.7 | 60.9 | 100 |
| Total | 30.3 | 27.2 | 19.8 | 22.8 | 100 |

Performance of top five and bottom five districts in state based on \% all children Std V

| Reading | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> read level-2 | Arithmetic Top-5 | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> solve <br> subtraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Saharanpur | 31.2 | Chitrakoot* | 6.5 |
| Ghaziabad | 38.1 | Firozabad | 12.2 |
| J hansi | 42.3 | Chandauli* | 12.6 |
| Baghpat* | 45.4 | Ghaziabad | 13.9 |
| Gautam Buddha Nag | r* 48.2 | Varanasi | 16.0 |
| Bottom - 5 |  | Bottom - 5 |  |
| Shrawasti* | 86.7 | Kheri | 83.1 |
| Mahoba* | 87.3 | Etah | 79.2 |
| Farrukhabad | 87.4 | J aunpur | 78.0 |
| Etah | 89.9 | J alaun | 75.4 |
| J alaun | 91.7 | Shrawasti* | 74.2 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Teachers, children, and classrooms

| Teachers' attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 783 | 359 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 76.0 | 66.6 |
| \% of schools with NO <br> teachers present | 7.8 | 24.8 |
| \% of schools with ALL <br> teachers present | 52.0 | 51.5 |


| Children's attendance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 791 | 337 |
| \% enrolled children <br> attending (average) | 63.6 | 58.7 |
| \% of schools with less <br> than 50\% of enrolled <br> children attending | 23.1 | 28.5 |



| Average number of rooms available |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-V <br> rooms | Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-VIII <br> rooms |
| $<=50$ | 1 | 2.9 | $<=150$ | 31 | 2.7 |
| $51-75$ | 3 | 2.7 | $151-250$ | 32 | 4.1 |
| $76-150$ | 25 | 3.0 | $251-350$ | 21 | 4.4 |
| $151-225$ | 30 | 3.6 | $351-450$ | 9 | 4.7 |
| $>225$ | 41 | 3.8 | $>450$ | 7 | 5.7 |

## Provision and use




## Performance of all districts

| District | All Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-ofschool | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% CAN } \\ \text { read } \\ \text { level - } 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% CAN solve subtraction |
| Agra | 8.8 | 34.2 | 43.3 |
| Aligarh | 8.0 | 31.5 | 42.9 |
| Allahabad | 8.0 | 40.1 | 45.7 |
| Ambedkar Nagar(AN)* | 2.5 | 43.4 | 44.1 |
| Auraiya* | 3.0 | 28.2 | 32.0 |
| Azamgarh | 4.9 | 39.5 | 52.9 |
| Baghpat* | 1.0 | 54.6 | 66.1 |
| Bahraich | 15.5 | 33.5 | 39.6 |
| Ballia | 3.4 | 43.1 | 64.8 |
| Balrampur* | 10.5 | 23.8 | 37.5 |
| Banda | 1.4 | 36.2 | 82.8 |
| Barabanki | 8.3 | 19.9 | 27.6 |
| Bareilly | 11.5 | 38.0 | 56.2 |
| Basti | 7.4 | 48.9 | 48.3 |
| Bijnor | 12.6 | 34.1 | 56.7 |
| Budaun | 20.9 | 27.1 | 47.6 |
| Bulandshahar | 0.5 | 48.4 | 70.0 |
| Chandauli* | 3.7 | 50.6 | 87.4 |
| Chitrakoot* | 1.1 | 51.1 | 93.5 |
| Deoria | 4.3 | 39.7 | 37.8 |
| Etah | 7.1 | 10.1 | 20.8 |
| Etawah | 4.4 | 26.6 | 48.3 |
| Faizabad | 2.3 | 34.8 | 62.8 |
| Farukhabad | 6.4 | 12.6 | 45.0 |
| Fatehpur | 8.3 | 32.9 | 46.5 |
| Firozabad | 21.7 | 33.6 | 87.8 |
| Gautam Buddha Nagar* | 3.8 | 51.8 | 50.8 |
| Ghaziabad | 3.4 | 61.9 | 86.1 |
| Ghazipur | 1.7 | 37.9 | 45.9 |
| Gonda | 3.5 | 31.8 | 50.7 |
| Gorakhpur | 7.2 | 32.2 | 28.8 |
| Hamirpur | 1.7 | 22.3 | 49.3 |
| Hardoi | 5.5 | 22.2 | 26.4 |
| Hathras* | 4.3 | 46.3 | 74.3 |
| J alaun | 3.6 | 8.3 | 24.6 |


| District | All Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- school | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { CAN } \\ \text { read } \\ \text { level }-2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |
| J aunpur | 1.7 | 23.3 | 22.0 |
| J hansi | 4.7 | 57.7 | 69.2 |
| J yotiba Phule Nagar | 9.0 | 37.1 | 49.8 |
| Kannauj* | 3.4 | 25.9 | 39.1 |
| Kanpur | 1.6 | 29.0 | 40.7 |
| Kaushambi* | 9.2 | 32.8 | 45.5 |
| Kheri | 9.5 | 17.8 | 16.9 |
| Kushinagar* | 5.6 | 30.8 | 32.7 |
| Lalitpur | 6.9 | 20.2 | 39.4 |
| Lucknow | 8.4 | 29.6 | 32.1 |
| Mahoba* | 3.5 | 12.7 | 36.8 |
| Maharajganj | 9.4 | 40.6 | 43.4 |
| Mainpuri | 0.8 | 45.3 | 66.2 |
| Mathura | 9.6 | 35.1 | 45.4 |
| Mau | 3.8 | 39.0 | 55.2 |
| Meerut | 3.6 | 44.7 | 69.6 |
| Mirzapur | 4.6 | 25.5 | 43.8 |
| Moradabad | 24.3 | 43.0 | 60.3 |
| Muzaffarnagar | 10.5 | 44.7 | 51.9 |
| Pilibhit | 9.9 | 28.2 | 44.4 |
| Pratapgarh | 1.6 | 40.2 | 60.1 |
| Rae Bareilly | 7.4 | 41.9 | 34.6 |
| Rampur | 18.7 | 25.8 | 30.7 |
| Saharanpur | 11.2 | 68.8 | 62.7 |
| Sant Kabir Nagar* | 3.3 | 35.9 | 51.5 |
| Sant Ravidas Nagar | 1.6 | 34.3 | 50.2 |
| Shahjahanpur | 21.6 | 16.2 | 32.6 |
| Shrawasti* | 6.3 | 13.3 | 25.8 |
| Siddharth Nagar | 3.4 | 32.0 | 38.3 |
| Sitapur | 9.6 | 25.4 | 28.5 |
| Sonbhadra | 11.5 | 29.4 | 55.2 |
| Sultanpur | 4.8 | 34.3 | 31.6 |
| Unnao | 7.9 | 27.5 | 44.7 |
| Varanasi | 1.8 | 50.0 | 84.0 |
| Uttar Pradesh State | 7.3 | 34.4 | 47.2 |

## BI HAR rural

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different <br> types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age <br> group not in school |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never <br> Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 72.1 | 9.6 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 10.0 | 3.1 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 ALL | 72.2 | 10.5 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 10.2 | 1.5 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 72.1 | 7.8 | 3.3 | 0.7 | 9.6 | 6.6 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 73.4 | 11.6 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 8.3 | 1.4 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GI RLS | 70.7 | 9.0 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 12.6 | 1.5 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 BOYS | 74.4 | 8.7 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 7.1 | 6.2 | 100 |
| Age :11-14 GI RLS | 68.9 | 6.5 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 13.0 | 7.1 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children



Percentage of children


## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read $\ldots$ |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical <br> written sums of $\ldots$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level $1^{*}$ | Level $2^{* *}$ | Division | Division |
|  | 40.9 | 55.4 | 42.0 | 63.2 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 52.7 | 68.9 | 53.3 | 76.4 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 22.0 | 33.7 | 23.9 | 42.2 |
| Govt: Std II-V | 39.9 | 60.3 | 40.8 | 69.4 |
| Pvt: Std II-V | 18.8 | 37.1 | 19.8 | 48.8 |
| Govt : Std VI-VIII | 4.1 | 13.1 | 5.6 | 20.7 |
| Pvt: Std VI-VIII | 1.1 | 8.4 | 2.3 | 12.0 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing. Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |
| I | 47.7 | 31.5 | 12.2 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 100 |
| II | 16.2 | 27.4 | 26.9 | 14.6 | 15.0 | 100 |
| III | 6.2 | 12.8 | 17.8 | 27.2 | 35.9 | 100 |
| IV | 3.4 | 6.1 | 9.2 | 22.7 | 58.5 | 100 |
| V | 2.0 | 2.6 | 5.9 | 16.5 | 73.1 | 100 |
| VI | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 11.6 | 82.5 | 100 |
| VII | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 7.0 | 90.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 6.4 | 92.3 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number recogn | Subtracti on | Division | Total |
| I | 63.4 | 28.4 | 5.9 | 2.4 | 100 |
| 11 | 29.3 | 40.3 | 20.7 | 9.7 | 100 |
| III | 13.0 | 24.8 | 37.8 | 24.5 | 100 |
| IV | 7.6 | 14.2 | 31.8 | 46.4 | 100 |
| V | 3.6 | 8.1 | 25.1 | 63.2 | 100 |
| VI | 2.8 | 4.6 | 19.5 | 73.1 | 100 |
| VII | 1.0 | 3.2 | 13.1 | 82.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.8 | 1.8 | 7.8 | 89.5 | 100 |
| Total | 22.6 | 21.1 | 21.4 | 35.0 | 100 |

Performance of top five and bottom five districts in state based on \% all children Std V

|  | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> read level-2 | Arithmetic | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT solve subtraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Madhepura | 13.2 | Madhepura | 6.0 |
| Patna | 29.0 | Sheikhpura* | 12.5 |
| Aurangabad | 29.5 | Jehanabad | 14.1 |
| Nawada | 31.7 | Khagaria | 14.8 |
| Supaul* | 33.3 | Aurangabad | 15.2 |
| รึ Bottom-5 |  | Bottom - 5 |  |
| Sheohar* | 63.0 | Sheohar* | 40.0 |
| Bhojpur | 62.2 | Kaimur(Bhabua) | 35.3 |
| Rohtas | 60.3 | Darbhanga | 35.0 |
| Gopalganj | 59.9 | Sitamarhi | 34.7 |
| Vaishali | 58.9 | Nalanda | 34.2 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


Teachers, children, and classrooms

| Teachers' attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I-VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 322 | 293 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 74.0 | 69.8 |
| \% of schools with NO <br> teachers present | 8.1 | 6.1 |
| \% of schools with ALL <br> teachers present | 42.9 | 28.0 |


| Children's attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 321 | 293 |
| \% enrolled children <br> attending (average) | 51.2 | 49.0 |
| \% of schools with less <br> than 50\% of enrolled <br> children attending | 41.1 | 47.4 |



| Average number of rooms available |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-V <br> rooms | Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-VIII <br> rooms |
| $<=50$ | 1 | 2.5 | $<=150$ | 1 | 3.0 |
| $51-75$ | 1 | 1.5 | $151-250$ | 18 | 4.8 |
| $76-150$ | 21 | 2.3 | $251-350$ | 17 | 4.9 |
| $151-225$ | 31 | 2.7 | $351-450$ | 18 | 5.5 |
| $>225$ | 46 | 3.2 | $>450$ | 46 | 6.9 |

## Provision and use




## Performance of all districts

| District | All <br> Children |  | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read | \% CAN <br> solve |  |
| Araria | 23.0 | 56.5 | 76.1 |  |
| Aurangabad | 9.3 | 70.5 | 84.8 |  |
| Banka* | 10.4 | 49.1 | 77.7 |  |
| Begusarai | 4.3 | 56.6 | 82.7 |  |
| Bhagalpur | 5.4 | 60.0 | 81.0 |  |
| Bhojpur | 3.3 | 37.8 | 78.4 |  |
| Buxar* | 5.1 | 45.8 | 76.5 |  |
| Darbhanga | 22.8 | 49.8 | 65.0 |  |
| Gaya | 11.7 | 45.5 | 73.5 |  |
| Gopalganj | 22.5 | 40.1 | 65.9 |  |
| Jamui* | 9.1 | 63.9 | 77.9 |  |
| Jahanabad | 4.0 | 42.8 | 85.9 |  |
| Kaimur(Bhabua)* | 18.9 | 60.8 | 74.4 |  |
| Katihar | 12.0 | 57.4 | 85.2 |  |
| Khagaria | 23.3 | 55.6 | 82.1 |  |
| Kishanganj | 16.7 | 58.1 | 82.3 |  |
| Lukhisarai* | 11.5 | 86.8 | 94.0 |  |
| Madhepura |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 23 |  |  |


| District | All <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% CAN <br> read | \% CAN <br> solve |  |
| Madhubani | 12.8 | 41.9 | 67.1 |
| Munger | 18.6 | 59.2 | 78.9 |
| Muzaffarpur | 5.8 | 58.4 | 79.4 |
| Nalanda | 11.9 | 45.8 | 65.8 |
| Nawada | 18.5 | 68.3 | 83.6 |
| Pashchim Champaran | 17.3 | 54.4 | 66.8 |
| Patna | 6.4 | 71.0 | 71.6 |
| Purbi Champaran | 12.3 | 71.0 | 71.6 |
| Purnia | 15.9 | 58.9 | 75.1 |
| Rohtas | 4.2 | 39.7 | 66.0 |
| Saharsa | 26.1 | 59.1 | 80.1 |
| Samastipur | 9.6 | 54.4 | 74.4 |
| Saran | 9.4 | 48.3 | 75.6 |
| Sheikhpura* | 12.0 | 52.6 | 87.5 |
| Sheohar* | 25.1 | 37.0 | 60.0 |
| Sitamarhi | 25.2 | 55.6 | 65.3 |
| Supaul* | 28.6 | 66.7 | 79.8 |
| Vaishali | 4.6 | 41.1 | 70.9 |
| Bihar state | $\mathbf{1 3 . 1}$ | 53.6 | $\mathbf{7 4 . 7}$ |

एक दिन बकरी, चील और मेंठक ने आकाश में उड़ने की सोची। चील एक बड़ा सा गुब्बारा ले आई। बकरी एक डोरी और मेंके एक टोकरी ले आया। तीर्नों ने मिलकर उसकी उड़न टोकरी बनाई। तीनों उसमें बैठकर उड़ने लगे। अचानक बकरी के सीग से गुबारा फट गया। सभी जमीन पर आ गिरे।

## Sample 3

## बाजार में सुनार की दुकान है।

सुनार जेवर बनाता है।


जादू व गिलहरी को क्या खिलाया जाए? तभी उसे दूध की बोतल दिखाई दी। उसने बोतल में दूध भरकर
गिलहरी को पिलाया। अब छोटी
गिलहरी दूध पीकर खुशी से कुट-कुट करती है।

WEST BENGAL ruval
All analyses based on data from 14 out of 17 districts

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age group not in school |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 92.2 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 100 |
| Age: 6-10 ALL | 94.8 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 87.8 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 7.0 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 94.4 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GI RLS | 95.2 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 88.1 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 6.9 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GI RLS | 87.4 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 7.1 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children

## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools



Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age: 7-14 ALL | 22.1 | 48.5 | 21.8 | 51.3 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 29.5 | 62.9 | 29.0 | 67.5 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 8.7 | 22.4 | 9.0 | 22.1 |
| Govt : Std II-V | 24.8 | 57.3 | 24.4 | 61.6 |
| Pvt: Std II-V | 28.2 | 47.9 | 35.1 | 60.5 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 1.9 | 12.8 | 2.9 | 12.2 |
| Pvt : Std VI-VIII | 2.4 | 27.6 | 8.0 | 41.7 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.
subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing.
Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.


## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading
\% All school children who can read-standardwise

| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 17.2 | 40.8 | 24.9 | 10.3 | 6.8 | 100 |
| II | 4.5 | 18.9 | 28.4 | 34.5 | 13.7 | 100 |
| III | 1.6 | 8.1 | 16.5 | 38.8 | 35.0 | 100 |
| IV | 1.2 | 3.0 | 6.6 | 32.0 | 57.2 | 100 |
| V | 0.7 | 1.6 | 4.9 | 17.3 | 75.5 | 100 |
| VI | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 14.1 | 83.1 | 100 |
| VII | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 9.5 | 88.8 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 8.4 | 91.0 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number recogn | Subtracti on | Division | Total |
| I | 27.2 | 53.3 | 12.7 | 6.9 | 100 |
| 11 | 11.1 | 38.4 | 39.9 | 10.6 | 100 |
| III | 4.8 | 21.8 | 45.9 | 27.6 | 100 |
| IV | 2.4 | 8.4 | 36.6 | 52.7 | 100 |
| V | 2.2 | 7.1 | 17.0 | 73.7 | 100 |
| VI | 0.6 | 4.1 | 13.2 | 82.2 | 100 |
| VII | 0.4 | 1.2 | 6.5 | 91.8 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 1.6 | 8.3 | 90.1 | 100 |
| Total | 7.8 | 21.7 | 28.0 | 42.5 | 100 |

Performance of top five and bottom five districts in state based on \% all children Std V

|  | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> read level-2 | Arithmetic | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> solve <br> Subtraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medinipur | 18.8 | Murshidabad | 1.2 |
| Koch Bihar | 25.4 | Medinipur | 8.6 |
| Barddhaman | 36.0 | Barddhaman | 10.7 |
| Uttar Dinajpur | 36.4 | North 24 Paraganaa | 11.3 |
| Bankura | 41.0 | South 24 Paraganaa | 11.9 |
| 5f Bottom-5 |  | Bottom - 5 |  |
| $\sqrt{\text { Murshidabad }}$ | 97.6 | Dakshin Dinajpu | 44.6 |
| Dakshin Dinajpu | * 65.1 | Bankura | 32.4 |
| Maldah | 58.7 | Uttar Dinajpur | 31.4 |
| Hugli | 57.1 | Hugli | 30.4 |
| South 24 Paraganda | a 54.9 | Maldah | 26.1 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Teachers, children, and classrooms

| Teachers' attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 229 | 0 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 72.5 | 0.0 |
| \% of schools with NO <br> teachers present | 17.0 |  |
| \% of schools with ALL <br> teachers present | 53.7 |  |


| Children's attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 231 | 0 |
| \% enrolled children <br> attending (average) | 69.2 | 0.0 |
| \% of schools with less <br> than 50\% of enrolled <br> children attending | 13.9 |  |



| Average number of rooms available |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-V <br> rooms | Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-VIIII <br> rooms |
| $<=50$ | 3 | 1.6 | $<=150$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $51-75$ | 6 | 2.6 | $151-250$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $76-150$ | 34 | 2.9 | $251-350$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $151-225$ | 35 | 3.5 | $351-450$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $>225$ | 22 | 4.0 | $>450$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |

## Provision and use




## Performance of all districts

| District | AlI <br> Children |  | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |  |  |
| Puruliya | 14.3 | 46.8 | 81.6 |  |
| Koch Bihar | 11.0 | 74.6 | 85.7 |  |
| Uttar Dinajpur | 9.5 | 63.6 | 68.6 |  |
| Maldah | 7.7 | 41.3 | 73.9 |  |
| Haora | 7.4 | 54.0 | 83.4 |  |
| Dakshin Dinajpur* | 5.0 | 34.9 | 55.4 |  |
| Hugli | 4.2 | 42.9 | 69.6 |  |


| District | All <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |
| Bankura | 3.8 | 59.0 | 67.6 |
| North 24 Paraganaa | 2.9 | 49.7 | 88.7 |
| South 24 Paraganaa | 2.7 | 45.1 | 88.1 |
| Medinipur | 2.6 | 81.2 | 91.4 |
| Barddhaman | 1.5 | 64.0 | 89.3 |
| Murshidabad | 0.8 | 2.4 | 98.8 |
| Birbhum | 0.0 | 47.6 | 80.6 |
| West Bengal <br> State | $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 7}$ |



Facilitated by PRATHAM
All analyses based on data from 20 out of 22 districts

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age group not in school |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 71.9 | 10.8 | 1.4 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 3.4 | 100 |
| Age: 6-10 ALL | 73.6 | 10.1 | 1.2 | 7.3 | 6.1 | 1.7 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 69.2 | 12.1 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 74.1 | 11.1 | 1.0 | 7.0 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GIRLS | 73.0 | 8.8 | 1.6 | 7.8 | 7.1 | 1.7 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 71.3 | 12.6 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 7.1 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GI RLS | 66.6 | 11.4 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 9.5 | 6.5 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children



Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age : 7-14 ALL | 42.5 | 57.9 | 45.6 | 70.7 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 54.1 | 71.8 | 57.5 | 83.8 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 24.7 | 36.9 | 27.6 | 50.8 |
| Govt : Std II-V | 45.4 | 66.0 | 49.3 | 79.3 |
| Pvt: Std II-V | 23.1 | 40.2 | 29.7 | 60.0 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 8.0 | 19.0 | 10.5 | 34.2 |
| Pvt: Std VI-VIII | 2.9 | 6.1 | 8.0 | 21.6 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.
subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing. Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.


## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |  |
| I | 42.8 | 33.3 | 15.9 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 100 |  |
| II | 17.1 | 28.6 | 27.9 | 14.6 | 11.8 | 100 |  |
| III | 7.4 | 15.8 | 21.8 | 27.0 | 28.0 | 100 |  |
| IV | 4.1 | 8.1 | 12.8 | 21.2 | 53.8 | 100 |  |
| V | 2.7 | 4.8 | 6.8 | 18.6 | 67.1 | 100 |  |
| VI | 1.6 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 12.9 | 76.8 | 100 |  |
| VII | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 9.4 | 85.6 | 100 |  |
| VIII | 0.5 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 5.2 | 90.1 | 100 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| Std | Nothing | Number <br> recogn | Subtracti <br> on | Division | Total |
| I | 60.7 | 30.7 | 6.6 | 2.0 | 100 |
| II | 32.9 | 44.4 | 17.2 | 5.5 | 100 |
| III | 17.3 | 32.0 | 36.4 | 14.4 | 100 |
| IV | 11.4 | 18.2 | 37.0 | 33.5 | 100 |
| V | 7.9 | 12.2 | 32.4 | 47.6 | 100 |
| VI | 5.0 | 8.6 | 26.3 | 60.1 | 100 |
| VII | 3.7 | 4.8 | 22.8 | 68.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.1 | 4.6 | 14.2 | 80.2 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Performance of top five and bottom five districts in state based on \% all children Std V

|  | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> read level-2 | Arithmetic Top-5 | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> solve <br> subtraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Garhwa | 37.8 | Ranchi | 25.2 |
| Bokaro* | 38.4 | Lohardaga | 25.3 |
| Ranchi | 42.5 | JMTARA | 25.5 |
| Kodarma* | 43.2 | Sahibganj | 27.0 |
| Giridih | 44.2 | Garhwa | 27.5 |
| 5f Bottom - 5 |  | Bottom - 5 |  |
| DUMKA | 77.5 | DUMKA | 52.5 |
| Pakaur* | 71.0 | SINDEGA | 49.5 |
| PALAMU | 69.0 | Deoghar | 47.1 |
| Godda | 63.0 | PALAMU | 41.5 |
| Deoghar | 61.8 | Purbi Singhb | 40.7 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Teachers, children, and classrooms

| Teachers' attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 196 | 151 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 74.3 | 71.0 |
| \% of schools with NO <br> teachers present | 8.7 | 5.3 |
| \% of schools with ALL <br> teachers present | 49.5 | 28.5 |


| Children's attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 200 | 149 |
| \% enrolled children <br> attending (average) | 58.4 | 58.5 |
| \% of schools with less <br> than 50\% of enrolled <br> children attending | 29.5 | 31.5 |



| Average number of rooms available |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-V <br> rooms | Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-VIII <br> rooms |
| $<=50$ | 8 | 1.3 | $<=150$ | 8 | 3.8 |
| $51-75$ | 15 | 2.3 | $151-250$ | 21 | 6.1 |
| $76-150$ | 40 | 2.6 | $251-350$ | 21 | 6.4 |
| $151-225$ | 24 | 3.3 | $351-450$ | 15 | 6.6 |
| $>225$ | 13 | 3.6 | $>450$ | 35 | 8.7 |

## Provision and use




## Performance of all districts

| District | All Children | Std III to V children |  | District | All Children | Std I II to V children |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-ofschool | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { CAN } \\ \text { read } \\ \text { level - } 2 \end{gathered}$ | \% CAN solve subtraction |  | \% Out-of school | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { CAN } \\ \text { read } \\ \text { level - } 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% CAN solve subtraction |
| Bokaro* | 6.2 | 61.6 | 71.3 | J AMTARA | 13.0 | 51.1 | 74.5 |
| Chatra* | 6.6 | 42.2 | 61.9 | Kodarma* | 5.5 | 56.8 | 71.5 |
| Deogarh | 7.5 | 38.2 | 52.9 | Lohardaga | 10.9 | 52.7 | 74.7 |
| Dhanbad | 7.7 | 50.4 | 66.9 | Pakur* | 22.0 | 29.0 | 70.1 |
| DUMKA | 10.9 | 22.5 | 47.5 | PALAMAU | 5.3 | 31.0 | 58.5 |
| Garhwa | 11.0 | 62.2 | 72.5 | East Singhbhum | 4.2 | 51.3 | 59.3 |
| Giridih | 10.1 | 55.8 | 64.6 | Ranchi | 11.7 | 57.5 | 74.8 |
| Godda | 11.0 | 37.0 | 66.1 | Sahibganj | 17.7 | 47.0 | 73.0 |
| GUMLA | 20.1 | 40.0 | 60.4 | West Singhbhum | 8.7 | 42.5 | 72.4 |
| Hazaribag | 5.0 | 53.4 | 64.7 | SINDEGA | 9.6 | 45.0 | 50.5 |
|  |  |  |  | J harkhand state | 9.8 | 47.0 | 65.1 |



# Gujarat <br> Daman and Diu Dadra Nagar Haveli <br> Madhya Pradesh Chhattisgarh <br> Orissa 

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different <br> types of schools |  |  | \% Children in each age <br> group not in school |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never <br> Enrolled |  |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 87.8 | 7.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 ALL | 90.1 | 6.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 84.8 | 8.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 4.3 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 89.6 | 7.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GI RLS | 90.6 | 5.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 BOYS | 85.9 | 9.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 100 |
| Age :11-14 GI RLS | 83.3 | 7.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 5.7 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children

## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read $\ldots$ |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical <br> written sums of $\ldots$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or <br> Division | Division |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing.
Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |
| I | 35.3 | 37.4 | 14.0 | 5.4 | 7.9 | 100 |
| II | 12.6 | 29.9 | 34.1 | 10.8 | 12.6 | 100 |
| III | 6.9 | 17.1 | 32.6 | 19.3 | 24.2 | 100 |
| IV | 4.3 | 8.1 | 22.3 | 26.5 | 38.8 | 100 |
| V | 2.8 | 4.0 | 14.8 | 26.8 | 51.7 | 100 |
| VI | 2.0 | 4.2 | 9.1 | 19.2 | 65.5 | 100 |
| VII | 1.1 | 1.6 | 6.2 | 15.0 | 76.2 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.0 | 1.7 | 4.6 | 10.3 | 82.4 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number recogn | Subtracti on | Division | Total |
| I | 56.0 | 31.1 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 100 |
| 11 | 34.3 | 45.6 | 11.1 | 9.0 | 100 |
| III | 20.1 | 42.8 | 19.5 | 17.6 | 100 |
| IV | 12.5 | 28.4 | 26.5 | 32.6 | 100 |
| V | 6.5 | 21.9 | 29.0 | 42.6 | 100 |
| VI | 5.8 | 16.3 | 28.6 | 49.3 | 100 |
| VII | 4.1 | 11.6 | 24.9 | 59.5 | 100 |
| VIII | 2.7 | 12.1 | 17.0 | 68.2 | 100 |
| Total | 16.2 | 27.3 | 22.2 | 34.3 | 100 |

Performance of top five and bottom five districts in state based on \% all children Std V

| Reading | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> read level-2 | Arithmetic Top-5 | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT solve subtraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Banas Kantha | 37.9 | Patan* | 1.1 |
| Bhavnagar | 41.8 | Surat | 4.4 |
| Valsad | 42.1 | The Dangs | 13.4 |
| Gandhinagar | 44.9 | Mahesana | 20.7 |
| Surendranagar | 50.6 | Valsad | 24.8 |
| ¢f Bottom - 5 |  | Bottom - 5 |  |
| Porbandar* | 92.3 | Ahmedabad | 70.1 |
| Patan* | 78.5 | Mahesana | 64.5 |
| Surat | 77.7 | Amreli | 63.8 |
| Junagadh | 77.0 | Porbandar* | 61.5 |
| Sabar Kantha | 76.1 | Sabar Kantha | 57.4 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Teachers, children, and classrooms

| Teachers' attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I-VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 41 | 399 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 75.0 | 83.5 |
| \% of schools with NO <br> teachers present | 14.6 | 5.5 |
| \% of schools with ALL <br> teachers present | 61.0 | 53.4 |


| Children's attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 42 | 400 |
| \% enrolled children <br> attending (average) | 76.5 | 80.5 |
| \% of schools with less <br> than 50\% of enrolled <br> children attending | 11.9 | 3.0 |



| Average number of rooms available |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-V <br> rooms | Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-VIII <br> rooms |
| $<=50$ | 24 | 2.7 | $<=150$ | 12 | 4.9 |
| $51-75$ | 24 | 2.6 | $151-250$ | 27 | 7.3 |
| $76-150$ | 32 | 4.2 | $251-350$ | 26 | 9.1 |
| $151-225$ | 20 | 3.6 | $351-450$ | 12 | 10.4 |
| $>225$ | 0 | 0.0 | $>450$ | 23 | 13.9 |

## Provision and use




## Performance of all districts

| District | AlI <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |  |
| Ahmedabad | 7.1 | 37.4 | 29.9 |
| Amreli | 2.2 | 36.7 | 36.2 |
| Anand* | 1.4 | 49.1 | 49.4 |
| Banas Kantha | 3.2 | 62.1 | 53.1 |
| Bharuch | 4.3 | 48.1 | 59.9 |
| Bhavnagar | 5.1 | 58.2 | 61.4 |
| Dohad* | 0.2 | 38.9 | 55.2 |
| Gandhinagar | 2.5 | 55.1 | 65.3 |
| The Dangs | 11.0 | 31.5 | 86.6 |
| Jamnagar | 4.9 | 31.7 | 43.5 |
| Junagadh | 0.0 | 23.0 | 50.0 |
| Kachchh | 9.8 | 37.1 | 43.6 |
| Kheda | 2.2 | 34.4 | 35.5 |


| District | All <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |  |
| Mahesana | 3.5 | 42.4 | 79.3 |
| Narmada* | 1.1 | 28.2 | 61.3 |
| Navsari* | 0.9 | 39.6 | 58.6 |
| Panch Mahals | 2.9 | 45.2 | 61.8 |
| Patan* | 1.2 | 21.5 | 98.9 |
| Porbander* | 2.0 | 7.7 | 38.5 |
| Rajkot | 2.2 | 34.7 | 45.0 |
| Sabar Kantha | 2.6 | 23.9 | 42.6 |
| Surat | 1.9 | 22.3 | 95.6 |
| Surendranagar | 5.1 | 49.4 | 73.1 |
| Vadodara | 5.6 | 27.4 | 48.5 |
| Valsad | 8.4 | 57.9 | 75.2 |
| Guirath state | 3.6 | 38.5 | 56.4 |


| Gujrath state | 3.6 | 38.5 | 56.4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## DAMAN \& DIU rural

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different <br> types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age <br> group not in school |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never <br> Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 81.4 | 16.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 ALL | 80.2 | 19.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 84.1 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 79.9 | 19.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GI RLS | 80.6 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 BOYS | 82.5 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 100 |
| Age :11-14 GI RLS | 87.0 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children



Percentage of children


## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or | Division |
| Age : 7-14 ALL | 36.3 | 63.7 | 34.9 | 66.3 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 51.9 | 82.0 | 47.0 | 84.6 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 14.1 | 37.4 | 17.8 | 40.4 |
| Govt : Std II-V | 49.3 | 80.3 | 47.0 | 84.4 |
| Pvt: Std II-V | 55.2 | 87.2 | 41.1 | 79.4 |
| Govt : Std VI-VIII | 9.8 | 33.2 | 17.6 | 38.8 |
| Pvt : Std VI-VIII | 16.7 | 53.4 | 15.8 | 45.8 |
| * Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty. <br> **Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty. |  |  | Subtraction: <br> 2 digit subtraction with borrowing. Division: <br> 3 digit divided by 1 digit. |  |

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |
| I | 26.4 | 49.1 | 15.8 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 100 |
| II | 11.7 | 36.7 | 26.6 | 16.8 | 8.3 | 100 |
| III | 3.4 | 25.3 | 44.2 | 18.7 | 8.4 | 100 |
| IV | 1.6 | 5.7 | 34.2 | 44.9 | 13.6 | 100 |
| V | 1.4 | 6.0 | 14.7 | 40.4 | 37.6 | 100 |
| VI | 1.0 | 3.0 | 10.7 | 29.5 | 55.8 | 100 |
| VII | 2.3 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 25.9 | 64.8 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.8 | 2.1 | 5.1 | 19.1 | 72.9 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number recogn | Subtracti on | Division | Total |
| I | 42.8 | 38.2 | 10.0 | 9.0 | 100 |
| 11 | 33.0 | 40.1 | 15.0 | 12.0 | 100 |
| III | 19.9 | 43.7 | 30.9 | 5.6 | 100 |
| IV | 10.2 | 22.0 | 59.2 | 8.6 | 100 |
| V | 5.7 | 18.4 | 40.5 | 35.4 | 100 |
| VI | 2.4 | 16.9 | 36.6 | 44.2 | 100 |
| VII | 4.8 | 10.1 | 15.1 | 69.9 | 100 |
| VIII | 3.7 | 14.8 | 15.6 | 65.9 | 100 |
| Total | 15.5 | 25.9 | 29.1 | 29.6 | 100 |

## Performance

|  | All <br> Children | Std V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN solve <br> division and <br> subtraction |
|  | 4.38 | 49.0 | 12.6 |
|  | 0.72 | 34.1 | 41.9 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums

facilitated by PRATHAM

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age group not in school |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 97.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Age: 6-10 ALL | 96.6 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 98.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 95.6 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GI RLS | 97.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 BOYS | 99.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GI RLS | 97.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children

## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## DADRA NAGAR HAVELI rural

## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age: 7-14 ALL | 41.2 | 64.5 | 50.3 | 75.4 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 67.5 | 88.1 | 76.0 | 95.2 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 6.4 | 33.3 | 16.4 | 49.1 |
| Govt : Std II-V | 60.6 | 86.7 | 71.8 | 94.3 |
| Pvt: Std U-V | 50.0 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 60.0 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 1.6 | 23.4 | 10.1 | 43.2 |
| Pvt : Std VI-VIII | 66.7 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing. Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

## \% All school children who can read-standardwise

| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 64.0 | 32.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| II | 23.0 | 56.0 | 19.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100 |
| III | 2.4 | 28.6 | 50.8 | 14.3 | 4.0 | 100 |
| IV | 0.0 | 10.2 | 38.7 | 37.2 | 13.9 | 100 |
| V | 0.0 | 4.1 | 16.3 | 44.7 | 35.0 | 100 |
| VI | 0.0 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 21.5 | 73.8 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 25.6 | 73.5 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 96.3 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written <br> numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number <br> recogn | Subtracti <br> on | Division | Total |
| I | 75.8 | 23.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| II | 48.0 | 51.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| III | 18.3 | 68.3 | 12.7 | 0.8 | 100 |
| IV | 6.6 | 59.9 | 29.2 | 4.4 | 100 |
| V | 3.3 | 33.3 | 43.9 | 19.5 | 100 |
| VI | 0.0 | 11.2 | 37.4 | 51.4 | 100 |
| VII | 1.7 | 9.4 | 34.2 | 54.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 88.9 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## Performance

|  | All <br> Children | Std V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level-2 | \% CAN solve <br> division and <br> subtraction |
| Dadra \& Nagar state | 0.6 | 35.0 | 19.5 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different <br> types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age <br> group not in school |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never <br> Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 83.5 | 8.5 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 ALL | 83.4 | 9.2 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 83.9 | 7.4 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 5.6 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 82.2 | 10.6 | 0.2 | 5.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GI RLS | 84.9 | 7.4 | 0.4 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 BOYS | 83.9 | 8.8 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 4.6 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GI RLS | 83.9 | 5.6 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 7.0 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children



## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## MADHYA PRADESH rural

## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age: 7-14 ALL | 37.6 | 55.3 | 41.8 | 66.4 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 54.4 | 73.8 | 58.8 | 83.1 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 16.5 | 32.2 | 20.4 | 45.5 |
| Govt : Std II-V | 52.9 | 74.0 | 55.0 | 82.4 |
| Pvt: Std II-V | 36.5 | 59.1 | 41.0 | 71.3 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 10.6 | 24.2 | 14.5 | 38.0 |
| Pvt : Std VI-VIII | 3.6 | 10.9 | 9.2 | 24.0 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing. Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |
| I | 57.1 | 20.9 | 9.4 | 4.8 | 7.8 | 100 |
| II | 27.2 | 30.7 | 23.1 | 7.4 | 11.7 | 100 |
| III | 11.4 | 25.1 | 25.4 | 23.1 | 15.1 | 100 |
| IV | 4.6 | 14.3 | 21.4 | 30.8 | 28.9 | 100 |
| V | 2.4 | 5.9 | 13.4 | 26.3 | 52.0 | 100 |
| VI | 1.8 | 4.0 | 9.1 | 19.4 | 65.7 | 100 |
| VII | 1.5 | 2.2 | 5.4 | 12.0 | 78.9 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.8 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 88.2 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number recogn | Subtracti on | Division | Total |
| I | 64.3 | 26.5 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 100 |
| 11 | 36.4 | 47.5 | 9.5 | 6.7 | 100 |
| III | 15.7 | 45.7 | 30.1 | 8.5 | 100 |
| IV | 9.3 | 32.4 | 37.2 | 21.2 | 100 |
| V | 5.4 | 20.7 | 35.9 | 38.0 | 100 |
| VI | 4.6 | 14.4 | 28.9 | 52.1 | 100 |
| VII | 3.3 | 10.4 | 21.3 | 65.0 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.9 | 6.7 | 17.1 | 74.3 | 100 |
| Total | 20.5 | 28.8 | 23.2 | 27.5 | 100 |

Performance of top five and bottom five districts in state based on \% all children Std V

|  | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> read level-2 | Arithmetic Top-5 | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT solve subtraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West Nimar | 0.0 | Indore | 0.0 |
| Indore | 0.0 | Ratlam | 6.2 |
| Harda* | 3.6 | Dhar | 8.4 |
| Neemuch* | 15.3 | Ujjain | 12.1 |
| Shajapur | 18.7 | Sehore | 14.2 |
| ร์- Bottom-5 |  | Bottom - 5 |  |
| Sheopur* | 98.4 | Rajgarh | 78.7 |
| Tikamgarh | 91.4 | Umaria* | 77.3 |
| Mandla | 90.8 | Guna | 77.2 |
| Guna | 85.7 | $J$ habua | 72.5 |
| Rajgarh | 82.7 | Bhopal | 72.2 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Teachers, children, and classrooms

| Teachers' attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 474 | 194 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 75.9 | 69.3 |
| \% of schools with NO <br> teachers present | 10.8 | 11.3 |
| \% of schools with ALL <br> teachers present | 58.2 | 38.1 |


| Children's attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 477 | 194 |
| \% enrolled children <br> attending (average) | 66.2 | 67.8 |
| \% of schools with less <br> than 50\% of enrolled <br> children attending | 16.4 | 12.9 |



| Average number of rooms available |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-V <br> rooms | Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-VIII <br> rooms |
| $<=50$ | 9 | 2.7 | $<=150$ | 14 | 4.1 |
| $51-75$ | 14 | 2.6 | $151-250$ | 31 | 5.2 |
| $76-150$ | 46 | 3.4 | $251-350$ | 31 | 5.3 |
| $151-225$ | 23 | 3.9 | $351-450$ | 16 | 5.8 |
| $>225$ | 8 | 4.0 | $>450$ | 8 | 7.0 |

## Provision and use




## Performance of all districts

| District | All Children | Std III to V children |  | District | All <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-ofschool | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% CAN } \\ \text { read } \\ \text { level - } 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% CAN solve subtraction |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% CAN } \\ \text { read } \\ \text { level - } 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% CAN solve subtraction |
| Barwani* | 13.2 | 50.0 | 50.0 | Morena | 4.2 | 37.0 | 30.8 |
| Bhind | 1.4 | 31.2 | 48.4 | Narsimhapur | 4.8 | 22.0 | 60.5 |
| Bhopal | 4.9 | 20.8 | 27.8 | Neemach* | 7.0 | 84.7 | 84.8 |
| Chhatarpur | 2.0 | 24.4 | 33.7 | Panna | 9.0 | 22.1 | 48.8 |
| Chhindwara | 2.0 | 33.5 | 71.8 | Raisen | 1.6 | 45.7 | 62.8 |
| Damoh | 4.2 | 30.1 | 28.4 | Rajgarh | 3.6 | 17.3 | 21.3 |
| Datia | 3.2 | 21.7 | 55.9 | Ratlam | 4.0 | 56.3 | 93.8 |
| Dewas | 1.5 | 75.9 | 64.0 | Rewa | 1.6 | 20.3 | 31.6 |
| Dhar | 1.8 | 42.9 | 91.6 | Sagar | 2.6 | 19.5 | 61.2 |
| Dindori* | 11.9 | 24.3 | 50.0 | Satna | 1.5 | 31.4 | 62.1 |
| East Nimar | 11.7 | 29.4 | 78.9 | Sehore | 2.7 | 48.4 | 85.8 |
| Guna | 7.8 | 14.3 | 22.8 | Seoni | 3.3 | 20.4 | 63.6 |
| Gwalior | 4.8 | 25.9 | 46.0 | Shahdol | 6.5 |  |  |
| Harda* | 3.8 | 96.4 | 80.2 | Shajapur | 3.1 | 81.3 | 79.9 |
| Hoshangabad | 2.1 | 37.7 | 63.3 | Sheopur* | 4.5 | 1.6 | 42.9 |
| Indore | 2.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | Shivpuri | 4.9 | 19.0 | 36.5 |
| J abalpur | 5.6 | 32.9 | 52.6 | Tikamgarh | 0.6 | 8.6 | 41.3 |
| J habua | 0.0 | 25.8 | 27.5 | Ujjain | 2.1 | 77.3 | 87.9 |
| Katni* | 6.2 | 49.7 | 53.0 | Umaria* | 0.0 | 74.1 | 22.7 |
| Mandla | 1.2 | 9.2 | 40.9 | West Nimar | 2.5 | 100.0 | 67.6 |
|  |  |  |  | Madhya Pradesh state | 4.0 | 32.4 | 57.1 |
| (2) $D==1$ <br> सपना बारिश में भीगती हुई घर जा रही थी। तभी उसे उसकी सहेली मीनू दिखाई दी। मीनू ने कहा सपना <br> जंगल में जानवर रहते हैं। बहुत बारिश हो रही है तुम छतरी के शेर जंगल का राजा होता है। जंगल में खेलकूद करते हैं। नीचे आ जाओ और मेरे घर चलो। जब बारिश रूक जाएगी तब तुम शेर के आते ही सब डर जाते हैं। घर चली जाना। सपना मीनू के घर चली गई। वहाँ पर दोनों ने गरमा <br> जयपुर एक बहुत बड़ा शहर है। गरम चाय पी। वहाँ हवा महल मशहूर है। जयपुर से आगे अजमेर है। जहाँ पर लोग घूमने जाते हैं। |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age group not in school |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 90.9 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 100 |
| Age: 6-10 ALL | 93.2 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 87.3 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 6.5 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 92.9 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GI RLS | 93.4 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 88.6 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 5.4 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GI RLS | 85.7 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 7.8 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children

## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools



Percentage of children


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age : 7-14 ALL | 30.8 | 45.9 | 35.0 | 60.8 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 47.0 | 64.9 | 51.4 | 79.5 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 9.9 | 21.4 | 13.9 | 36.8 |
| Govt: Std II-V | 36.6 | 56.7 | 41.2 | 70.8 |
| Pvt: Std U-V | 29.3 | 50.4 | 38.0 | 65.3 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 4.8 | 14.1 | 8.1 | 28.5 |
| Pvt : Std VI-VIII | 1.4 | 3.7 | 6.5 | 28.1 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing. Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |  |
| I | 33.3 | 46.3 | 11.6 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 100 |  |
| II | 9.2 | 35.4 | 36.3 | 8.0 | 11.0 | 100 |  |
| III | 2.7 | 16.0 | 28.7 | 25.9 | 26.7 | 100 |  |
| IV | 1.1 | 5.3 | 11.8 | 30.8 | 51.1 | 100 |  |
| V | 0.8 | 2.2 | 6.6 | 14.8 | 75.6 | 100 |  |
| VI | 1.0 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 10.9 | 83.4 | 100 |  |
| VII | 0.7 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 11.3 | 83.8 | 100 |  |
| VIII | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 94.9 | 100 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number recogn | Subtracti on | Division | Total |
| I | 51.6 | 43.9 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 100 |
| 11 | 25.2 | 59.0 | 11.1 | 4.7 | 100 |
| III | 11.8 | 37.7 | 39.5 | 11.0 | 100 |
| IV | 4.0 | 20.6 | 39.1 | 36.4 | 100 |
| V | 2.5 | 12.6 | 26.2 | 58.7 | 100 |
| VI | 1.4 | 8.7 | 19.6 | 70.3 | 100 |
| VII | 1.9 | 6.6 | 25.0 | 66.5 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.6 | 2.4 | 15.9 | 80.1 | 100 |
| Total | 14.8 | 28.0 | 23.5 | 33.8 | 100 |

Performance of top five and bottom five districts in state based on \% all children Std V

|  | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> read level-2 | Arithmetic Top-5 | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT solve subtraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bastar | 0.4 | Bastar | 0.8 |
| Durg | 6.7 | Dhamtari* | 2.8 |
| Bilaspur | 21.5 | Mahasamund | 9.2 |
| Raigarh | 33.3 | Dantewada | 10.6 |
| Dantewada | 42.2 | Kanker* | 10.9 |
| ¢ Bottom - 5 |  | Bottom - 5 |  |
| Janjgir | 87.0 | Janjgir | 75.1 |
| Rajnandgaon | 74.6 | Jashpur* | 54.2 |
| Mahasamund | 71.5 | Korba* | 52.8 |
| Kawardha* | 57.3 | Kawardha* | 48.4 |
| Raipur | 53.8 | Raipur | 36.7 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Teachers, children, and classrooms

| Teachers' attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 228 | 45 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 80.3 | 84.9 |
| \% of schools with NO <br> teachers present | 8.3 | 4.4 |
| \% of schools with ALL <br> teachers present | 64.9 | 64.4 |


| Children's attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I- VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 229 | 45 |
| \% enrolled children <br> attending (average) | 71.4 | 74.5 |
| \% of schools with less <br> than 50\% of enrolled <br> children attending | 11.4 | 6.7 |



| Average number of rooms available |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-V <br> rooms | Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-VIII <br> rooms |  |
| $<=50$ | 12 | 3.4 | $<=150$ | 21 | 3.0 |  |
| $51-75$ | 19 | 3.0 | $151-250$ | 36 | 3.2 |  |
| $76-150$ | 43 | 3.7 | $251-350$ | 26 | 4.4 |  |
| $151-225$ | 16 | 3.4 | $351-450$ | 12 | 3.2 |  |
| $>225$ | 10 | 4.3 | $>450$ | 5 | 8.0 |  |

## Provision and use




## Performance of all districts

| District | AlI <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out- of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |
| Bastar | 0.3 | 99.6 | 99.2 |
| Bilaspur | 2.3 | 78.5 | 84.1 |
| Dantewada | 5.7 | 57.8 | 89.4 |
| Dhamtari* | 1.8 | 50.9 | 97.2 |
| Durg | 5.0 | 93.3 | 88.6 |
| Janjgir | 1.1 | 13.0 | 24.9 |
| Jashpur* | 6.7 | 46.8 | 45.8 |
| Kanker* | 1.3 | 51.7 | 89.1 |


| District | All <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |  |
| Kawardha* | 9.1 | 42.7 | 51.6 |
| Korba* | 5.7 | 48.8 | 47.2 |
| Mahasumund | 0.6 | 28.5 | 90.8 |
| Raigarh | 2.3 | 66.7 | 68.3 |
| Raipur | 12.0 | 46.2 | 63.3 |
| Rajnandgaon | 1.9 | 25.4 | 77.4 |
| Surguja | 5.4 | 47.0 | 64.8 |
| Chhatisgarh state | $\mathbf{4 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 9}$ | किसी जंगल में एक भालू

रहता था। एक दिन की बात
है। भालू बहुत भूखा था। वह
खाने की खोज में घूम रहा
था। घूमते-घूमते भालू शहर
जा पहुँचा। उसे देखकर कुते
भौंकने लगे। उन्होंने भालू का
पीछा किया। भालू डर गया।
वह तेजी से जंगल की तरफ
भागा। किसी जंगल में एक भालू
रहता था। एक दिन की बात
है। भालू बहुत भूखा था। वह
खाने की खोज में घूम रहा
था। घूमते-घूमते भालू शहर
जा पहुँचा। उसे देखकर कुते
भौंकने लगे। उन्होंने भालू का
पीछा किया। भालू डर गया।
वह तेजी से जंगल की तरफ
भागा। किसी जंगल में एक भालू
रहता था। एक दिन की बात
है। भालू बहुत भूखा था। वह
खाने की खोज में घूम रहा
था। घूमते-घूमते भालू शहर
जा पहुँचा। उसे देखकर कुते
भौंकने लगे। उन्होंने भालू का
पीछा किया। भालू डर गया।
वह तेजी से जंगल की तरफ
भागा। किसी जंगल में एक भालू
रहता था। एक दिन की बात
है। भालू बहुत भूखा था। वह
खाने की खोज में घूम रहा
था। घूमते-घूमते भालू शहर
जा पहुँचा। उसे देखकर कुते
भौंकने लगे। उन्होंने भालू का
पीछा किया। भालू डर गया।
वह तेजी से जंगल की तरफ
भागा। किसी जंगल में एक भालू
रहता था। एक दिन की बात
है। भालू बहुत भूखा था। वह
खाने की खोज में घूम रहा
था। घूमते-घूमते भालू शहर
जा पहुँचा। उसे देखकर कुते
भौंकने लगे। उन्होंने भालू का
पीछा किया। भालू डर गया।
वह तेजी से जंगल की तरफ
भागा। किसी जंगल में एक भालू
रहता था। एक दिन की बात
है। भालू बहुत भूखा था। वह
खाने की खोज में घूम रहा
था। घूमते-घूमते भालू शहर
जा पहुँचा। उसे देखकर कुते
भौंकने लगे। उन्होंने भालू का
पीछा किया। भालू डर गया।
वह तेजी से जंगल की तरफ
भागा। किसी जंगल में एक भालू
रहता था। एक दिन की बात
है। भालू बहुत भूखा था। वह
खाने की खोज में घूम रहा
था। घूमते-घूमते भालू शहर
जा पहुँचा। उसे देखकर कुते
भौंकने लगे। उन्होंने भालू का
पीछा किया। भालू डर गया।
वह तेजी से जंगल की तरफ
भागा। किसी जंगल में एक भालू
रहता था। एक दिन की बात
है। भालू बहुत भूखा था। वह
खाने की खोज में घूम रहा
था। घूमते-घूमते भालू शहर
जा पहुँचा। उसे देखकर कुते
भौंकने लगे। उन्होंने भालू का
पीछा किया। भालू डर गया।
वह तेजी से जंगल की तरफ
भागा। किसी जंगल में एक भालू
रहता था। एक दिन की बात
है। भालू बहुत भूखा था। वह
खाने की खोज में घूम रहा
था। घूमते-घूमते भालू शहर
जा पहुँचा। उसे देखकर कुते
भौंकने लगे। उन्होंने भालू का
पीछा किया। भालू डर गया।
वह तेजी से जंगल की तरफ
भागा। किसी जंगल में एक भालू
रहता था। एक दिन की बात
है। भालू बहुत भूखा था। वह
खाने की खोज में घूम रहा
था। घूमते-घूमते भालू शहर
जा पहुँचा। उसे देखकर कुते
भौंकने लगे। उन्होंने भालू का
पीछा किया। भालू डर गया।
वह तेजी से जंगल की तरफ
भागा।

भारत मेरा देश है। मेरे देश में बहुत लोग हैं। मैं देश की सेवा करता हूँ।

मेरे पास एक गेंद है।
मेरी गेंद गोल है।
मेरे पास एक गेंद है।
मेरी गेंद गोल है।


## ORISSA rural

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different <br> types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age <br> group not in school |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never <br> Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 85.0 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 ALL | 88.9 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 78.9 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 6.1 | 8.7 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 89.2 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GI RLS | 88.7 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 BOYS | 80.2 | 5.3 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 5.2 | 8.0 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GI RLS | 77.5 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 7.1 | 9.4 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children



## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read $\ldots$ |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical <br> written sums of $\ldots$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level $1^{*}$ | Level $2^{* *}$ | Division | Division |
| Age: 7-14 ALL | 36.8 | 51.8 | 47.2 | 72.6 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 50.6 | 67.9 | 60.7 | 86.6 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 18.0 | 29.8 | 28.8 | 53.4 |
| Govt: Std II-V | 46.6 | 65.3 | 57.2 | 85.1 |
| Pvt:Std II-V | 28.9 | 49.2 | 30.6 | 69.8 |
| Govt : Std VI-VIII | 8.5 | 19.3 | 20.2 | 47.9 |
| Pvt: Std VI-VIII | 7.8 | 19.8 | 21.2 | 42.9 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing.
Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |
| I | 50.7 | 30.0 | 11.9 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 100 |
| II | 22.3 | 32.1 | 21.8 | 11.6 | 12.3 | 100 |
| III | 11.0 | 19.1 | 24.4 | 17.6 | 27.8 | 100 |
| IV | 6.1 | 11.8 | 15.3 | 23.6 | 43.1 | 100 |
| V | 3.5 | 6.9 | 9.0 | 22.3 | 58.4 | 100 |
| VI | 2.0 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 14.2 | 74.1 | 100 |
| VII | 1.4 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 9.0 | 83.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 7.5 | 88.4 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number recogn | Subtracti on | Division | Total |
| I | 67.7 | 27.2 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 100 |
| II | 42.6 | 40.2 | 14.3 | 2.9 | 100 |
| III | 25.2 | 38.0 | 28.9 | 8.0 | 100 |
| IV | 17.2 | 28.7 | 35.3 | 18.8 | 100 |
| V | 11.8 | 22.3 | 34.0 | 31.9 | 100 |
| VI | 8.4 | 16.2 | 31.3 | 44.1 | 100 |
| VII | 6.6 | 12.6 | 27.5 | 53.3 | 100 |
| VIII | 3.8 | 10.9 | 20.1 | 65.1 | 100 |
| Total | 24.0 | 26.8 | 25.3 | 23.8 | 100 |

Performance of top five and bottom five districts in state based on \% all children Std V

| Reading | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> read level-2 | Arithmetic Top-5 | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> solve <br> subtraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J agatsinghpur | 39.8 | Anugu** | 17.5 |
| Khordha* | 40.4 | Khordha* | 18.3 |
| Puri | 42.7 | Puri | 23.9 |
| Ganjam | 43.4 | Baleshwar | 35.4 |
| Dhenkanal | 45.6 | Bhadrak* | 36.7 |
| F Bottom - 5 |  | Bottom - 5 |  |
| Nabarangapur* | 81.9 | Nuapada* | 74.1 |
| Anugu** | 81.3 | Baudh* | 70.2 |
| Baleshwar | 78.0 | Sonapur* | 68.7 |
| Rayagada* | 70.3 | Rayagada* | 68.3 |
| Koraput | 69.0 | Balangir | 67.9 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Teachers, children, and classrooms

| Teachers' attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 308 | 230 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 73.5 | 65.2 |
| \% of schools with NO <br> teachers present | 4.9 | 3.5 |
| \% of schools with ALL <br> teachers present | 45.1 | 26.1 |


| Children's attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 309 | 231 |
| \% enrolled children <br> attending (average) | 66.0 | 64.3 |
| \% of schools with less <br> than 50\% of enrolled <br> children attending | 18.1 | 21.2 |



| Average number of rooms available |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-V <br> rooms | Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-VIIII <br> rooms |
| $<=50$ | 14 | 2.4 | $<=150$ | 22 | 5.1 |
| $51-75$ | 17 | 3.4 | $151-250$ | 44 | 5.9 |
| $76-150$ | 40 | 4.0 | $251-350$ | 23 | 8.8 |
| $151-225$ | 20 | 5.3 | $351-450$ | 5 | 7.0 |
| $>225$ | 9 | 4.8 | $>450$ | 6 | 10.6 |

## Provision and use




## Performance of all districts

| District | AlI <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |  |
| Anugul* | 2.0 | 18.7 | 82.5 |
| Balangir | 4.7 | 39.3 | 32.1 |
| Baleshwar | 17.3 | 22.0 | 64.6 |
| Bargarh* | 5.5 | 40.0 | 35.3 |
| Bauda* | 4.8 | 50.3 | 29.8 |
| Bhadrak* | 1.0 | 45.7 | 63.3 |
| Cuttack | 6.8 | 46.5 | 50.4 |
| Deogarh* | 2.8 | 45.9 | 38.3 |
| Dhenkanal | 4.2 | 54.4 | 47.2 |
| Gajapati* | 20.6 | 49.1 | 47.3 |
| Ganjam | 8.3 | 56.6 | 42.2 |
| Jagatsinghpur | 3.8 | 60.2 | 62.6 |
| Jajapur* | 1.4 | 48.3 | 62.8 |
| Jharsuguda* | 4.3 | 37.8 | 50.4 |
| Kalahandi | 15.0 | 43.5 | 36.9 |
|  |  |  |  |


| District | AlI <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |  |
| Pulbhani (Khandamal) | 8.0 | 31.7 | 62.8 |
| Kendrapara* | 4.7 | 40.8 | 62.2 |
| Kendujhargarh | 4.3 | 44.5 | 35.1 |
| Khorda* | 1.7 | 59.6 | 81.7 |
| Koraput | 17.8 | 31.0 | 50.3 |
| Malkangiri* | 32.6 | 52.0 | 57.5 |
| Mayurbhanj | 8.2 | 52.7 | 58.9 |
| Nabarangapur* | 18.7 | 18.1 | 42.4 |
| Nayagarh* | 3.7 | 52.8 | 57.2 |
| Nuaparha* | 11.4 | 35.9 | 25.9 |
| Puri | 1.3 | 57.3 | 76.1 |
| Rayagada* | 30.8 | 29.7 | 31.7 |
| Sambalpur | 9.0 | 41.3 | 52.4 |
| Sonapur* | 3.9 | 32.3 | 31.3 |
| Sundargarh | 10.6 | 39.1 | 51.6 |
| ORI SSA STATE | $\mathbf{8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 0}$ |




# Maharshtra Andhra Pradesh 

Goa<br>Karnataka Kerala<br>Tamil Nadu

Note : Goa does not have school tables as the numbers of observations are too small.

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age group not in school |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 78.1 | 18.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 100 |
| Age: 6-10 ALL | 90.5 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 59.1 | 34.9 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 3.9 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 90.5 | 7.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 Gl RLS | 90.6 | 7.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 59.9 | 34.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 3.7 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GI RLS | 58.2 | 35.5 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 4.1 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children

## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.


## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read $\ldots$ |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical <br> written sums of $\ldots$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level $1^{*}$ | Level $2^{* *}$ | Subtraction or | Division |
| Age: 7-14 ALL | 27.6 | 45.8 | 46.1 | 71.2 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 39.2 | 61.0 | 57.9 | 84.6 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 12.6 | 26.3 | 30.8 | 54.1 |
| Govt: Std II-V | 32.6 | 55.8 | 52.2 | 81.3 |
| Pvt:Std II-V | 23.9 | 44.1 | 44.0 | 72.4 |
| Govt : Std VI-VIII | 7.6 | 20.1 | 25.1 | 47.5 |
| Pvt: Std VI-VIII | 7.1 | 17.6 | 25.3 | 47.4 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing. Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |  |
| I | 29.1 | 38.6 | 21.9 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 100 |  |
| II | 9.4 | 21.5 | 28.4 | 21.3 | 19.3 | 100 |  |
| III | 4.5 | 12.5 | 17.3 | 28.4 | 37.3 | 100 |  |
| IV | 3.1 | 6.2 | 10.2 | 22.0 | 58.6 | 100 |  |
| V | 2.5 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 19.0 | 67.3 | 100 |  |
| VI | 1.9 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 14.2 | 76.7 | 100 |  |
| VII | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 10.5 | 83.6 | 100 |  |
| VIII | 1.4 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 8.6 | 84.8 | 100 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number recogn | Subtracti on | Division | Total |
| I | 53.2 | 41.2 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 100 |
| 11 | 27.8 | 52.8 | 16.9 | 2.5 | 100 |
| III | 15.1 | 39.0 | 36.1 | 9.8 | 100 |
| IV | 10.9 | 27.3 | 33.6 | 28.2 | 100 |
| V | 8.4 | 24.2 | 28.0 | 39.5 | 100 |
| VI | 6.7 | 19.4 | 24.8 | 49.1 | 100 |
| VII | 4.9 | 19.0 | 20.8 | 55.3 | 100 |
| VIII | 6.0 | 20.3 | 19.5 | 54.1 | 100 |
| Total | 17.5 | 31.8 | 23.9 | 26.7 | 100 |

Performance of top five and bottom five districts in state based on \% all children Std V

|  | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> read level-2 | Arithmetic Top-5 | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> solve <br> subtraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satara | 27.3 | Ratnagiri | 19.3 |
| Kolhapur | 28.8 | Sindhudurg | 21.1 |
| Wardha | 33.1 | Ahmednagar | 23.5 |
| Chandrapur | 35.1 | J alna | 25.5 |
| Raigarh | 35.5 | Buldana | 26.0 |
| ¢ை Bottom-5 |  | Bottom - 5 |  |
| Nandurbar* | 78.2 | Nandurbar* | 69.5 |
| Aurangabad | 68.0 | Amravati | 66.4 |
| Amravati | 61.3 | Gadchiroli | 62.0 |
| J alna | 59.4 | Gondiya* | 62.0 |
| Jalgaon | 57.9 | Bhandara | 61.7 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Teachers, children, and classrooms

| Teachers' attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 299 | 333 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 82.3 | 80.0 |
| \% of schools with NO <br> teachers present | 8.4 | 9.3 |
| \% of schools with ALL <br> teachers present | 62.2 | 45.0 |


| Children's attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 307 | 344 |
| \% enrolled children <br> attending (average) | 82.2 | 82.5 |
| \% of schools with less <br> than 50\% of enrolled <br> children attending | 5.5 | 3.8 |



| Average number of rooms available |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-V <br> rooms | Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-VIIII <br> rooms |
| $<=50$ | 23 | 2.6 | $<=150$ | 21 | 5.0 |
| $51-75$ | 15 | 2.9 | $151-250$ | 42 | 7.0 |
| $76-150$ | 32 | 4.8 | $251-350$ | 20 | 8.8 |
| $151-225$ | 17 | 5.8 | $351-450$ | 10 | 11.0 |
| $>225$ | 13 | 9.6 | $>450$ | 7 | 14.0 |

## Provision and use




## Performance of all districts

| District | All <br> Children | Std III to V children |  | District | AII Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-ofschool | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% CAN } \\ \text { read } \\ \text { level - } 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\qquad$ |  | \% Out-ofschool | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% CAN } \\ \text { read } \\ \text { level - } 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% CAN solve subtraction |
| Ahmednagar | 1.6 | 61.0 | 76.5 | Nanded | 2.8 | 43.7 | 52.7 |
| Akola | 0.3 | 43.8 | 53.7 | Nandurbar* | 16.4 | 21.8 | 30.5 |
| Amravati | 1.4 | 38.7 | 33.6 | Nashik | 2.4 | 46.6 | 47.4 |
| Aurangabad | 5.7 | 32.0 | 40.2 | Usmanabad | 0.2 | 55.0 | 65.7 |
| Bhandara | 0.8 | 54.4 | 38.3 | Parbhani | 1.2 | 42.3 | 57.4 |
| Bid | 6.5 | 43.6 | 55.8 | Pune | 1.9 | 61.6 | 70.9 |
| Buldhana | 0.4 | 56.8 | 74.0 | Raigad | 1.8 | 64.5 | 58.6 |
| Chandrapur | 1.0 | 64.9 | 50.9 | Ratnagiri | 1.3 | 61.8 | 80.7 |
| Dhule | 3.0 | 43.1 | 59.7 | Sangli | 0.7 | 57.2 | 71.4 |
| Gadchiroli | 6.2 | 43.3 | 38.0 | Satara | 1.7 | 72.7 | 72.9 |
| Gondia* | 0.2 | 51.2 | 38.0 | Sindhudurg | 0.3 | 56.4 | 78.9 |
| Hingoli* | 4.7 | 59.4 | 45.0 | Solapur | 4.2 | 62.8 | 60.2 |
| J algaon | 2.6 | 42.1 | 49.0 | Thane | 7.2 | 50.6 | 42.5 |
| J alna | 2.2 | 40.6 | 74.5 | Wardha | 0.7 | 66.9 | 58.6 |
| Kolhapur | 2.2 | 71.2 | 44.9 | Washim* | 2.8 | 64.4 | 63.5 |
| Latur | 3.5 | 58.8 | 62.6 | Yavatmal | 4.1 | 48.9 | 46.5 |
| Nagpur | 2.0 | 46.2 | 42.5 | Maharashtra state | 2.8 | 53.7 | 57.9 |



Facilitated by PRATHAM
All analyses based on data from 21 out of 22 districts

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age group not in school |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never <br> Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 71.7 | 19.2 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 100 |
| Age: 6-10 ALL | 73.8 | 21.8 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 73.5 | 15.7 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 72.2 | 23.4 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GIRLS | 75.5 | 20.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 72.5 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 74.5 | 12.8 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children

## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools




## ANDHRA PRADESH rural

## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT READ \& |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age: 7-14 ALL | 29.4 | 47.5 | 32.7 | 59.9 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 40.1 | 62.0 | 41.6 | 71.4 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 15.9 | 29.1 | 21.5 | 45.5 |
| Govt: Std II-V | 39.8 | 62.6 | 40.0 | 71.5 |
| Pvt : Std II-V | 33.8 | 55.6 | 37.8 | 68.0 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 10.6 | 24.7 | 18.2 | 43.5 |
| Pvt : Std VI-VIII | 9.0 | 18.4 | 15.2 | 34.6 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing.
Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading
\% All school children who can read-standardwise

| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 30.8 | 36.7 | 20.5 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 100 |
| II | 14.0 | 22.2 | 35.3 | 14.7 | 13.9 | 100 |
| III | 7.6 | 13.0 | 26.3 | 27.0 | 26.1 | 100 |
| IV | 4.3 | 6.9 | 16.6 | 24.5 | 47.8 | 100 |
| V | 4.1 | 2.8 | 8.7 | 22.8 | 61.7 | 100 |
| VI | 4.7 | 1.9 | 7.2 | 17.3 | 68.9 | 100 |
| VII | 4.1 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 12.3 | 78.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 3.4 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 9.2 | 83.8 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written <br> numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number <br> recogn | Subtracti <br> on | Division | Total |
| I | 42.1 | 46.2 | 7.5 | 4.2 | 100 |
| II | 18.2 | 51.7 | 21.9 | 8.2 | 100 |
| III | 10.1 | 36.4 | 35.1 | 18.4 | 100 |
| IV | 6.9 | 23.8 | 33.3 | 36.0 | 100 |
| V | 5.8 | 13.2 | 32.6 | 48.4 | 100 |
| VI | 6.9 | 13.2 | 27.9 | 52.0 | 100 |
| VII | 6.0 | 11.5 | 23.4 | 59.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 4.8 | 9.8 | 20.4 | 65.0 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Performance of top five and bottom five districts in state based on \% all children Std V

|  | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> read level-2 | Arithmetic Top-5 | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT solve subtraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vizianagaram | 32.9 | Nellore | 11.0 |
| Nellore | 33.2 | Chittoor | 14.5 |
| Prakasam | 34.6 | Vizianagaram | 15.3 |
| Guntur | 43.5 | Krishna | 16.2 |
| Chittoor | 44.1 | Prakasam | 16.7 |
| รை Bottom-5 |  | Bottom - 5 |  |
| $\sqrt{\text { Medak }}$ | 79.4 | East Godavari | 52.4 |
| Nizamabad | 74.3 | Rangareddi | 52.0 |
| Vishakhapatnam | 67.3 | Mahbubnagar | 51.8 |
| East Godavari | 66.1 | Vishakhapatnam | 46.3 |
| Mahbubnagar | 64.9 | Warangal | 44.0 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Teachers, children, and classrooms

| Teachers' attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 195 | 174 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 76.9 | 78.6 |
| \% of schools with NO <br> teachers present | 4.1 | 1.1 |
| \% of schools with ALL <br> teachers present | 45.6 | 28.2 |


| Children's attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I- VIIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 196 | 175 |
| \% enrolled children <br> attending (average) | 76.2 | 74.6 |
| \% of schools with less <br> than 50\% of enrolled <br> children attending | 8.2 | 4.6 |



| Average number of rooms available |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | \% <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-V <br> rooms | Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-VIII <br> rooms |  |
| $<=50$ | 26 | 1.7 | $<=150$ | 27 | 5.1 |  |
| $51-75$ | 15 | 2.9 | $151-250$ | 33 | 7.1 |  |
| $76-150$ | 35 | 3.3 | $251-350$ | 25 | 7.6 |  |
| $151-225$ | 11 | 4.6 | $351-450$ | 8 | 9.4 |  |
| $>225$ | 13 | 6.2 | $>450$ | 7 | 8.7 |  |

## Provision and use




## Performance of all districts

| District | AlI <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |
| Adilabad | 7.5 | 44.4 | 67.0 |
| Anantapur | 10.4 | 48.5 | 72.4 |
| Chittoor | 6.1 | 55.9 | 85.5 |
| Cuddapah | 11.4 | 40.1 | 66.2 |
| East Godavari | 12.3 | 33.9 | 47.6 |
| Guntur | 10.6 | 56.5 | 80.1 |
| Karimnagar | 3.2 | 38.7 | 65.5 |
| Khammam | 13.2 | 46.4 | 64.4 |
| Krishna | 3.7 | 54.7 | 83.8 |
| Kurnool | 17.1 | 53.4 | 73.9 |
| Mahbubnagar | 9.9 | 35.1 | 48.2 |
|  |  |  |  |


| District | All <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |
| Medak | 3.7 | 20.6 | 62.4 |
| Nalgonda | 6.6 | 38.0 | 62.8 |
| Nellore | 5.8 | 66.8 | 89.0 |
| Nizamabad | 3.6 | 25.7 | 60.5 |
| Prakasam | 7.9 | 65.4 | 83.3 |
| Rangareddi | 4.2 | 38.1 | 48.0 |
| Srikakulam | 5.3 | 50.4 | 69.6 |
| Vishakhapatnam | 5.3 | 32.7 | 53.7 |
| Vizianagaram | 12.1 | 67.1 | 84.7 |
| Warangal | 10.8 | 39.1 | 56.0 |
| West Godavari | 5.0 | 47.4 | 69.5 |
| Andhra Pradesh | $\mathbf{8 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 6}$ |
| state |  |  |  |



GOA rural
All analyses based on data from 2 out of 2 districts

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age group not in school |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 72.0 | 27.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Age: 6-10 ALL | 74.3 | 25.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 69.2 | 30.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 72.3 | 27.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GIRLS | 76.7 | 23.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 BOYS | 71.9 | 27.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GI RLS | 66.7 | 33.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children



## Percentage of children



## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age: 7-14 ALL | 14.9 | 40.4 | 26.3 | 60.3 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 24.4 | 58.6 | 45.4 | 84.7 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 4.3 | 20.3 | 5.0 | 33.1 |
| Govt : Std II-V | 23.0 | 56.5 | 39.3 | 81.9 |
| Pvt: Std لI-V | 16.7 | 52.4 | 40.5 | 76.8 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 3.2 | 20.0 | 4.6 | 32.6 |
| Pvt : Std VI-VIII | 1.1 | 8.1 | 4.0 | 23.8 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing. Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |
| I | 3.2 | 45.1 | 37.3 | 6.1 | 8.3 | 100 |
| II | 1.6 | 10.4 | 53.2 | 19.8 | 15.1 | 100 |
| III | 0.9 | 6.7 | 13.5 | 45.4 | 33.6 | 100 |
| I V | 0.7 | 1.7 | 6.0 | 41.6 | 50.1 | 100 |
| V | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.0 | 27.4 | 68.1 | 100 |
| VI | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 14.4 | 82.6 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 12.2 | 86.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 18.0 | 78.8 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written <br> numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number <br> recogn | Subtracti <br> on | Division | Total |
| I | 40.6 | 52.4 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| II | 16.3 | 73.1 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 100 |
| III | 5.3 | 50.6 | 40.1 | 4.1 | 100 |
| IV | 1.0 | 22.0 | 58.6 | 18.4 | 100 |
| V | 0.0 | 7.9 | 46.9 | 45.3 | 100 |
| VI | 0.0 | 4.7 | 29.1 | 66.2 | 100 |
| VII | 0.9 | 4.0 | 21.6 | 73.6 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.8 | 80.2 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## Performance of surveyed districts

| District | AlI <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN solve <br> subtraction |
| South Goa | 0.9 | 52.0 | 83.4 |
| North Goa | 0.0 | 51.3 | 66.2 |
| Goa state | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 9}$ |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


Facilitated by PRATHAM

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different <br> types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age <br> group not in school |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never <br> Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 88.5 | 9.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 ALL | 89.9 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 87.0 | 9.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 89.5 | 9.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GI RLS | 90.4 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 BOYS | 87.3 | 9.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GIRLS | 86.8 | 9.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children

## Gender differences



Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.


## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age: 7-14 ALL | 34.9 | 53.9 | 44.3 | 75.8 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 52.9 | 72.5 | 59.7 | 90.6 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 17.1 | 35.6 | 29.3 | 61.2 |
| Govt : Std II-V | 50.3 | 70.5 | 58.5 | 90.1 |
| Pvt: Std ل-V | 40.6 | 62.4 | 45.3 | 82.5 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 12.4 | 29.1 | 24.2 | 55.6 |
| Pvt : Std VI-VI II | 8.6 | 26.8 | 22.9 | 47.2 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing. Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |
| I | 46.1 | 16.6 | 25.3 | 4.6 | 7.4 | 100 |
| II | 13.4 | 37.1 | 30.5 | 10.6 | 8.5 | 100 |
| III | 8.5 | 19.5 | 32.7 | 19.5 | 19.8 | 100 |
| IV | 5.4 | 10.4 | 22.2 | 25.6 | 36.4 | 100 |
| V | 3.5 | 6.6 | 14.4 | 24.2 | 51.3 | 100 |
| VI | 2.7 | 4.6 | 9.4 | 18.1 | 65.1 | 100 |
| VII | 1.3 | 2.3 | 6.1 | 17.2 | 73.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.9 | 1.9 | 4.2 | 13.4 | 79.7 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number recogn | Subtracti on | Division | Total |
| I | 61.1 | 33.5 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 100 |
| 11 | 23.2 | 63.8 | 11.5 | 1.5 | 100 |
| III | 13.1 | 54.4 | 29.8 | 2.8 | 100 |
| IV | 7.8 | 37.4 | 43.8 | 11.0 | 100 |
| V | 5.9 | 29.5 | 40.3 | 24.3 | 100 |
| VI | 3.7 | 22.9 | 34.8 | 38.6 | 100 |
| VII | 2.4 | 19.8 | 29.2 | 48.6 | 100 |
| VIII | 2.1 | 20.3 | 24.8 | 52.8 | 100 |
| Total | 8.6 | 36.0 | 31.4 | 24.0 | 100 |

Performance of top five and bottom five districts in state based on \% all children Std V

|  | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> read level-2 | Arithmetic Top - 5 | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> solve <br> subtraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Udipi* | 18.4 | Udipi* | 9.0 |
| Gadag* | 41.2 | Chitradurga | 17.7 |
| Hassan | 44.7 | Hassan | 23.2 |
| Shimoga | 44.8 | Uttara Kannad | 30.4 |
| Kodagu | 45.6 | Kolar | 30.9 |
| ¢f Bottom - 5 |  | Bottom - 5 |  |
| Kolar | 84.6 | Dharwad | 79.5 |
| Haveri* | 84.5 | Belgaum | 70.6 |
| Belgaum | 83.6 | Chamarajanagar | 69.5 |
| Dharwad | 81.9 | Koppal | 60.4 |
| Uttara Kannad | 77.9 | Haveri* | 60.4 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Teachers, children, and classrooms

| Teachers' attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 94 | 439 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 76.9 | 77.5 |
| \% of schools with NO <br> teachers present | 3.2 | 1.4 |
| \% of schools with ALL <br> teachers present | 54.3 | 23.5 |


| Children's attendance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 94 | 439 |
| \% enrolled children <br> attending (average) | 82.5 | 76.6 |
| \% of schools with less <br> than 50\% of enrolled <br> children attending | 5.3 | 10.7 |



| Average number of rooms available |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-V <br> rooms | Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-VIIII <br> rooms |
| $<=50$ | 62 | 2.4 | $<=150$ | 23 | 5.6 |
| $51-75$ | 19 | 2.8 | $151-250$ | 26 | 7.3 |
| $76-150$ | 19 | 3.6 | $251-350$ | 22 | 9.3 |
| $151-225$ | 0 | 0.0 | $351-450$ | 13 | 9.8 |
| $>225$ | 0 | 0.0 | $>450$ | 16 | 12.5 |

## Provision and use




## Performance of all districts

| District | All <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |  |
| Bagalkot* | 0.5 | 39.0 | 40.1 |
| Bangalore | 0.8 | 35.6 | 63.0 |
| Bangalore Rural | 1.1 | 44.1 | 58.2 |
| Belgaum | 1.6 | 16.4 | 29.4 |
| Bellary | 3.8 | 37.3 | 40.2 |
| Bidar | 2.2 | 53.6 | 48.2 |
| Bijapur | 2.4 | 36.7 | 44.0 |
| Chamaranjnagar | 2.5 | 27.9 | 30.5 |
| Chikmangalur | 0.6 | 39.6 | 54.4 |
| Chitradurga | 3.2 | 27.5 | 82.3 |
| Dakshin Kannad | 0.8 | 50.9 | 65.7 |
| Devangere | 1.2 | 42.8 | 46.8 |
| Dharwad | 2.8 | 18.1 | 20.5 |
| Gadag* | 3.2 | 58.8 | 43.1 |


| District | AlI <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |  |
| Gulbarga | 1.9 | 47.0 | 43.7 |
| Hassan | 1.4 | 55.3 | 76.8 |
| Haveri* | 1.0 | 15.5 | 39.6 |
| Kodagu | 2.0 | 54.4 | 62.1 |
| Kolar | 2.3 | 15.4 | 69.1 |
| Koppal | 2.1 | 54.3 | 39.6 |
| Mandya | 1.7 | 31.7 | 50.0 |
| Mysore | 1.3 | 29.1 | 43.4 |
| Raichur | 7.6 | 33.0 | 58.1 |
| Shimoga | 1.3 | 55.2 | 54.8 |
| Tumkur | 0.6 | 42.6 | 54.3 |
| Udipi* | 0.2 | 81.6 | 91.0 |
| Uttar Kannad | 0.5 | 22.1 | 69.6 |
| Karnataka state | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 7}$ |



## KERALA rural

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different <br> types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age <br> group not in school |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never <br> Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 75.5 | 22.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 ALL | 77.2 | 21.8 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 75.4 | 23.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 77.1 | 21.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GI RLS | 77.3 | 21.7 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 BOYS | 77.4 | 21.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Age :11-14 GI RLS | 73.3 | 25.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children

## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age : 7-14 ALL | 12.1 | 23.5 | 22.5 | 48.4 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 17.9 | 32.3 | 30.8 | 63.8 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 5.2 | 12.8 | 12.5 | 29.7 |
| Govt: Std II-V | 18.0 | 33.3 | 30.5 | 65.7 |
| Pvt: Std II-V | 18.6 | 31.5 | 31.0 | 53.3 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 4.1 | 11.0 | 10.6 | 29.5 |
| Pvt : Std VI-VIII | 6.4 | 11.4 | 14.7 | 24.9 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing.
Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |  |
| I | 9.9 | 18.9 | 40.2 | 8.0 | 23.0 | 100 |  |
| II | 4.7 | 8.4 | 26.6 | 12.3 | 48.0 | 100 |  |
| III | 3.7 | 3.3 | 13.9 | 18.1 | 60.9 | 100 |  |
| IV | 2.4 | 1.6 | 6.4 | 15.9 | 73.8 | 100 |  |
| V | 1.9 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 12.9 | 81.5 | 100 |  |
| VI | 1.6 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 7.7 | 86.8 | 100 |  |
| VII | 2.0 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 6.3 | 88.9 | 100 |  |
| VIII | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 5.8 | 91.7 | 100 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| Std | Nothing | Number <br> recogn | Subtracti <br> on | Division | Total |
| I | 16.9 | 64.5 | 10.3 | 8.3 | 100 |
| II | 8.3 | 46.0 | 26.8 | 19.0 | 100 |
| III | 6.2 | 30.8 | 37.4 | 25.7 | 100 |
| IV | 3.1 | 17.2 | 39.5 | 40.3 | 100 |
| V | 3.1 | 12.7 | 28.2 | 56.0 | 100 |
| VI | 2.3 | 10.1 | 23.2 | 64.4 | 100 |
| VII | 2.5 | 9.5 | 15.4 | 72.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.6 | 6.5 | 12.8 | 79.2 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Performance of top five and bottom five districts in state based on \% all children Std V

|  | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> read level-2 | Arithmetic Top-5 | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> solve <br> subtraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kollam | 4.8 | Kottayam | 13.5 |
| Alappuzha | 11.1 | Alappuzha | 13.5 |
| Kannur | 13.2 | Ernakulam | 15.5 |
| Kozhikode | 15.7 | Pathanamthitta | 18.8 |
| Pathanamthitta | 17.1 | Kannur | 22.6 |
| ร์ Bottom-5 |  | Bottom - 5 |  |
| Malappuram | 51.4 | Wayanad | 33.7 |
| Ernakulam | 39.0 | Kozhikode | 31.8 |
| Thrissur | 37.2 | Malappuram | 31.2 |
| Idukki | 35.2 | Thiruvananthapuram | 30.2 |
| Kasargod | 32.0 | Kasargod | 28.1 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Teachers, children, and classrooms

| Teachers' attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 94 | 128 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 53.1 | 65.6 |
| \% of schools with NO <br> teachers present | 37.2 | 25.8 |
| \% of schools with ALL <br> teachers present | 34.0 | 28.1 |


| Children's attendance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 97 | 132 |
| \% enrolled children <br> attending (average) | 92.9 | 92.2 |
| \% of schools with less <br> than 50\% of enrolled <br> children attending | 3.1 | 3.0 |



| Average number of rooms available |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-V <br> rooms | Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-vIIII <br> rooms |
| $<=50$ | 9 | 4.1 | $<=150$ | 8 | 11.1 |
| $51-75$ | 5 | 4.3 | $151-250$ | 16 | 11.3 |
| $76-150$ | 41 | 5.3 | $251-350$ | 16 | 14.7 |
| $151-225$ | 18 | 8.1 | $351-450$ | 13 | 17.5 |
| $>225$ | 27 | 12.1 | $>450$ | 47 | 27.2 |

## Provision and use




## Performance of all districts

| District | All Children | Std III to V children |  | District | All <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-ofschool | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% CAN } \\ \text { read } \\ \text { level - } 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% CAN solve subtraction |  | \% Out-ofschool | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% CAN } \\ \text { read } \\ \text { level - } 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% CAN solve subtraction |
| Alappuzha | 1.8 | 88.9 | 86.5 | Kozhikode | 3.5 | 84.3 | 68.2 |
| Ernakulam | 0.0 | 61.0 | 84.5 | Malappuram | 0.8 | 48.6 | 68.8 |
| I dukki | 3.6 | 64.8 | 73.2 | Palakkad | 4.6 | 81.9 | 77.0 |
| Kannur | 3.1 | 86.8 | 77.4 | Pathanamthitta | 1.6 | 82.9 | 81.3 |
| Kasargod | 0.6 | 68.0 | 71.9 | Thiruvananthapuram | 1.6 | 70.6 | 69.8 |
| Kollam | 0.0 | 95.2 | 76.9 | Thrissur | 0.5 | 62.8 | 74.9 |
| Kottayam | 0.4 | 78.1 | 86.5 | Wayanad | 1.8 | 70.3 | 66.3 |
|  |  |  |  | Kerla state | 1.6 | 72.0 | 75.7 |



## TAMIL NADU rural

All analyses based on data from 28 out of 29 districts

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different <br> types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age <br> group not in school |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never <br> Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 78.3 | 18.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 ALL | 79.2 | 19.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 77.6 | 16.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.4 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 78.9 | 19.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GI RLS | 79.5 | 19.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 BOYS | 77.4 | 17.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.9 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GI RLS | 77.8 | 16.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.9 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children

## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age : 7-14 ALL | 30.9 | 49.3 | 36.9 | 66.8 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 45.8 | 66.5 | 51.6 | 82.3 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 14.3 | 30.2 | 20.7 | 49.6 |
| Govt: Std II-V | 49.5 | 70.1 | 55.4 | 84.6 |
| Pvt: Std II-V | 43.0 | 60.4 | 47.4 | 77.6 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 15.4 | 32.1 | 21.9 | 53.7 |
| Pvt : Std VI-VIII | 9.4 | 26.1 | 14.4 | 39.0 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.
subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing. Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.


## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |  |
| I | 45.8 | 31.1 | 17.3 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 100 |  |
| II | 22.5 | 27.1 | 31.0 | 9.6 | 9.9 | 100 |  |
| III | 11.5 | 17.8 | 31.2 | 18.2 | 21.2 | 100 |  |
| IV | 6.4 | 10.3 | 20.9 | 24.5 | 37.8 | 100 |  |
| V | 4.4 | 5.3 | 15.4 | 24.3 | 50.7 | 100 |  |
| VI | 3.5 | 4.8 | 12.2 | 20.1 | 59.4 | 100 |  |
| VII | 2.3 | 2.8 | 6.9 | 16.7 | 71.3 | 100 |  |
| VIII | 1.8 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 12.6 | 78.4 | 100 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number recogn | Subtracti on | Division | Total |
| I | 55.2 | 37.4 | 6.0 | 1.5 | 100 |
| II | 31.8 | 51.4 | 14.1 | 2.7 | 100 |
| III | 16.5 | 47.4 | 28.6 | 7.6 | 100 |
| IV | 9.8 | 34.6 | 36.6 | 19.0 | 100 |
| V | 6.9 | 26.4 | 34.9 | 31.8 | 100 |
| VI | 4.9 | 20.8 | 34.9 | 39.4 | 100 |
| VII | 3.7 | 16.1 | 28.4 | 51.9 | 100 |
| VIII | 3.0 | 11.7 | 28.3 | 57.1 | 100 |
| Total | 14.0 | 30.2 | 28.1 | 27.7 | 100 |

Performance of top five and bottom five districts in state based on \% all children Std V

| Reading | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> read level-2 | Arithmetic | \% std III to <br> V CANNOT <br> solve <br> subtraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Nilgiris | 33.0 | The Nilgiris | 11.6 |
| Dindigul | 38.4 | Dindigul | 19.0 |
| Kanyakumari | 39.6 | Theni* | 20.4 |
| Ariyalur* | 41.2 | Pudukkottai | 28.2 |
| Tirunelveli | 46.0 | Tirunelveli | 32.7 |
| Bottom - 5 |  | Bottom - 5 |  |
| Theni* | 86.6 | Erode | 66.7 |
| Tiruvannamalai | 80.3 | Cuddalore | 66.2 |
| Thiruvallur | 79.5 | Viluppuram | 64.1 |
| Salem | 78.5 | Perambalur* | 62.6 |
| Shivaganga | 78.3 | Ramanathapuran | 61.9 |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Teachers, children, and classrooms

| Teachers' attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 283 | 192 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 78.2 | 79.4 |
| \% of schools with NO <br> teachers present | 5.7 | 5.2 |
| \% of schools with ALL <br> teachers present | 52.7 | 33.9 |


| Children's attendance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools with: |  |
|  | Std. <br> I-IV/V | Std. <br> I - VIII |
| Total number of schools <br> visited | 283 | 190 |
| \% enrolled children <br> attending (average) | 86.6 | 85.9 |
| \% of schools with less <br> than 50\% of enrolled <br> children attending | 6.0 | 4.7 |



| Average number of rooms available |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-V <br> rooms | Type of <br> school by <br> enrollment | $\%$ <br> Schools <br> visited | Std <br> I-VIIII <br> rooms |
| $<=50$ | 19 | 2.0 | $<=150$ | 17 | 4.5 |
| $51-75$ | 16 | 2.3 | $151-250$ | 28 | 6.0 |
| $76-150$ | 33 | 3.0 | $251-350$ | 26 | 8.7 |
| $151-225$ | 17 | 5.1 | $351-450$ | 13 | 8.9 |
| $>225$ | 15 | 7.2 | $>450$ | 16 | 14.0 |

## Provision and use




## Performance of all districts

| District | All Children <br> \% Out-ofschool | Std III to V children |  | District | All Children <br> \% Out-ofschool | Std III to V children |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% CAN } \\ \text { read } \\ \text { level - } 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% CAN <br> solve <br> subtraction |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% CAN } \\ \text { read } \\ \text { level - } 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% CAN solve subtraction |
| Ariyalur* | 2.7 | 58.8 | 64.7 | Salem | 3.2 | 21.5 | 58.8 |
| Coimbatore | 1.0 | 37.6 | 51.9 | Shivaganga | 0.3 | 21.7 | 55.0 |
| Cuddalore | 4.6 | 43.9 | 33.8 | Thanjavur | 1.7 | 40.2 | 43.5 |
| Dharmapuri | 3.0 | 42.4 | 53.5 | Theni* | 1.5 | 13.4 | 79.6 |
| Dindigul | 0.8 | 61.6 | 81.0 | The Nilgiris | 1.7 | 67.0 | 88.4 |
| Erode | 3.3 | 22.2 | 33.3 | Thiruvallur | 1.9 | 20.5 | 38.4 |
| Kancheepuram | 1.0 | 25.1 | 57.9 | Thiruvarur | 1.1 | 24.8 | 54.8 |
| Kanyakumari | 1.1 | 60.4 | 59.2 | Thoothukkudi | 2.8 | 41.6 | 63.4 |
| Karur* | 8.5 | 40.5 | 62.7 | Tiruchirappalli | 2.1 | 36.9 | 58.5 |
| Madurai | 2.2 | 48.7 | 54.8 | Tirunelveli | 0.6 | 54.0 | 67.3 |
| Nagapattinam* | 2.7 | 29.6 | 54.1 | Tiruvannamalai | 1.6 | 19.7 | 46.1 |
| Perambalur* | 1.5 | 24.7 | 37.4 | Vellore | 4.0 | 45.6 | 48.2 |
| Pudukkottai | 7.1 | 50.0 | 71.8 | Villupuram | 4.0 | 23.3 | 35.9 |
| Ramanathapuram | 2.2 | 36.6 | 38.1 | Virudunagar | 7.5 | 23.0 | 51.2 |
|  |  |  |  | Tamil Nadu State | 13.1 | 53.6 | 74.7 |




## Tripura Assam Meghalay Manipur Nagaland Arunachal Pradesh

## TRIPURA rural

All analyses based on data from 1 out of 4 districts

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age group not in school |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 96.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Age: 6-10 ALL | 96.9 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 97.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 96.2 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GI RLS | 97.5 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 97.6 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GI RLS | 96.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children



Percentage of children


## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level $1^{*}$ | Level 2** | Subtraction or <br> Division | Division |
|  | 18.4 | 34.4 | 22.1 | 59.1 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 29.2 | 47.4 | 31.8 | 78.3 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 8.0 | 22.0 | 13.0 | 41.0 |
| Govt : Std II-V | 18.9 | 38.6 | 22.3 | 66.2 |
| Pvt: Std II-V | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Govt : Std VI-VIII | 1.0 | 10.3 | 4.2 | 27.4 |
| Pvt: Std VI-VIII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

* Level-1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing.
Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |  |  |
| I | 0.0 | 25.0 | 36.5 | 17.3 | 21.2 | 100 |  |  |
| II | 3.5 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 22.8 | 42.1 | 100 |  |  |
| III | 2.5 | 7.6 | 19.0 | 15.2 | 55.7 | 100 |  |  |
| IV | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 25.8 | 66.7 | 100 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 14.8 | 83.6 | 100 |  |  |
| VI | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 86.8 | 100 |  |  |
| VII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 90.9 | 100 |  |  |
| VIII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 95.5 | 100 |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |  |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written <br> numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number <br> recogn | Subtracti <br> on | Division | Total |
| I | 10.0 | 54.0 | 34.0 | 2.0 | 100 |
| II | 14.0 | 29.8 | 43.9 | 12.3 | 100 |
| III | 6.4 | 18.0 | 50.0 | 25.6 | 100 |
| IV | 0.0 | 10.6 | 45.5 | 43.9 | 100 |
| V | 7.9 | 3.2 | 31.8 | 57.1 | 100 |
| VI | 1.9 | 3.8 | 22.6 | 71.7 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.1 | 61.9 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 4.8 | 9.5 | 85.7 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## Performance of surveyed districts

| District | AlI <br> Children | Std I I I to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN solve <br> subtraction |
|  | 1.8 | 67.5 | 84.1 |
| Tripura state | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 . 1}$ |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## $\overline{\text { Annual Status of Education Report }}$ <br> 筑2005 <br> ASSAM rural

Facilitated by PRATHAM

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different <br> types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age <br> group not in school |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never <br> Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 77.5 | 13.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 ALL | 82.1 | 12.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 69.4 | 15.6 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 7.1 | 6.6 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 82.3 | 12.3 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GI RLS | 82.0 | 12.7 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 BOYS | 68.2 | 15.8 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 100 |
| Age :11-14 GI RLS | 70.9 | 15.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children



Percentage of children


## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT READ ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age : 7-14 ALL | 38.0 | 61.8 | 44.0 | 74.0 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 49.0 | 75.6 | 54.6 | 83.9 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 17.0 | 35.6 | 23.9 | 55.3 |
| Govt : Std II-V | 44.5 | 73.7 | 49.8 | 82.3 |
| Pvt : Std II-V | 37.3 | 59.9 | 38.9 | 68.8 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 6.6 | 23.1 | 13.8 | 39.3 |
| Pvt : Std VI-VIII | 11.8 | 20.3 | 8.2 | 31.6 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing. Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |
| I | 37.2 | 43.6 | 15.3 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 100 |
| II | 11.0 | 25.9 | 43.9 | 12.8 | 6.3 | 100 |
| III | 6.5 | 8.7 | 29.6 | 35.0 | 20.3 | 100 |
| IV | 3.1 | 5.3 | 12.8 | 37.1 | 41.7 | 100 |
| V | 1.4 | 2.5 | 12.8 | 28.5 | 54.8 | 100 |
| VI | 1.0 | 2.2 | 7.4 | 20.8 | 68.7 | 100 |
| VII | 0.8 | 0.6 | 4.6 | 12.1 | 81.8 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 92.2 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number recogn | Subtracti on | Division | Total |
| I | 59.6 | 35.3 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 100 |
| 11 | 33.5 | 50.3 | 13.8 | 2.4 | 100 |
| III | 16.5 | 29.3 | 41.0 | 13.2 | 100 |
| IV | 8.8 | 23.9 | 37.7 | 29.7 | 100 |
| V | 5.1 | 15.0 | 39.0 | 40.9 | 100 |
| VI | 3.5 | 13.4 | 31.1 | 52.0 | 100 |
| VII | 2.9 | 6.7 | 23.7 | 66.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.0 | 8.2 | 12.0 | 78.8 | 100 |
| Total | 22.1 | 28.1 | 25.7 | 24.0 | 100 |

## Performance of surveyed districts

| District | AlI <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN solve <br> subtraction |
| Tinsukia | 16.3 | 56.3 | 71.2 |
| Sonitpur | 8.9 | 22.6 | 26.9 |
| Dhubri | 8.8 | 23.7 | 47.0 |
| Nagoan | 8.1 | 39.8 | 58.8 |
| Golaghat | 5.7 | 36.1 | 72.8 |
| Dibrugarh | 5.2 | 54.5 | 85.2 |
| Dhemaji | 5.0 | 52.3 | 67.8 |
| Borpeta | 1.8 | 26.0 | 76.4 |
| Assam state | $\mathbf{7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 6}$ |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


Facilitated by PRATHAM
All analyses based on data from 2 out of 7 districts

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different <br> types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age <br> group not in school |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 48.4 | 41.9 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 5.2 | 3.0 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 ALL | 47.3 | 44.5 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 1.1 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 50.3 | 38.2 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 46.3 | 43.7 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 6.5 | 1.1 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GI RLS | 48.3 | 45.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 4.3 | 1.1 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 BOYS | 50.5 | 36.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 4.8 | 7.4 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GIRLS | 50.1 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children



Percentage of children


## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age: 7-14 ALL | 32.5 | 40.3 | 34.6 | 61.3 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 46.1 | 55.7 | 51.6 | 81.8 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 18.3 | 24.2 | 17.7 | 40.9 |
| Govt : Std II-V | 25.1 | 33.5 | 31.0 | 64.7 |
| Pvt : Std II-V | 17.3 | 27.0 | 22.5 | 58.3 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 6.2 | 15.5 | 3.1 | 33.5 |
| Pvt : Std VI-VIII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing.
Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |
| I | 2.2 | 31.2 | 43.6 | 10.5 | 12.6 | 100 |
| II | 1.8 | 5.3 | 37.5 | 15.7 | 39.7 | 100 |
| III | 0.0 | 2.0 | 16.6 | 10.9 | 70.5 | 100 |
| IV | 0.5 | 1.6 | 10.6 | 3.4 | 84.0 | 100 |
| V | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 90.4 | 100 |
| VI | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 7.3 | 91.2 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 92.2 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 95.2 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number recogn | Subtracti on | Division | Total |
| I | 18.5 | 63.1 | 17.9 | 0.4 | 100 |
| 11 | 18.2 | 39.6 | 34.0 | 8.2 | 100 |
| III | 10.0 | 10.3 | 46.3 | 33.3 | 100 |
| IV | 6.3 | 11.5 | 30.4 | 51.8 | 100 |
| V | 1.8 | 3.6 | 23.6 | 71.1 | 100 |
| VI | 0.0 | 2.9 | 24.5 | 72.6 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 83.8 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 92.8 | 100 |
| Total | 10.1 | 25.2 | 27.6 | 37.2 | 100 |

## Performance of surveyed districts

| District | All <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level -2 | \% CAN solve <br> subtraction |
| East Khasi Hills | 12.6 | 86.6 | 81.7 |
| West Garo Hills | 3.5 | 73.6 | 87.2 |
| Meghalaya state | $\mathbf{8 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 . 3}$ |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different <br> types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age <br> group not in school |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 33.7 | 52.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 10.5 | 3.3 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 ALL | 36.6 | 58.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 35.3 | 53.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 6.8 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 34.6 | 60.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GI RLS | 38.7 | 56.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 BOYS | 30.9 | 59.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 5.8 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GI RLS | 39.6 | 48.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 7.9 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children



Percentage of children


## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age : 7-14 ALL | 42.3 | 57.1 | 39.9 | 65.9 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 53.4 | 69.4 | 52.2 | 80.6 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 29.3 | 42.8 | 25.7 | 48.9 |
| Govt: Std II-V | 48.2 | 62.5 | 40.3 | 71.4 |
| Pvt: Std II-V | 28.1 | 48.5 | 27.8 | 65.7 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 13.9 | 23.4 | 15.6 | 37.3 |
| Pvt : Std VI-VIII | 4.7 | 10.9 | 6.0 | 18.1 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing. Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |
| I | 21.3 | 20.2 | 28.2 | 15.0 | 15.3 | 100 |
| II | 12.3 | 18.4 | 29.2 | 18.6 | 21.5 | 100 |
| III | 7.5 | 8.9 | 21.4 | 16.8 | 45.5 | 100 |
| IV | 5.3 | 3.2 | 13.4 | 20.8 | 57.4 | 100 |
| V | 2.2 | 2.2 | 5.6 | 15.7 | 74.3 | 100 |
| VI | 4.9 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 11.6 | 81.9 | 100 |
| VII | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 90.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 9.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 90.1 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written <br> numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number <br> recogn | Subtracti <br> on | Division | Total |
| I | 31.5 | 43.9 | 12.7 | 11.9 | 100 |
| II | 16.9 | 35.4 | 36.6 | 11.1 | 100 |
| III | 9.9 | 25.2 | 35.4 | 29.4 | 100 |
| IV | 6.9 | 11.9 | 35.9 | 45.3 | 100 |
| V | 3.1 | 10.1 | 30.5 | 56.3 | 100 |
| VI | 4.0 | 2.4 | 18.6 | 74.9 | 100 |
| VII | 6.1 | 3.4 | 9.3 | 81.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 9.9 | 2.3 | 11.1 | 76.7 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## Performance of surveyed districts

| District | AlI <br> Children | Std I II to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN solve <br> subtraction |
|  | 1.3 | 82.3 | 88.7 |
| Bishnupur | 20.3 | 57.0 | 66.7 |
| Ukhrul | 24.0 | 21.5 | 63.4 |
| Manipur state | $\mathbf{1 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 . 9}$ |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age group not in school |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 70.9 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 11.5 | 100 |
| Age: 6-10 ALL | 79.5 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 2.5 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 60.0 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 21.5 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 78.9 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 2.4 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GIRLS | 80.3 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 2.6 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 62.9 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 21.1 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GI RLS | 55.9 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.7 | 22.1 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children



Percentage of children


## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT read ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age : 7-14 ALL | 37.9 | 64.8 | 37.6 | 77.4 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 53.0 | 79.1 | 50.7 | 87.0 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 19.0 | 46.8 | 21.1 | 65.3 |
| Govt: Std II-V | 31.6 | 62.4 | 32.4 | 75.8 |
| Pvt: Std II-V | 12.0 | 48.5 | 21.6 | 61.8 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 17.4 | 42.8 | 9.5 | 48.0 |
| Pvt : Std VI-VIII |  |  |  |  |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing. Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |
| I | 8.4 | 35.8 | 34.7 | 11.5 | 9.6 | 100 |
| II | 2.0 | 14.7 | 27.7 | 41.2 | 14.5 | 100 |
| III | 1.5 | 10.5 | 15.0 | 31.7 | 41.4 | 100 |
| IV | 0.7 | 7.2 | 10.7 | 20.1 | 61.3 | 100 |
| V | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 15.6 | 81.4 | 100 |
| VI | 0.0 | 6.0 | 9.9 | 5.0 | 79.2 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 10.5 | 63.2 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number recogn | Subtracti on | Division | Total |
| I | 20.1 | 55.0 | 20.0 | 4.9 | 100 |
| 11 | 7.2 | 41.0 | 42.8 | 9.0 | 100 |
| III | 3.4 | 23.8 | 47.3 | 25.5 | 100 |
| IV | 0.0 | 18.8 | 37.7 | 43.5 | 100 |
| V | 0.0 | 6.4 | 44.0 | 49.7 | 100 |
| VI | 0.0 | 11.5 | 36.4 | 52.0 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 11.1 | 22.2 | 66.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 100 |
| Total | 7.6 | 33.4 | 36.4 | 22.5 | 100 |

## Performance of surveyed districts

| District | AlI <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level -2 | \% CAN solve <br> subtraction |
|  | 21.8 | 48.7 | 79.9 |
| Mon | 15.5 | 56.5 | 74.7 |
| Nagaland state | $\mathbf{1 8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 7}$ |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Annual Status of Education Report <br> 選2005: <br> ARUNACHAL PRADESH rural

Facilitated by PRATHAM
All analyses based on data from 3 out of 11 districts

## Enrollment

|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  |  | \% Children in each age group not in school |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government | Private | Madarsa | EGS | Never Enrolled | Drop Out |  |
| Age : 6-14 ALL | 81.4 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 100 |
| Age: 6-10 ALL | 82.1 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 ALL | 85.9 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 BOYS | 81.2 | 16.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 100 |
| Age : 6-10 GIRLS | 83.3 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 84.5 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 100 |
| Age : 11-14 GI RLS | 87.4 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 100 |

## Out-of-school children



## Gender differences

Percentage of boys and girls in government and private schools


Out-of-school children: Proportion of girls and boys.



## Learning

|  | \% Children who CANNOT READ ... |  | \% Children who CANNOT solve numerical written sums of ... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1* | Level 2** | Subtraction or Division | Division |
| Age: 7-14 ALL | 35.9 | 51.7 | 21.9 | 53.0 |
| Age : 7-10 ALL | 50.9 | 65.9 | 31.0 | 66.2 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 14.6 | 31.3 | 9.1 | 34.3 |
| Govt : Std II-V | 39.5 | 56.2 | 23.7 | 63.4 |
| Pvt : Std II-V | 28.2 | 37.9 | 8.9 | 44.3 |
| Govt: Std VI-VIII | 0.6 | 15.5 | 0.6 | 18.2 |
| Pvt : Std VI-VIII | 0.0 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 7.0 |

* Level - 1: Ability to read a small paragraph with short sentences of std 1 level difficulty.
**Level - 2: Ability to read a 'story' text with some long sentences of std 2 level difficulty.

Subtraction:
2 digit subtraction with borrowing.
Division:
3 digit divided by 1 digit.

## Learning curves

Children who CAN read and solve numerical written sums

Reading

| \% All school children who can read-standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Letter | Word | Para- <br> Level I | Story- <br> Level II | Total |  |
| I | 19.7 | 37.4 | 31.8 | 3.5 | 7.6 | 100 |  |
| II | 7.5 | 22.4 | 36.4 | 13.4 | 20.3 | 100 |  |
| III | 3.3 | 7.7 | 36.8 | 16.1 | 36.2 | 100 |  |
| IV | 3.7 | 1.5 | 10.2 | 15.8 | 68.9 | 100 |  |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | 5.6 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 20.8 | 68.9 | 100 |  |
| VI | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 75.5 | 100 |  |
| VII | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 91.1 | 100 |  |
| VIII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 93.1 | 100 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Arithmetic

| \% All school children who can solve written numerical sums - standardwise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std | Nothing | Number recogn | Subtracti on | Division | Total |
| I | 21.7 | 47.6 | 17.7 | 13.1 | 100 |
| I I | 7.7 | 32.2 | 38.9 | 21.2 | 100 |
| III | 3.0 | 19.8 | 47.6 | 29.6 | 100 |
| IV | 3.7 | 8.3 | 33.5 | 54.5 | 100 |
| V | 4.5 | 2.4 | 34.2 | 58.9 | 100 |
| VI | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.9 | 78.1 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 1.3 | 17.8 | 80.9 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 97.2 | 100 |
| Total | 7.6 | 21.1 | 31.3 | 40.1 | 100 |

## Performance of surveyed districts

| District | AlI <br> Children | Std III to V children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Out-of- <br> school | \% CAN <br> read <br> level - 2 | \% CAN solve <br> subtraction |
|  | 9.1 | 36.1 | 75.5 |
| East Siang | 2.3 | 63.3 | 89.3 |
| West Kameng | 2.3 | 57.8 | 85.6 |
| Arunachal Pradesh <br> State | $\mathbf{5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 . 8}$ |

Comparison of government and private schools based on \% Std. V children who CAN read level-2 and solve written numerical sums


## Support in cash and in kind



| 290 | Nilima | 364 | Sachin Kambale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 291 | Nirmal Prakashan | 365 | Sadhana Choudhari |
| 292 | Nisha Marble | 366 | Sahanimandal trust |
| 293 | Nital Chide | 367 | Sai Chetan Chalks |
| 294 | Nitin Thakur | 368 | Saifee Book Agency |
| 295 | P. C. Mathur | 369 | Samir Kumar |
| 296 | P. L. Agrawal | 370 | Sampark |
| 297 | P. Unni Krishnan \& T. Vasanthi | 371 | Sampurna Murti |
| 298 | P. Vikas Rao | 372 | Sandeep Gawde |
| 299 | Paramount Book Mfg. Co. | 373 | Sandeep Malhotra |
| 300 | Parismita Singh | 374 | Sangram M Dhote |
| 301 | Pace Marketing | 375 | Sanjay Deshmukh |
| 302 | Parimal Bardhan | 376 | Sanjay Tendolkar |
| 303 | Placements.Com Pvt. Ltd | 377 | Santosh |
| 304 | Poonam | 378 | Sarathi Krishna Berojgar Seva |
| 305 | Prabhutva MahilaMandal |  | Sahakari Sanstha Ltd. |
| 306 | Prachi Samant | 379 | Sarika Cheulkar |
| 307 | Pradeep Chapot | 380 | Satish Kasbe |
| 308 | Pradeep Korde | 381 | Safiya Shaikh |
| 309 | Pradeep More | 382 | Sandhya Rawale |
| 310 | Pradnya Samant | 383 | Savita Parab |
| 311 | Pranali Gaikwad | 384 | Savita Zoating |
| 312 | Pranav Kothari | 385 | Samar |
| 313 | Pranav Chaudhry | 386 | Sanjeev Sarraf |
| 314 | Prasad Samant | 387 | Senapathy Whiteley Private Limited |
| 315 | Prashant Ganvir | 388 | Seva Mandir |
| 316 | Prayag | 389 | Shabnam Zamal |
| 317 | PRAYAS | 390 | Shakuntla Mehta |
| 318 | Premlata Narange | 391 | Shakuntla Mehta |
| 319 | Pritesh Gandhi | 392 | Shalini Sachdev |
| 320 | Priyanka | 393 | Shankar Abhyankar |
| 321 | R. H. Thakur | 394 | Shapoorji Pallonji \& Co.Ltd |
| 322 | Rahul Kambale | 395 | Sharda Magar |
| 323 | Rahul Saini | 396 | Sharmishta Arsud |
| 324 | Rahul Sharma | 397 | Shefali Pandit |
| 325 | Raj Kr. Bhatia | 398 | Sheloden Enterprise |
| 326 | Rajabhao Misal | 399 | Shiela |
| 327 | Rajan Tendolkar | 400 | Shivraj Singh |
| 328 | Rajashree Kabre | 401 | Shireen Rehman |
| 329 | Rajiv Barve | 402 | Shree.P.G.Sathe |
| 330 | Ralegaon Sankalp | 403 | Sudhakar V.Bhadarge |
|  | Bahuudesiya Prakalp | 404 | Sumitra S.Patil |
| 331 | R Neelakantan | 405 | Shobhini Mukerji |
| 332 | Ramesh N. Bhoir | 406 | Shree Printers |
| 333 | Ranjana Neelkant Shivshankar | 407 | Shreeram Pednekar |
| 334 | Ratan Patil | 408 | Shushila Sharma |
| 335 | Ravi Raja | 409 | Shushma Sharma |
| 336 | Ramakrishnan. H | 410 | Shymla Dupte |
| 337 | Ramesh Shingaljude | 411 | Simon Young |
| 338 | Ramsakal S.Yadav | 412 | Sirish Auto Corporation |
| 339 | Ramu Kennedy | 413 | Nidhi Kothari |
| 340 | Raosaheb Aswale | 414 | Sonal Chinchadkar |
| 341 | Rashmi Gupta | 415 | Sonali Angre |
| 342 | Rachit Haldiya | 416 | Sonali Kattigue |
| 343 | Rishab Kothari | 417 | Sonia Soni |
| 344 | Reena Ravindra More | 418 | Sridhar Seshadri |
| 345 | Renuka Rane | 419 | Srikanth Nadhamuni |
| 346 | Rekha Menon | 420 | Sriram Munagala |
| 347 | Rinku Dewara | 421 | Suchita Rane |
| 348 | Ritu Kothari | 422 | Sudeep Sengupta |
| 349 | Rohan Prakashan | 423 | Sudhakar Sinh |
| 350 | Rohit Gulati | 424 | Sugat Rahate |
| 351 | Rohit Shukla | 425 | Suhas M. Thakur |
| 352 | Rupa Shah | 426 | Suhas Vaidya |
| 353 | Rupali Jadhav | 427 | Sunil Dutt Rane |
| 354 | Rustamji Yede | 428 | Sunita Atolkar |
| 355 | S. Ramakrishnan | 429 | Sunita Burra |
| 356 | S. S. Acharaya | 430 | Sushil S. Samel |
| 357 | S. S. Devra | 431 | Suvarna Chandrakant Phadtare |
| 358 | S. Sabnis | 432 | Swati Bandekar |
| 359 | S. Venkatakrishnan | 433 | Syed Shahid Mahdi |
| 360 | S.S.Dewara | 434 | T. V. B. Subrahmanyam |
| 361 | Sabyasachi Das | 435 | Tejas and Tanvi Desai |
| 362 | Sachin Chandorkar | 436 | Tejas Bhauuddeshiya Mahilamandal |
| 363 | Sachin Goel | 437 | Tejas Shelar |

364 Sachin Kambale
65 Sadhana Choudhar
366 Sahanimandal trust

369 Samir Kumar
70 Sampark
urti

373 Sandeep Malhotra
374 Sangram M Dhote
Sanjay Deshmukh

377 Santosh
78 Sarathi Krishna Berojgar Seva
Sahakari Sanstha Ltd
Sarika Cheulk

381 Safiya Shaikh
82 Sandhya Rawale

384 Savita Zoating
385 Samar

387 Senapathy Whiteley Private Limited
388 Seva Mandir
389 Shabnam Zamal

391 Shakuntla Mehta
392 Shalini Sachdev

394 Shapoorji Pallonji \& Co.Ltd
395 Sharda Magar
397 Shefali Pandit
398 Sheloden Enterprise
Shiela

401 Shireen Rehman

02 Shree.P.G.Sathe

406 Shree Printers
407 Shreeram Pednekar

409 Shushma Sharma
410 Shymla Dupte
411 Simon Young
Sish Auto Corporation

414 Sonal Chinchadkar
115 Sonali Angre

416 Sonali Kattigue

418 Sridhar Seshadri
Srikanth Nadhamuni
20 Sriram Munagala

422 Sudeep Sengupta
423 Sudhakar Sinh
sugat Rahate
426 Suhas Vaidya
27 Sunil Dutt Rane

Sunita Burra

30 Sushil S. Same
431 Suvarna Chandrakant Phadtare
Swati Bandeka

433 T. V. B. Subrahmanya

437 Tejas Shelar

| 438 | Tushar Kothari |
| :--- | :--- |
| 439 | Uday Singh Jadon |
| 440 | Ujjwala Jadhav |
| 441 | Usha Mehra |
| 442 | Ushma Sheth |
| 443 | Udai Pareek |
| 444 | V. M. Kati |
| 445 | V. S. Vyas |
| 446 | V. Srinivas Kumar |
| 447 | Vasant |
| 448 | Vaijyanath Transport |
| 449 | Vaishali Thosar |
| 450 | Vanita Phatak |
| 451 | Vujay K Bhala |
| 452 | Vyas |
| 453 | Veena Subhash Kolamkar |
| 454 | Venkat Pulsani |
| 455 | Vibha |
| 456 | Vibhor Sharma |
| 457 | Vidya Tiware |
| 458 | VidyaVikas Bahuddeshiya |
|  | Shikshan Sanstha, Solapur |
| 459 | Vijay Dan Detha |
| 460 | Vijay Vaidya |
| 461 | Vijaya Sawant |
| 462 | Vikas Kumar Chaudhri |
| 463 | Vikas Sawant |
| 464 | Vikram Mane |
| 465 | Vishaka Jagade |
| 466 | Vishal Narendra Raut |
| 467 | Vishwajit Lad |
| 468 | Vimal Jadhav |
| 469 | Vrushabh N |
| 470 | Vitthal Gurav |
| 471 | Vitthal Prakashan |
| 472 | Vridhali Kadam |
| 473 | Vrishali Shashank Shinde |
| 474 | Yogendra |
|  |  |


| Donations in kind | 536 | CECOEDECON |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 537 | CUTS |  |
| Karnataka | 538 | MVPSS |  |
|  | 539 | Institute of Rural Management |  |
|  | 540 | Educational \& Rural Devpmnt |  |
| 475 | Andal Siddartha Chari Pushkarni Sch |  | Society GRAVIS |
| 476 | Azim Premji Foundation |  |  |
| 477 | Basavaraju, Hegguru, Koppa Taluk | Tamil Nadu |  |
| 478 | Basaveshwar Vidyavardhak Sangh |  |  |
| 479 | Belgaum Int Rural Devment | 541 | Grassroots |
| 480 | Chaluvaiah, Hampapur | 542 | People's Watch |
| 481 | Embark | 543 | GandhiGram University |
| 482 | Geleyar Balaga | 544 | VOCRDC |
| 483 | Help | 545 | Dr. Rosari Williams Navjeevan Trust |
| 484 | Initiatives for Devpmnt Foundation | 546 | SODEWS |
| 485 | Kuvempu Vedike | 547 | AREDS |
| 486 | Mahatma Gandhi Trust | 548 | AID- India |
| 487 | Malenadu Education Society | 549 | Tamil Nadu Science Forum |

504 Karmaveer Vidyalay (Mul),
Chandrapur
505 Snacks \& Stay-Shani Mandir Trust, Dhule
506 Sankalp Bahudeshi Prakalp- Gadchiroli
507 MagasWargiya Mahila Vikas Mndl, Gondiya
508 Stay (45 volunteers) by Kalamnoori, Hingoli
509 Har Har Mahadev Mandal, Jalgaon
510 Hall -Jan Shikshan, Solapur
511 Sanmitra Ded College, Kolhapur
512 Shaksahm Upakram, Latur
513 Nusaid 6 village travelling, Nagpur
514 Nusaid, Nagpur
515 Vanchit, Nanded
516 Traveling -Local Mandal, Nadurbar
517 Shaksahm Upakram, Osmanabad
518 Swapna Bhumi, Parbhani
519 Hall by YOUTH CLUB, Raigad
520 Tilak Smrkk Bhavan, Rantnagiri
521 Travelling, Ratnagiri
522 Shiv Prathistan, Sangli
523 Rajaram Prabhudhini, Sangli
524 MAHAVIM, Satara
525 Savitri Bai Snuti Prathistan, Satara
526 Stay and Hall by VOICE, Satara
5278 villages by VOICE, Satara
528 Suresh Dalvi, Sindhudurg
529 Hall - Rayat Shik Sanstha, Solapur
530 College:Talasari, Thane
531 College: Kelkar, Thane
532 NSS, Wardha
533 Nusaid, Yawatmal
534 Sankalp, Yawatmal

## Rajasthan

488 Nagesh, Saslu
489 Padmavathi, Sakharayapatna
490 Power
491 Rural Literacy and Health Project
492 Sadhana
493 Sarvodaya Sangh
494 Spoorthy
495 Subhulingeshwar Youth Organisation 496 Velored

## Maharashtra

497 Jolly Offset-Printg material, Mumbai
498 Shree Printers-Printg material, Mum
499 DEO hall for training, Akola
500 Amravati Mandal, Amravati
5013 villages Amravati Mandal, Amravati
502 Travel 40 voltrs- Local mandal, Beed
503 Mahila Adhyapak Vidyalay -Bhandara

## Sample

| State | No. of districts in Census 2001 | Sample Description |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. of districts | No. of villages | No. of household s | Total no. of children | Boys | Girls | No. of schools |
| J ammu\&Kashmir | 14 | 8 | 147 | 2878 | 4518 | 2590 | 1927 | 114 |
| HimachalPradesh | 12 | 5 | 98 | 1769 | 2540 | 1312 | 1228 | 87 |
| Punjab | 17 | 17 | 335 | 6668 | 10568 | 5849 | 4718 | 332 |
| Uttaranchal | 13 | 11 | 217 | 4278 | 6997 | 3919 | 3077 | 216 |
| Haryana | 19 | 19 | 380 | 7653 | 13787 | 7887 | 5900 | 392 |
| Rajasthan | 32 | 32 | 639 | 12766 | 27163 | 15635 | 11527 | 621 |
| UP | 70 | 69 | 1371 | 27316 | 54416 | 30619 | 23792 | 1328 |
| Bihar | 37 | 36 | 717 | 14644 | 31757 | 18164 | 13593 | 700 |
| ArunachalPradesh | 13 | 3 | 52 | 1017 | 1523 | 813 | 709 | 49 |
| Nagaland | 8 | 2 | 36 | 720 | 1324 | 760 | 564 | 39 |
| Manipur | 8 | 3 | 53 | 1107 | 1955 | 923 | 1032 | 49 |
| Tripura | 4 | 1 | 18 | 355 | 453 | 235 | 218 | 18 |
| Meghalaya | 7 | 2 | 36 | 651 | 1370 | 733 | 637 | 36 |
| Assam | 23 | 8 | 153 | 3018 | 5036 | 2774 | 2260 | 142 |
| WestBengal | 17 | 14 | 272 | 5483 | 7734 | 4245 | 3488 | 261 |
| J harkhand | 22 | 20 | 396 | 8071 | 14736 | 8117 | 6618 | 376 |
| Orissa | 30 | 30 | 599 | 12069 | 19505 | 10206 | 9299 | 571 |
| Chhatisgarh | 16 | 15 | 291 | 5785 | 9288 | 4990 | 4298 | 287 |
| MadhyaPradesh | 45 | 40 | 768 | 15166 | 28434 | 16067 | 12367 | 758 |
| Gujarat | 25 | 25 | 500 | 9786 | 14239 | 8003 | 6235 | 472 |
| Daman\&Diu | 2 | 2 | 15 | 796 | 1364 | 735 | 629 | 15 |
| Dadra\&NagarHaveli | 1 | 1 | 20 | 400 | 862 | 466 | 396 | 24 |
| Maharashtra | 33 | 33 | 655 | 13025 | 20050 | 10600 | 9450 | 668 |
| AndhraPradesh | 22 | 22 | 423 | 8904 | 14810 | 7756 | 7049 | 414 |
| Karnataka | 27 | 27 | 540 | 10784 | 15628 | 7901 | 7726 | 534 |
| Goa | 2 | 2 | 40 | 799 | 959 | 486 | 473 | 40 |
| Kerala | 14 | 14 | 270 | 5807 | 8985 | 4599 | 4386 | 265 |
| TamilNadu | 30 | 28 | 552 | 10802 | 15807 | 7857 | 7937 | 520 |
| Total | 563 | 489 | 9593 | 192517 | 335808 | 184241 | 151533 | 9328 |

## Sample design

Dr. Wilima Wadhwa ${ }^{1}$

The purpose of the survey was to get reliable estimates at the district level of schooling (whether a child is in school or not, what type of school) and learning (whether a child could read simple text, do basic arithmetic operations and write a short dictated sentence) All rural districts were to be surveyed. ${ }^{2}$ At the lowest level, the survey was to provide estimates at the district level which then could be aggregated to the state and all-India levels.

Since estimates were to be generated at the district level, the minimum sample size calculations had to start at the district level. The sample size was determined by the following considerations:

- Incidence of what is being measured in the population. Since a survey of learning of this kind has not been done in India, the incidence of what we are trying to measure is unknown in the population. In such cases, the standard methodology is to assume an incidence of $50 \%$ since that implies the largest sample size.
- Confidence level of estimates. The standard used is $95 \%$.
- Precision required on either side of the true value. The standard degree of accuracy most surveys employ is between 5 and 10 per cent. A precision of $5 \%$ along with a $95 \%$ confidence level implies that the estimates generated by the survey will be within 5 percentage points of the true values with a $95 \%$ probability.

With a $50 \%$ incidence, $95 \%$ confidence level and $5 \%$ precision, the minimum sample size required in each district is 384 . $^{3}$ On the other hand, if we were to require a precision of $6 \%$, the sample size would drop to 267 . Given these considerations, the sample size was decided to be 400 households in each district. ${ }^{4}$ Note that at the state level and at the all-I ndia level the survey has many more observations lending estimates at those levels much higher levels of precision.

If we had complete house lists of all households at the district level, the 400 households could be randomly selected. In the absence of these, a two-stage sample design was adopted.

In the first stage, 20 villages were randomly selected using the village directory of the 2001 census as the sample frame.

- In the second stage 20 households were randomly selected in each of the 20 selected villages in the first stage.

Villages were selected using the probability proportional to size (PPS) sampling method. This method allows villages with larger populations to have a higher chance of being selected in the sample. It is most useful when the sampling units vary considerably in size because it assures that those in larger sites have the same probability of getting into the sample as those in smaller sites, and vice verse. ${ }^{5},{ }^{6}$

[^4]In the selected villages, 20 randomly selected households were surveyed. Ideally, a complete house list of the selected village should have been made and 20 households selected randomly from it. However, given time and resource constraints a procedure for selecting households was adopted that preserved randomness as much as possible. The field investigators were asked to divide the village into four sections. This was done because villages often consist of hamlets and a procedure that randomly selects households from some central location may miss out households on the periphery of the village. In each of the four sections, investigators were asked to start at a central location and pick every 5th household in a circular fashion till 5 households were selected. In each selected household, all children in the age group of 6-14 were tested. ${ }^{7}$

The survey provides estimates at the district, state and national levels. In order to aggregate estimates up from the district level households had to assigned weights --- also called inflation factors. The inflation factor corresponding to particular household denotes the number of households that the sampled household represents in the population. Given that 400 households are sampled in each district regardless of the size of the district, a household in a larger district will represent many more households and, therefore, have a larger weight associated with it than one in a sparsely populated district.

The advantage of using PPS sampling is that the sample is self weighting at the district level. In other words, in each district the weight assigned to each of the sampled household turns out to be the same. This is because, the inflation factor associated with a household is simply the inverse of the probability of it being selected into the sample times the number of households in the sample. Since PPS sampling ensures that all households have an equal chance of being selected at the district level, the weights associated with households in the same district are the same. Therefore, weighted estimates are exactly the same as the un-weighted estimates at the district level. However, to get estimates at the state and national levels, weighted estimates are needed since states have a different number of districts and districts vary by population.

Even though the purpose of the survey is to estimate learning levels among children, the household was chosen as the second stage sampling unit. This has a number of advantages. First, children are tested at home rather than in school, allowing all children to be tested rather than just those in school. Further, testing children in school might create a bias since teachers may encourage testing the brighter children in class. Second, a household sample will generate an age distribution of children which can be cross-checked with other data sources, like the census and the NSS. Third, a household sample makes calculation of the inflation factors easier since the population of children is no longer needed.

Often household surveys are stratified on various parameters of interest. The reason for stratification is to get enough observations on entities that have the characteristic that is being studied. For instance, the NSS uses a two stage stratified sample for their consumption surveys. In the first stage the sample is stratified by population and in the second stage households are stratified on the basis of their affluence. The reason for doing this is that the purpose of the survey is to generate poverty estimates for which a representative sample must include enough non- affluent households. The ASER survey stratifies the sample by population in the first stage. No stratification was done at the second stage. Since the proportion of population in the 6-14 age group is about $22 \%$ and the average household size is about $5,{ }^{8}$ a simple random sample at the second stage would yield enough children in the sample. Finally, if we were to stratify on households with children in the 6-14 age group, we would need the population of such households in the village, which is not possible without a complete house list of the village.

[^5]
## ASER Results-Some Comparisons With Other Data

"It is a capital mistake to theorise in advance of the facts," Sherlock Holmes once told Dr Watson. ${ }^{9}$ So in the paragraphs that follow, we present some facts from ASER 2005 and alternate sources-and leave you to draw the conclusions you will...

## Out of school children

Estimates of out of school children have long varied in India. They range from 5\% of the population between the ages of six to fourteen years, to as much as $15-25 \%$, depending upon the assessment you choose to believe. The ASER data indicates that the actual number of children 6-14 who are not in school is approximately 14 million ( 1.4 crores), which compares quite closely with the figure of 13.4 million ( 1.34 crores) for 6 - 13 years reported by an independent IMRB survey commissioned by the Government of India. The IMRB survey, which was carried out between JulyDecember 2005, covered 55442 rural and 32432 urban households. ASER estimates indicate that the number of out of school children represents approximately $6.6 \%$ of the population between six to fourteen years. On the other hand, IMRB calculates that around $6.94 \%$ of the estimated population of 19.40 crore children between the ages of six to thirteen are out of school ( $7.8 \%$ and $4.34 \%$ in rural and urban areas respectively). State Governments themselves have estimated these numbers to be approximately 0.95 crores, as in November 2005.

| State | ASER Dec.-05 | IMRB Sep-05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Punjab | 4.4 | 2.87 |
| Haryana | 5.3 | 4.51 |
| Rajasthan | 10.4 | 6.9 |
| UP | 7.3 | 8.15 |
| Bihar | 13.5 | 8.91 |
| WB | 4.4 | 8.67 |
| Jharkhand | 9.8 | 10.88 |
| Orissa | 8.8 | 5.37 |
| Chattisgarh | 4.7 | 6.05 |
| MP | 4 | 8.63 |
| Gujarat | 3.6 | 3.96 |
| Maharashtra | 2.8 | 3.17 |
| AP | 7.3 | 4.29 |
| Karnataka | 1.9 | 1.42 |
| Kerala | 1.7 | 0.55 |
| TN | 2.6 | 2.14 |

Table 1: State-wise percentage of out of school children (in relation to total child population of the State)

[^6]Of particular interest is the following table (Table 2 ), which ranks the top seven States that account for the highest number of out of school children across the country.. With a few exceptions, the same States appear in both columns of these tables, indicating that the highest numbers of out of school children are to be found in these areas. While ASER data indicate that $72 \%$ of all out of school children are accounted for by the five States of Bihar, UP, Rajasthan, Andhra Pradesh and Orissa, IMRB data suggests that it is the five States of Bihar, UP, West Bengal, Madhya Pradesh and Rajasthan that account for $68.8 \%$ of this number.

| ASER | I MRB |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bihar | Jharkhand |
| Rajasthan | Bihar |
| Jharkhand | WB |
| Orissa | MP |
| UP | UP |
| AP | Rajasthan |
| Haryana | Chattisgarh |

## Table 2: Top 7 States Ranked In Terms of Percentage of Out of School Children (in relation to total child population of the State)

## Learning Levels

According to ASER 2005, based on tests conducted in the household on one-on-one basis, the five best States in reading were Kerala, Uttaranchal, Chattisgarh, West Bengal and Bihar, while the top five in arithmetic were West Bengal, Haryana, Bihar, Uttaranchal and Chattisgarh. This may be contrasted with the results of an achievement survey carried out by NCERT in 2002. The NCERTadministered written achievement tests in schools, covering 88271 Grade V students in 4787 schools and 105 districts of 27 States and 3 UTs, (excluding Jharkhand and Meghalaya), indicated that the top five States in Ianguage were Tamil Nadu, West Bengal, Bihar, Maharashtra and Orissa, while Bihar, West Bengal, Tamil Nadu, Kerala and Haryana were the best in arithmetic. The relative NCERT ranks of the top five States in reading/language and arithmetic (according to ASER 2005) are indicated in Table 3.

| Reading/ language |  | Arithmetic |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| ASER | NCERT rank | ASER | NCERT rank |
| Kerala | 13 | West Bengal | 2 |
| Uttaranchal | 11 | Haryana | 5 |
| Chattisgarh | 16 | Bihar | 1 |
| West Bengal | 2 | Uttaranchal | 15 |
| Bihar | 3 | Chattisgarh | 16 |
| Haryana | 7 | Kerala | 4 |
| Goa | 17 | Andhra Pradesh | 13 |

Table 3: Learning Results from ASER and NCERT
In both surveys, results for Bihar, West Bengal and Haryana appear to be consistent, with children from these States returning high test scores.

## Provisioning

Based on school visits, the ASER data also reports some information about the availability of school facilities such as toilets and drinking water. A comparison of the States reported to have the largest gaps in these facilities with the gaps calculated on the basis of data reported by the government District Information System for Education (DISE) data for 2003-04 is shown in Tables 4. It should be noted however, that ASER data for West Bengal was not available.

| Higherst gap <br> in toilet <br> provision | DI SE 2003-04 | ASER 2005 | Higherst gap <br> in toilet <br> provision | DISE 2003-04 | ASER 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MP | Chattisgarh | 1 | AP | Maharashtra |
| 2 | AP | MP | 2 | Maharashtra | Rajasthan |
| 3 | UP | Orissa | 3 | Rajasthan | AP |
| 4 | Bihar | Bihar | 4 | WB | MP |
| 5 | Rajasthan | Jharkhand | 5 | Orissa | Gujarat |
| 6 | Maharashtra | UP | 6 | Karnataka | TN |
| 7 | Orissa | Gujarat | 7 | MP | Karnataka |

Table 6: Top 7 States with most gaps in provision of toilet and water facility

| Date |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Start <br> Time |  | Finish <br> Time |  |

VILLAGE ：CHILDREN $\begin{array}{ll} & \text { MAKE MAP．DIVIDE VILLAGE INTO } 4 \text { SECTIONS．} \\ \text { VISIT EVERY 5TH HOUSE IN EACH SECTION．} \\ \text { TOTAL HOUSES SURVEYED IN VILLAGE }=20 .\end{array}$
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| House no． | Name of Father／ Mother | Details of family |  |  | Details of child |  |  | Children Attending School |  |  |  | Children out of School |  | Reading level |  |  |  |  | 荡 | Maths level |  |  |  | If child does not live in village， then is he／she |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Name of child（age 6 to 14） | Age | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { S ex } \\ \text { M } \\ \text { or } \\ \mathrm{F} \end{gathered}$ | Write Std． |  | Other／ <br> EGS／ <br> AIE／ | $\begin{aligned} & \pi \\ & \text { 鈢 } \\ & \text { 合 } \\ & \sum_{0}^{\pi} \end{aligned}$ | Out ofschool？Drop outafter whichStd． | Never been to school | Reader |  | Non Reader |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{3} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { © } \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ | 00000000$\vdots$$z$$Z$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { on } 0 \\ & \text { 曹 } \\ & \frac{4}{3} \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | If Govt． <br> School write Std． |  |  | If Pvt ． School write Std． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ì } \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TH } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 山̈ } \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Note | rite NEATLY in p | ill all | olu |  |  |  |  | Please | put tick | $\checkmark$ ）in | rre | olumns as | needed． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |








[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Educational Resource Unit, Delhi
    ${ }^{2}$ (1) Pratichi (India) Trust: The Pratichi Education Report, New Delhi 2002 and PROBE Report. 1999. (2) Public Report on Basic Education in India. Delhi: Oxford University Press. (3) Jha, Jyotsna and Dhir J hingran, Elementary Education for the Poorest and other Deprived Groups, Centre for Policy Research, New Delhi 2002, (4) Vasavi, A. R. and K. Chamraj. 2000. Community-School Interlinks: Preliminary Report of a Socio-anthropological Study of Primary Education in Five Districts of Karnataka. Bangalore. National Institute of Advances Studies. (5) Ramachandran, Vimala (ed): Hierarchies of Access: Gender and Social Equity in Primary Education in India, Sage Publications, 2004.

    Apparently the report has just been submitted by IMRB.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ http://ssa.nic.in/ssafram.asp\#1.0

[^2]:    3 ASER teams visited 9252 government schools. Along with the village visit, the team was asked to pay a visit to the local government primary school. During the school visit, the team got information on enrolled children as well as appointed teachers and para-teachers from the school records register. The team observed the number of children and teachers present. The team also recorded whether there was tap or hand pump in the school premises and whether it was functioning. Similar information about availability and use of toilets, rooms and textbooks was noted. Finally, whether the midday meal was being prepared and served on the day of the visit was also observed. In 460 villages, ASER teams did not find a school that was open on that day.

[^3]:    4 The information on number of teachers and para-teachers appointed to the school was given to the ASER team by the teachers. If this number is reported as lower than actual, it will influence the ratio of teachers attending to teachers appointed. PTR based on enrollment is the ratio of enrolled children to appointed teachers (teachers + para teachers). PTR based on attendance i.e. it is the ratio of children attending to teachers attending on the day of the visit.

[^4]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ Dr. Wilima Wadhwa in consultation with MODE provided technical advice on the sampling exercise.
    2 Eventually 509 rural districts participated.
    ${ }^{3}$ The sample size is given by $\frac{z^{2} p q}{d^{2}}$ where $z$ is the standard normal deviate corresponding to $95 \%$ probability ( $=1.96$ ), $p$ is the incidence in the population ( 0.5 ), $q=(1-p)$ and $d$ is the degree of precision required (0.05).
    4 Sample size calculations assume simple random sampling. However, simple random sampling is unlikely to be the method of choice in an actual field survey. Therefore, often a "design effect" is added to the sample size. A design effect of 2 would double the sample size. At the district level a $7 \%$ precision along with a $95 \%$ confidence level would imply a sample size of 196, giving us a design effect of approximately two.
    5 Probability proportional to size (PPS) is a sampling technique in which the probability of selecting a sampling unit (village, in our case) is proportional to the size of its population. The method works as follows: First, in each district villages are arranged in ascending or descending order of their household population. Second, the cumulative population by village calculated. Third, the total household population of the district is divided by the number of sampling units ( 2 ) to get the sampling interval $(\mathrm{SI})$. Fourth, a random number between 1 and the SI is chosen. This is referred to as the random start (RS). The RS denotes the site of the first village to be selected from the cumulated population. Fifth, the following series of numbers is formed: RS; RS +SI ; RS +2 SI ; RS +3 SI ; ..RS +19 SI . The villages selected are those for which the cumulative population, contains the numbers in the series.
    6 Most large household surveys in India, like the National Sample Survey and the National Family Health Survey also use this two stage design and use PPS to select villages in the first stage.

[^5]:    In larger villages, the investigators increased the interval according to a rough estimate of the number of households in each part. For instance, if a village had 2000 households, each part in the village would have roughly 500 households. Selecting every 5 th household would leave out a large chunk of the village un-surveyed. In such situations, investigators were asked to increase the interval between selected households
    8

[^6]:    9 "The Adventure of the Second Stain", The Return of Sherlock Holmes, Sir Arthur Conan Doyle.

